

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Mississippi Power and Light Company
Grand Gulf

Docket No. 50-416
License No. NPF-13

The following violations were identified during an inspection conducted on March 26 - April 27, 1984. The Severity Levels were assigned in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy (10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C).

1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, as implemented by the licensee's approved QA program (MPL-TOP-1A) requires activities affecting quality be prescribed by documented instructions or procedures appropriate to the circumstances.

Contrary to the above, on April 5, 1984, procedures were not prescribed for ensuring that contractor personnel receive adequate training prior to working on plant safety related equipment.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

2. Technical Specification 6.8.1 requires written instructions be established, implemented, and maintained.

Contrary to the above, on April 5, 1984, two Instrument and Control technicians, two electricians and three mechanics were performing maintenance activities and had not completed the training requirements in administrative procedures 01-S-04-19 (Instrument and Control Section Training Program), 01-S-04-18 (Electrical Maintenance Training Program) and 01-S-04-17 (Mechanical Maintenance Retraining and Replacement Training Program), respectively.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

3. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVIII and the licensee QA Program (MPL-TOP-1A) requires that a comprehensive system of planned and periodic audits shall be carried out to verify compliance with all respects of the quality assurance program and to determine the effectiveness of the program. MPL-TOP-1A, Appendix A commits to Regulatory Guide 1.144 which endorses ANSI N45.2.12 - 1977, Requirements for Auditing of Quality Assurance Programs for Nuclear Power Plants. Section 4.3.2.3 of this standard, requires that selected elements of the quality assurance program shall be audited to the depth necessary to determine whether or not they are being implemented effectively.

Contrary to the above, Audit MAR 84/0035, conducted February 1 - March 7, 1984, was not performed to the depth necessary to assure training was being effectively implemented, in that this audit concluded that maintenance

personnel had received adequate training. A review by the NRC in this area identified multiple examples of training program discrepancies which are the basis for separate violations and deviations.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

4. 10 CFR 50.59 requires that prior to making changes to facility procedures, as described in the Final Safety Analysis Report, the licensee shall perform a written safety evaluation which provides the basis for determination that the change does not involve an unreviewed safety question.

Contrary to the above, on April 5, 1984, there was not a safety evaluation performed on Revision 8 to the procedure for the Protective Tagging System.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.201, you are required to submit to this office within 30 days of the date of this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including: (1) admission or denial of the alleged violations; (2) the reasons for the violations if admitted; (3) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved; (4) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations; and (5) the date when full compliance will be achieved.

Security or safeguards information should be submitted as an enclosure to facilitate withholding it from public disclosure as required by 10 CFR 2.790(d) or 10 CFR 73.21.

Date: JUN 14 1984