

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Toledo Edison Company
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station

Docket No. 50-346
License No. NPF-3

During an NRC inspection conducted on February 18, 1992, through April 6, 1992, violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, 1991, the following violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 6.8.1.a requires that written procedures be established, implemented and maintained covering activities recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, November 1972. Regulatory Guide 1.33, Appendix A, Section I, lists procedures for performing maintenance.


DB-MN-00001, Rev 1, Conduct of Maintenance, paragraph 6.5.4 requires that maintenance tasks shall be performed in accordance with Maintenance Work Order (MWO) packages.

Contrary to the above, on March 1, 1992, personnel performed troubleshooting activities on low vacuum pressure circuitry which was outside the scope of work as defined by MWO 7-91-0395-01.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station is hereby required to submit a written statement of explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington D.C. 20555 with a copy to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III, 799 Roosevelt Road, Glen Ellyn, Illinois, 60137, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for the violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a demand for information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extended the response time.

Dated at Glen Ellyn, Illinois
this 17th day of April 1992



R. C. Knop, Chief
Reactor Projects Branch 3