| NRC FO (4-95) | | | | | | | | TORY C | | MIS | SION | APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WI MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED IN | | | | | | WITH THIS | | |
|------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|--|---|---|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| * | | (\$ | | SEE EV reverse f ts/chara | for requ | uired | numb | er of | () | | | LICEN COMN RECO REGU THE | AEN ROS | IG PROC TS REGAL S MANA FORY COL PERWORM | ESS AND FED | BACK T ESTIMATE NCH (T- HINGTON PROJECT | O INDI TO THE 6 F33 1, DC 2 (3150 | STRY INFO 0555-0 0104), | FORWARD | |
| FACILITY | | | R | EEK GI | ENER | ATI | NGS | TATIC | N | | | DOCI | CET | NUMBER | ⁽²⁾ 00354 | | | 10 | | |
| Inadeq Actuat | uate ion D | Testir uring | ng o Su | f Under rveilland | voltag ce Tes | e Log ting | gic Ci | rcuitry | Re | suit | ing in | a Mi | \$8 | ed Sur | veillance, f | Follow | ed by | an E | SF | |
| EVEN | DAT | E (5) | T | LER | NUMBE | R (6) | | REPO | RT | DAT | E(7) | T | N SCOUL | OT | IER FACILIT | IES INV | OLVE | D (8) | printer of the second second | |
| MONTH | DAY | YEAR | YE | | NUMBER | | EVISION | MONTH | 0 | AY | YEAR | FACIL | ITYI | NAME | | | DOCKE | 000 | | |
| 11 | 14 | 95 | | 5 | 033 | | 00 | 12 | | 14 | 95 | | ACILITY NAME | | | | DOCKET NUMBER | | | |
| OPERA | | | TH | 15 REPO | | UBMI | TTED | PURSUA 20.2203 | | | | | | | OF 10 CFR § | : (Cheo | | | | |
| POW | No. of Concession, of Concession, of Concession, or | 4 | - | 20.2203 | | | | 20.2203 | - | |) | | × | 50.73(a | | | 50.73(a)(2)(viii) 50.73(a)(2)(x) | | | |
| LEVEL | | 0 | - | 20.2203 | | | | 20.2203 | | |) | | | 50.73(a | And the second se | | 73.71 | | | |
| a talay san Prope | NAME AND ADDRESS OF A | | | 20.2203 | (a)(2)(ii) | | | 20.2203 | | A. Stationers | | | X 50.73(a)(2)(iv) | | | | OTHER | | | |
| | | | | 20.2203 | | | | 50.36(c | 8 B. 1 | | | | 50.~3(a)(2)(v) | | | | Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A | | | |
| | Constant of the | | | 20.2203 | (a)(2)(iv) | - | _ | 50.36(c | | | and the second | - | DECART | 50.73(a | ı)(2)(vii) | CHINA TA MATERIA SALE | | - | | |
| Phil O | psa! | , Pro | jec | t Man | ager | | ICEN | SEE COM | | CT | ORTI | | | | UMBER (Include A | | | | | |
| | | C | OM | PLETE O | NELIN | EFOR | EACH | COMPO | DNE | NT | FAILU | RE DE | ESC | CRIBED | IN THIS REP | PORT (1 | 3) | | CORNELS CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR | |
| CAUSE | 87 | STEM | co | MPONENT | MANUFA | CTURE | | PORTABLE D NPRDS | _ | | CAU | JSE | 8 | SYSTEM | COMPONENT | MANUF | ACTURE | RR | EPORTABLE TO NPRDS | |
| - | + | | | | | | + | | | | | | | | | | | + | | |
| NY SALAN BARANCORD | | SU | PPI | EMENTA | AL REPO | DRTE | XPEC | TED (14) |) | | | | | EXP | ECTED | MONT | H (| YAC | YEAR | |
| YES (If ye | | piete E | XPE | CTED SU | BMISSIC | N DA | TE). | | x | NO |) | | SUBMISSION DATE (15) | | | XX | | xx | xx | |
| This Tech Tech Tech | LEF nica nica nica | de de l Sp l Sp l Sp | sci pec | cifica ifica ifica | two ation tion tion | eve Su Sur 3.3 | nts rvei veil .3, | that llance it wa | ce e l as | CCU Te Imp de | rree st rove term | d du inac emen nine | ie de t d | to quacy Prog that | identifi y. On 1 ram (TSS the und ance wit | 1/14 SIP) dervo | /95, revi ltag | du .ew re | ring of | |

SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST requirements of Technical Specification 4.3.3.2. As a result, the vital bus undervoltage relays were declared inoperable, and a Technical Specification Action Statement was entered for the failure to perform the appropriate surveillance testing. The surveillance test was revised to address the concerns that TSSIP identified. On 11/16/95, a ring the performance of the revised surveillance on the 'A' 4 kV vital bus, a bus transfer occurred at 0521. The 'A' Loss of Offsite Power (LOP) Sequencer initiated per plant design. A four-hour report was made to the NRC at 0841 in accordance with 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(ii). This LER is being submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv).

| 9512180229 | 951214 |
|------------|----------|
| PDR ADOCK | 05000354 |
| S | PDR |

| | ENT REPORT (LI | | NUC | LEAR | REG | ULATO | RYCO | DMMISS | SION |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| FACILITY NAME (1) | ONTINUATION | 1 | LER | NUME | BER | (6) | F | AGE (| 3) |
| | 1 | YEAR SEQUE | | | | REVISION | | | |
| HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION | 05000354 | 95 | - | 033 | *** | 00 | 2 | OF | 7 |
| TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC For | m 366A) (17) | Auceraranze | VINE ALVIN A | | | | Briannan and | **** | |
| PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION | | | | | | | | | |
| General Electric - Boiling Water Rea 4.16 KVAC, EIIS Identifier: EB Emergency Diesel Generator, EIIS Ide | | | | | | | | | |
| IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE | | | | | | | | | |
| Discovery Date: November 14, 19 ESF Actuation Date: November 16, 19 Report Date: December 14, 19 Problem Reports: 951114174 and 9 | 95 | | | | | | | | |
| CONDITIONS PRIOR TO OCCURRENCE | | | | | | | | | |
| Plant in Operational Condition 4 (CO Preparations for transition into Ope progress. | | tion | 5 | (RE | FUE | LING) | we | re i | n |
| There were no systems, structures, o inoperable at the start of the event | | | | | | | be | | |
| DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE | | | | | | | | | |
| This LER describes two events that Technical Specification Surveilland | | | | ntif | ica | tion | of | a | |
| On November 14, 1995, during Technic Frogram (TSSIP) review of Technical Cooling System Actuation Instrumenta undervoltage auxiliary relays were n the LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST (LS Specification 4.3.3.2. As a result, declared inoperable, and a Technical entered for the failure to perform t | Specification 3 tion", it was o tot adequately t FT) requirement the vital bus Specification | 3.3. dete test ts o und Act | 3, ed of 1 lerv ion | "Em ined in Tech volt n St | erg th acc nic age ate | ency at th ordan al rela ment | Cor le lce lys was | e with were | |
| The surveillance test was revised to identified. On November 16, 1995, d surveillance on the 'A' 4 kV vital b | luring the perfo | orma | nce | e of | th | e rev | ise | | ho |

'A' Loss of Offsite Power (LOP) Sequencer initiated per plant design. A four-hour report was made to the NRC at 0841 in accordance with 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(ii).

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)

| NRC FORM 366A (4-95) | | nan ar far na far hann an an ar an | U.S. | NUCLEAR REG | GULATOP | RY CO | DMMISS | ION |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|------|-------------------|----------|-------|---------|-----|
| | | VENT REPORT (LI | ER) | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME | (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | | LER NUMBER | (6) | F | PAGE (3 |) |
| a (11) (2) (4) (2) (2) (2) (2) | | dine site is a | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION | | | |
| HOPE CREEK GENERA | FING STATION | 05000354 | 95 | - 033 - | 00 | 3 | OF | 7 |

ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE

As a Corrective Action from LER 95-017, a Technical Specification Surveillance Improvement Program (TSSIP) has been initiated. The charter of this project is to compare the Technical Specification surveillance requirements (with the exception of the Technical Specification 4.0.5 requirements) to the established surveillance procedures to verify that all requirements are met.

During TSSIP review of Technical Specification 3.3.3, "Emergency Core Cooling System Actuation Instrumentation", it was determined that individual contacts, and their configuration, from the undervoltage auxiliary relays (27AX1, 27AX2, 27AY1, and 27AY2) and the degraded voltage relays (27X-401 and 27X-408) were not tested in accordance with the LSFT requirements of Technical Specification 4.3.3.2. These contacts deal with the load shedding of major 4.16 kV loads of the vital bus, incoming feeder breaker trips and lock outs, diesel generator start permits, and input to the load sequencer.

Technical Specification 4.3.3.2 requires that LOGIC SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TESTS and simulated automatic operation of all channels shall be performed at least once per 18 months.

On November 15, 1995 both the degraded voltage and the bus undervoltage surveillance procedures were revised to incorporate the contacts and wiring that needed to be tested to satisfy the Technical Specification surveillance testing. Operations prepared a risk analysis to determine the consequences of a loss of power to the associated vital buses while performing the undervoltage relay surveillance tests.

While testing the 'A' Vital Bus (10A401), the relay technician pulled back some insulation on the alligator clips used with his M&TE. This allowed the alligator clip to extend further, but it also exposed more of the metal surface. The technician received a resistance value different than he expected, and went to physically verify that the test leads were on the correct terminal points. While doing so, the exposed section of the alligator clip touched an adjacent terminal, causing a bus transfer to occur. The bus transfer performed as designed. The 'A' Loss of Offsite Power (LOP) Sequencer initiated per plant design. The affected systems also performed as expected. Testing was terminated, and subsequently was successfully completed.

| NRC FORM 366A (4-95) | inda ana ao amin' amin'ny faritr'i Anar-Aostra ao amin' amin' ao amin' amin' amin' amin' amin' amin' amin' amin | U.S. | NUCLEAR RE | GULATO | RY CC | MMISS | ION |
|-------------------------------|---|------|-------------------|----------|-------|--------|-----|
| | ENT REPORT (LE | ER) | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | | LER NUMBER | (6) | P | AGE (3 |)) |
| | | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION | | | - |
| HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION | 05000354 | 95 | - 033 - | 00 | 4 | OF | 7 |

PRIOR SIMILAR OCCURRENCES

A logic configuration discrepancy was found in the undervoltage auxiliary contacts to the load sequencer on July 3, 1995. After review of the existing surveillance testing procedures used to perform the load shedding for the vital busses against the criteria of Information Notice 95-15 "Inadequate Logic Testing of Safety Related Circuits", dated March 7, 1995, it was determined that Technical Specification functional testing requirements 4.8.1.1.2.h.4.a and 6.a, relating to verification of load shedding, were not adequately verified by existing surveillance testing. As a result, all four Emergency Diesel Generators were declared inoperable. This occurred on July 14 and was reported in LER 95-017-00.

Following this discovery, the untested undervoltage auxiliary contacts used for load shedding were reviewed by the system manager; these results were documented. A test procedure was created that performed a functional test of 4.16 kV load shedding to satisfy Surveillance Requirements 4.8.1.1.2.h.4.a and 6.a. This test was conducted satisfactorily, and the Emergency Diesel Generators were declared operable. In addition, the system manager verified the balance of the contacts related to the undervoltage logic scheme to be functionally tested.

In response to this event, the General Manger - Hope Creek Operations chartered a Technical Specification Surveillance Review Program to investigate, define, and resolve weaknesses in the Technical Specification Surveillance Program. During the system manager's review, using the guidance of Information Notice 95-15, "Inadequate Logic Testing of Safety Related Circuits", PSE&G questioned the methodology for individual contact testing during logic system functional tests. Due to the varied interpretations throughout the industry regarding what constitutes adequate overlap testing, the resolution of this issue was assigned to the TSSIP effort, whose charter more appropriately addresses this concern.

Previously, a dead bus transfer resulted when performing these same two surveillance procedures. To prevent recurrence, a design change was implemented to allow access of the test points instead of entering the panel and risk shorting the test leads between terminal points. This did not prevent recurrence because the design change did not include the auxiliary relays that were being tested.

| | VENT REPORT (LE | | NUCLEAR REG | GULATO | RYCO | DMMISS | ION |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|----------|------|--------|-----|
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | C-COMPANY | LER NUMBER | (6) | P | AGE (S | 3) |
| | | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION | | | |
| HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION | 05000354 | 95 | - 033 - | 00 | 5 | OF | 7 |

CAUSE OF THE OCCURRENCE

The cause for the failure to properly test the undervoltage relays is procedural inadequacies due to lack of knowledge of what constitutes a satisfactory Logic System Functional Test.

A contributing factor is the lack of guidance regarding the requirements of LSFTs. This is a recognized industry weakness as evidenced by the number of information notices issued to licensees regarding this issue. The same misconception can be shown to be present at Hope Creek in that the integrated Emergency Diesel Generator test, performed every 18 months, is credited for performing the LSFT per 4.3.3.2.

In July, 1995, the system manager correctly identified all of the contacts in question and ensured that contacts were functionally tested. In addition, the system manager understood the charter of the TSSIP effort to include reviews and resolution of the outstanding issues regarding adequate overlap testing and logic system functional test requirements. The system manager communicated his questions regarding overlap testing of the Emergency Diesel Generator start circuit to the TSSIP team upon arrival of the first TSSIP team members in early November. As a result of this discussion, the TSSIP team project manager specified the review of the Emergency Diesel Generator related logic circuits to be the first area reviewed.

Based on this review, the TSSIP team has concluded that although the specified LSFT procedure performs a macroscopic functional test of the Emergency Diesel Generator start load shedding and sequencing logic, it does not perform the required detail to satisfy the definition and requirements of an LSFT.

The cause of the bus transfer was a test lead coming into contact with a terminal while the technician was attaching test equipment to a relay. Contributing factors were the decision to perform the test while the bus was energized and inadequate job planning in that the effects of conducting the test in an energized cubicle that was not designed for test leads were not completely analyzed.

| NRC FORM 366A (4-95) | and the second | an ann | U.S. | NUCLEAR REC | ULATOP | RY CO | MMISS | ION |
|-------------------------|--|---|------|-------------------|----------|-------|--------|-----|
| U | | ENT REPORT (LE | ER) | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME (1) | | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | - | LER NUMBER | (6) | P | AGE (3 |) |
| | | | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | REVISION | | | |
| HOPE CREEK GENERATING | STATION | 05000354 | 95 | - 033 - | 00 | 6 | OF | 7 |

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

Although the undervoltage and degraded voltage relays were declared inoperable due to nonperformance of a surveillance requirement, reasonable assurance existed that the Emergency Diesel Generators would start and energize the bus on a loss of power coincident with a Loss of Cooling Accident, and that all required ESF loads would sequence on the vital bus. This assurance is based on previous successful past performances of the integrated Emergency Diesel Generator test. Additionally, performance of testing on the 'A' and 'C' vital busses demonstrated compliance with the LSFT requirements, and showed all required relays and contacts to be operational.

Due to the risks associated with the performance of this surveillance test (i.e., loss of the bus), Operations evaluated each load on the associated bus and provided recommendations regarding the use of redundant equipment to minimize the impact to plant operations. Therefore, the safety significance associated with this LER was minimal.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The implementing procedures for testing the bus undervoltage auxiliary contacts have been revised to defeat the undervoltage trip function during the performance of the test.

The TSSIP group independently reviewed the procedures to ensure satisfactory compliance. This was completed prior to performance of the test procedures.

Logic System Functional Testing will be performed on the 'B' and 'D' vital busses to demonstrate operability of the undervoltage and degraded voltage relays to satisfy requirements of Surveillance Requirement 4.3.3.1. This will be completed prior to entry into Operational Condition 2 or 3, at the end of the current refueling outage.

The TSSIP review will continue, with particular attention to the Logic System Functional Test Requirements in the other instrumentation specifications. The TSSIP will be completed by December 31, 1996.

The Technical Specification Matrix will be updated to reflect new procedures to comply with the LSFT requirement. This will be performed as the TSSIP identifies issues and will be completed by December 31, 1996.

| NRC FORM 366A (4-95) | | U.S. | NUC | LEAR | REC | ULATO | RYC | OMMIS | SION |
|---|--|---|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|------|
| LICENSEE E | VENT REPORT (L | LER) | | | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2 | () | - | NUME | | Annalise wanteren | | PAGE (| 3) |
| HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION | 05000354 | YEAR 95 | 4 | 033 | | REVISION NU:MER | 7 | OF | 7 |
| TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC For CORRECTIVE ACTIONS | rm 366A) (17) | an Anne an ann an | | | | | Accessory 1 | | |
| Position papers will be prepared by test methodology and acceptance crit specification surveillances, such as requirements. | teria for perfo | orman | се | of | tec | hnica | al | per | |
| Training based on the site approved incorporated into initial and cont responsible for the preparation, re surveillance procedures. The init licensed operators, system managers Qualified Reviewers, and will be co | inuing trainin eview, and app ial training w s, procedure w | ng prova vill l vrite | ogr 1 c be rs, | f l con an | fo ogi duc d S | c sy ted tati | rson sten for | nnel | |
| Guidance will be provided to the rel selection and use of M&TE (specifica 31, 1996. | | | | | | | | | |
| The Controls Pre-Job Brief Checklist use of M&TE. | t has been revi | ised | to | ens | ure | the | pro | per | |
| The procedures used to conduct this the specific alligator clip to be us prior to the next time these procedu | sed. This revi | | | | | | | | |
| A design change to install test point implemented by the end of the next of | | | ubi | cle | s w | ill k | be | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

16