



ENTERGY

Entergy Operations, Inc.

PO Box 756
Pittsboro, MS 39157
Tel: 601 437 6400

W. T. Cottle

Gen. Manager
Operations
Grand Gulf Nuclear Station

April 22, 1992

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Station P1-137
Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Document Control Desk

Subject: Grand Gulf Nuclear Station
Unit 1
Docket No. 50-416
License No. NPF-29
Extension of Instrumentation Surveillance Intervals and
Allowed Outage Times
Proposed Amendment to the Operating License (PCOL-91/12)

- References:
1. GNRO-91/00083, Extension of Instrumentation Surveillance Intervals and Allowed Outage Times, Proposed Amendment to the Operating License (PCOL-91/12), dated June 26, 1991
 2. NEDC-31677P-A, Technical Specification Improvement Analysis for BWR Isolation Actuation Instrumentation, July 1990
 3. NEDC-30936P-A, BWR Owner's Group Technical Specification Improvement Methodology (With Demonstration for BWR ECCS Actuation Instrumentation) Part 2, December 1988
 4. NEDC-30851P-A, Supplement 2, Technical Specification Improvement Analysis for BWR Isolation Instrumentation Common to RPS and ECCS Instrumentation, March 1989

GNRO-92/00045

Gentlemen:

Entergy Operations, Inc. by this letter is submitting a modification to the proposed amendment to the Grand Gulf Nuclear Station (GGNS) Operating License transmitted to the NRC via Reference 1. This letter modifies the wording of the requested change to Limiting Condition for Operation 3.3.2 ACTION b.

The wording of the ACTION b proposed in Reference 1 is justified by the analysis of References 1, 2, 3, and 4. However, subsequent discussions with the NRC revealed that review and approval of the change would be expedited by modifying the proposed wording to correspond with the example ACTION requirement provided in Reference 2.

570101
G9204081/SNLYCFLR - 1

9204280016 920422
PDR ADDCK 05000416
PDR

A001
11

This letter modifies the requested wording of ACTION b.1 to correspond with the example ACTION requirement provided in Reference 2. The following is the modified requested wording:

1. If placing the inoperable channel(s) in the tripped condition would cause an isolation, the inoperable channel(s) shall be restored to OPERABLE status within 6 hours or the ACTION required by Table 3.3.2-1 for the affected trip function shall be taken.

The proposed wording is more restrictive than justified by the analysis of References 1, 2, 3, and 4 and corresponds with the example ACTION requirement provided in Reference 2. This change is bounded by the original justification provided and does not affect the No Significant Hazards Consideration presented in Reference 1 for the proposed Technical Specification changes.

This modification to the wording requested in Reference 1 has been reviewed and accepted by the Plant Safety Review Committee.

Yours truly,



WTC/BSF/mtc

attachment: Modified Insert A to Page 3/4 3-9

cc: Mr. D. C. Hintz (w/a)
Mr. J. L. Mathis (w/a)
Mr. R. B. McGehee (w/a)
Mr. N. S. Reynolds (w/a)
Mr. H. L. Thomas (w/o)

Mr. Stewart D. Elmeter (w/a)
Regional Administrator
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region II
101 Marietta St., N.W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. P. W. O'Connor, Project Manager (w/2)
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop 13E3
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Alton B. Cobb (w/a)
State Health Officer
State Board of Health
P.O. Box 1700
Jackson, Mississippi 39205