

Appendix

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Commonwealth Edison Company

Docket No. 50-265

As a result of the inspection conducted from May 21 through June 21, 1984, and in accordance with the General Policy and Procedures for NRC Enforcement Actions, (10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C), the following violations were identified:

1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, Section III.A.1(a) requires that if during a Type A test potentially excessive leakage paths are identified which will interfere with satisfactory completion of the test or which will result in a Type A test not meeting the acceptance criteria, the Type A test shall be terminated and the leakage through such paths shall be measured using local leakage testing methods. Repairs and/or adjustments to equipment shall be made and a Type A test shall be performed.

Contrary to the above, during the performance of a Type A test in February 1984 a leaking flange on a ½ inch torus level instrument line was repaired without first measuring its leakage rate.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

2. 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, Section II.G defines Type B tests as those tests intended to detect local leaks and measure leakages across each pressure-containing or leakage-limiting boundary for containment penetrations whose design incorporates gaskets among others. Section III.B describes the Type B test acceptable methods, test pressure, and acceptance criteria. Section III.D.2. prescribes the schedule and frequency of Type B test to be at every refueling outage but in no case at intervals exceeding 2 years.

Contrary to the above a Type B local leak rate test has not been performed on a ½ inch torus level instrument line flange since it was originally declared operable on February 1981.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, you are required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each item of noncompliance: (1) corrective action taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective action to be taken to avoid further noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

Aug. 3, 1984
Dated

C. E. Norelius
C. E. Norelius, Director
Division of Reactor Projects