LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)											U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-2104 EXPIRES 8/31/85							
CILITY										DOCKET NUMBER	(2)	PAS	SE (3)					
			MONT Y	ANKEE NU	CLEAR P	OWER STATI	ON			0 15 10 10	1012 1711	1 OF	0 14					
TITLE (4)												-						
	Uni	dent	ified	Vent Pat	h From	Secondary	Conta	inmen	t									
EVE	NT DATE	the party of the local data and the			statement of the second s	REPORT DAT				FACILITIES INVO	LVED (8)							
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISIO			MONTH DAY		FACILITY NA	MES	DOCKET NUMBER(S)								
											0 15 10 10	101	11					
0 7	1 7	8 4	8 4 -	0 1 2	- _{0 0}	0 8 1 6	8 4				0 15 10 10	101	1.1					
OPE	ATING		THIS REPO	AT IS SUBMITTE	D PURBUANT T	O THE REQUIREME	NTE OF 10	CFR \$ 10	theck one or more	of the following) (1	1)							
		20.40	2(6) 8(a)(1)(1) 8(a)(1)(1) 8(a)(1)(11) 8(a)(1)(11) 8(a)(1)(11)	X	20.405(c) 50.36(c)(1) 50.36(c)(2) 50.73(c)(2)(i) 50.73(c)(2)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(viii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)		73.71(b) 73.71(c) OTHER (Specify in Abeth below and in Text, NRC) 366A)								
NAME						ICENSEE CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)			TELEPHONE NUT	MBER						
James P. Pelletier, Plant M					ant Man	ager				AREA CODE	2 5 7 1-	11 11						
				COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH COMPONENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPO									
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	ONENT	MANUFAC TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS							
	1	1								1.1.1								
	1	1		1.1.1				1										
	_			SUPPLEM	ENTAL REPORT	EXPECTED (14)				EXPECT	MONT	H DAY	YEAR					
YE	s (11 ym. c	ompiete é		UBMISSION DATI		X NO				SUBMISS	ION							

While performing fuel moves during shutdown, VY's piping contractor prepared to perform work on the service water portion of the "1B" Reactor Building Air Conditioning (RBAC) unit. The contractor did not properly tag out the RBAC unit as required by plant procedures. This resulted in the "2" RBAC supply line inspirating for 4 hours into the Service Water System and exhausting at the discharge structure. The shift supervisor noted the problem during a tour of the reactor building. He determined that this flow could bypass standby gas treatment and immediately 1) declared Secondary Containment potentially inoperable, 2) stopped all fuel moves and 3) properly isolated the system. Subsequent analysis showed that Operations would have been able to properly respond to a refuel accident (had it occurred during this 4 hr period) without significant release to the environment.

8408230542 840816 PDR ADOCK 05000271 S PDR

9-83)

NRC Form 366A (9-83)

*

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/85

ACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)			
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION		Π	
VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION	0 15 10 10 10 1 2 17 1	814	0112	_00	0 2	OF	014

Identification of Occurrence

During a tour of the reactor building, the shift supervisor inspected the 2" RBAC mechanical bypass installation MB-84-0014. He observed a significant vacuum being drawn into the line and determined then that it bypassed Secondary Containment. He immediately stopped all fuel moves and directed proper tagout of the System.

System Conditions Prior to the Occurrence

On 6/15/84, Vermont Yankee commenced its Refueling and Maintenance Outage. On 7/16/84 at approximately 1600 hours, the shift supervisor approved Mechanical Bypass 84-0014 while the Reactor Mode Switch was in the Refuel position.

To install a design change, service water supply was isolated to the RBAC's Recirc MG set lube oil coolers and the turbine building SW isolation valve was closed. During the design change review, Reactor Engineering expressed concern that summer conditions would make the refueling effort very uncomfortable. Therefore, a Mechanical Bypass was written to supply cooling water from a conveniently located fire protection hose station to a spool piece between the service water supply header and the RBAC/B unit.

Description of Occurrence

The sequence of events that caused the unidentified vent path around Secondary Containment are as follows:

- At 1600 hours on July 16, 1984, the shift supervisor reviewed and approved Mechanical Bypass 84-0014, which permitted the contractor to prepare tags for tagging out equipment and to make other work preparations.
- 2) The contractor's authorized individual had been aware that other work was being performed on the Service Water System. Contrary to procedure, he assumed that the Service Water System was tagged out and did not further review the job with the senior reactor operator.
- 3) At 2330 hours, the SRO turned the shift over to the on-coming SRO and mentioned the MB Request and the lack of tags.
- 4) At approximately 2330 hours, the contractor's authorized individual started work without contacting the Control Room. He tried to vent the 2" RBAC line and noticed vacuum. He then closed the vent valve and installed the temporary PVC Piping and then opened the System. He thought the vacuum was produced by the draining of the water back down the SW pipes and had no concern because he believed service water was totally isolated and air inspiration during draining was normal.
- 5) The contractor's authorized individual called the Control Room at approximately 0200 hours on 7/17/84 and stated that they had finished gluing the PVC Piping and would make the final connection when the glue dried. In conversation with the shift supervisor, the contractor mentioned that he observed a vacuum.

NAC FORN 3664

NRC Farin 366A 19-831

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

EXPIRES 8/31/85

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION		
VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION	0 15 10 10 10 12 17 11	8 14	- 01112 -	010	013 OF 0 14	

6) The shift supervisor acknowledged the conversation with the contractor and proceded to investigate the situation. At 0230, he went to the Refuel Floor and noticed the aforementioned vacuum condition. He then attempted to isolate the opening with tape and was unsuccessful. The shift supervisor determined that the flow could bypass standby gas treatment and immediately declared Secondary Containment potentially inoperable, stopped refueling operation and closed SW valve 23 D at approximately 0330, after reviewing the Mechanical Bypass.

Designation of Apparent Cause of Occurrence and Corrective Action

This event resulted from personnel error. The individual who was responsible for tagging did not properly isolate the RBAC-SW System. Normal shift surveillance detected and then properly isolated the affected System. The individual responsible for the System tagout has been removed from the VY Switching and Tagging List.

Analysis of Occurrence

- The event resulted because of personnel error. The person involved did not follow the rules set forth in procedure AP 0140 entitled "Vermont Yankee Local Control Switching Rules". All procedures were reviewed for their potential impact on the event and no corrections are deemed necessary. Proper identification by the shift supervisor terminated the event by halting the refueling operation and isolating the System.
- 2) From the very conservative analysis of a refuel accident at the time of the occurrence, a person standing at the site boundary would receive less than 20% of the 10CFR100 thyroid dose. The analysis considered that the entire release goes through the vented path.
- Although the service water system is an undesirable discharge path, the following safeguards would have mitigated the potential release.
 - a) Two Service Water Radiation Monitors Upon indication of increasing radiation level, Operations would immediately isolate service water to prevent further release.
 - b) The leak path is through service water and out through circ water discharge. If a release were to occur, a factor of 10 reduction would be provided to remove the radioactive Iodine 131.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 1150-0104

						EXPIRES: 8/31/85										
FACILITY NAME	(1)				DOCKET NUMBER (2)					LER NUMBER (6)					AGE (S	1)
									YEAR		SEQUENTIAL	-	UMBER		T	
VERMONT	YANKEE	NUCLEAR	POWER	STATION	0	5 0	0 0	2 7 1	814	-	0112	-	0 0	0 14	OF	014
TEXT III more apar	e is required, u	as additional NRC A	orm 3064's/ (1	n										_		
4)		Additionally, the conservative analysis performed took no credit for the following:														
	a)	Removal of any Iodine by standby gas.														
	b)	FSAR assumes fuel falls through air over the core and all pins break. Kinetic Energy would be reduced by a factor of 3.5 due to the fact that fuel was moved over the spent fuel pit. An additional reduction due to water resistance was also not considered.														
	c)			nes a 7X7 to 10% rec								esen	t fue	e1		
	d)	Neither credit for meteorological conditions nor large redictions for dispersion were considered.											r			
	e)	The DBA assumes power level of 1665 Mwt, since we operate at 1593 Mwt maximum, an additional 5% for activity reduction was not taken.														
				presente hís occur			e wer	e no c	onseq	uen	ces to	the	heal	lth a	nd	
1																
																1.1

NRC Form 366A (9-83)



VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORPORATION

P. O. BOX 157 GOVERNOR HUNT ROAD VERNON, VERMONT 05354

August 16, 1984

VYV84-424

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document No. 50-271 Washington, D. C. 20555

REFERENCE: Opearting License DPR-28 Docket No. 50-271 Reportable Occurrence No. LER 84-12

Dear Sirs:

As defined by 10CFR50.73, we are reporting the attached Reportable Occurrence as LER 84-12.

Very truly yours,

Potte

James P. Pelletier Plant Minager

RDP/ajg

.

cc: Regional Administrator USNRC Office of Inspection and Enforcement Region I 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406