



Nuclear Construction Division Robinson Plaza, Building 2, Suite 210 Pittsburgh, PA 15205 (412) 787-5141 (412) 923-1960 Telecopy (412) 787-2629

August 20, 1984

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

ATTENTION: Mr. George W. Knighton, Chief

Licensing Branch 3

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: Beaver Valley Power Station - Unit No. 2

Docket No. 50-412

Power Systems Branch, Mechanical Section, Meeting Request

Gentlemen:

On September 19, 1983, Duquesne Light Company (DLC) received questions from the Power Systems Branch (PSB), Mechanical Section related to their review of the Beaver Valley Unit 2 (BVPS-2) operating license application. The responses to these questions were provided formally in Amendment 4 to the FSAR on December 5, 1983. A subsequent meeting on March 6, 1984, was based upon a nonspecific meeting agenda attached to the meeting notice issued by NRR. The lack of a specific agenda or written evaluation by NRR made effective preparation for the meeting impossible and resulted in most of the items remaining open following the meeting. Based upon the lack of progress during that meeting, DLC formally requested draft safety evaluations prior to conducting future meetings as had been promised in a letter from D. G. Eisenhut dated May 4, 1983.

Three submittals have been made (FSAR Amendments 6 and 7 and a letter dated July 16, 1984) to resolve the reviewer's concerns. These concerns have never been provided to DLC formally. However, in your discussion with my staff on August 13, 1984, you indicated that the reviewer's concerns have not been satisfactorily addressed in those submittals and that a further meeting is requested by NRR. The points of discussion for the requested meeting are as yet undisclosed to DLC. As indicated in our most recent transmittal of revised responses to the PSB mechanical questions (July 16, 1984), NRC concerns in this area should be submitted formally and should provide a precise definition of the specific issues remaining to be resolved and their regulatory bases. This will provide PLC with the necessary material to provide suitable responses in an efficient manner to support NRR review and the licensing schedule. The written identification of the concerns is particularly important in light of the number of questions (93) from the PSB Mechanical Section. Specific concerns will allow DLC to identify the appropriate support personnel from United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mri George W. Knighton, Chief
Page 2

the large number of personnel involved in the mechanical areas. DLC is willing to support the requested meeting, but, as demonstrated by the previous meeting, a successful meeting cannot be expected unless the specific concerns to be discussed have been provided to DLC for a sufficient time prior to the meeting.

DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANI

E. J. Wooleve

Vice President

GLB/wjs

cc: Mr. D. G. Eisenhut, Director, NRR

Ms. M. Ley, Project Manager

Mr. E. A. Licitra, Project Manager

Mr. T. H. Novak, Assistant Director, NRR

Mr. G. Walton, NRC Resident Inspector

NRC Document Control Desk

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mr. George W. Knighton, Chief Page 3

GLB/wjs NR/NRC/PSB

bcc: J. J. Carey

G. I. Rifendifer

W. T. Wardzinski

E. J. Woolever

J. A. Hultz

R. E. Dougher

C. E. Ewing

T. D. Jones

E. F. Kurtz, Jr.

J. H. Latshaw

T. P. Noonan

J. A. Rocco

H. M. Siegel

R. J. Swiderski

G. L. Beatty

E. T. Eilmann

K. M. Holcomb

J. Lee, Esq.

R. E. Martin

S. L. Pernick, Jr.

D. L. Skidmore

T. J. Zoglmann

R. J. Wallauer

D. E. Burke (CEI)

R. G. Schuerger (CEI)

W. T. Keller (NUTEC)

B. M. Miller (2) (OEC)

J. Silberg (SPPT)

C. R. Bishop

D. Chamberlain (S&W)

P. RaySircar (S&W)(6)

T. J. Lex (W)

NCD File