AUG 1 5 1984

The Honorable Pete V. Domenici United States Senate Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator Domenici:

This letter is in response to your letter of July 27, 1984, addressed to Mr. Carlton Kammerer of the NRC, which transmitted a letter from one of your constituents, Mr. Steve L. Benavidez of Las Cruces, New Mexico.

The central concern raised by Mr. Benavidez is: "If we intend to keep using nuclear reactors as a source of energy we must do something about the scram system." He cites two reactor operating events in which there was a partial failure of the reactor trip system ("scram" system). In 1980, at Browns Ferry Unit 3, 76 of the 185 control rods failed to insert fully. In 1983, at Salem Unit 1, the control rods failed to insert following an automatic trip signal. but did insert fully with a manual trip signal. Mr. Benavidez's information is based principally upon articles which appeared in the publication Nuclear Safety.

The NRC shares Mr. Benavidez's view that the reactor trip system is important to safety and NRC has long held a requirement that it must be highly reliable. The NRC has taken significant actions to assure the required reliability whenever operating experience has indicated a need to do so.

The NRC was immediately notified of both of the operating events referred to by Mr. Benavidez and took timely and effective actions in response. These actions were taken to assure that the specific plant involved was not restarted until corrective actions were undertaken, and that other plants took prompt actions to preclude similar events from occurring. The actions taken are described in the enclosed NRC reports on these events. These reports should supplement the information which Mr. Benavidez obtained from Nuclear Safety.

Immediately following each event, a team of NRC technical experts and management officials was dispatched to the reactor site to assess the situation. Simultaneously, the NRC issued Bulletins to all affected licensees to inform them of the occurrences and to require testing of the reactor trip system to confirm that similar weaknesses did not exist at their plants. After detailed technical review, the NRC established longer-term actions which were implemented via NRC Generic Letters to all affected operating reactors and applicants for operating licenses. These actions to assure the reliability of the reactor trip system are also presented in the enclosed reports.

As a separate but related action, the NRC accelerated its activities to establish new regulations (namely 10 CFR 50.62) addressing the possibility that the reactor trip system could fail completely. This action would require RD-10-1 A additional features to be installed at each reactor so that a greater degree of safety defense for shutdown would exist even in the event that the existing reactor trip system failed.

8408230056 840815 PDR ADOCK 0500027 In short, you can be assured that actions have been taken to assure that each reactor can be safely and promptly shutdown under all foreseeable situations.

We believe that, as a regulatory agency, the NRC has acted promptly, responsibly, and effectively to assure that the nuclear reactors are not operated with an undue risk to public health and safety. We trust that this response to your July 27, 1984 letter will be sufficient to address the concerns of your constituent. If any further effort on our part is necessary, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Victor Stello



William J. Dircks Executive Director for Operations

Enclosures:

 NUREG-0785 "Safety Concerns Associated with Pipe Breaks in the BWR Scram System"

2. NUREG-0803 "Generic Safety
Evaluation Report Regarding
Integrity of BWR Scram System
Piping"

3. NUREG-0995 "Safety Evaluation Report Related to Plant Restart of Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2"

Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2"

4. NUREG-1000 "Generic Implications of ATWS Events at the Salem Nuclear Power Plant" (Volume 1 and Volume 2)

DISTRIBUTION W/O ENCL.

Central File Courtesty Copy

NRC PDR w/inc. EDO #14683

EDO Rdg WDircks

ECase/HDenton

GHolahan ORAB Rdg

MJambor/DEisenhut (14683)

LBerry/DCrutchfield (14683)

EButcher

CKammerer, OCA CHeltemes

GCunningham

PPAS

SRubin

DPyatt

SNewberry JHannon

HSmith (14638)

Secy 84-0782

*PREVIOUS CONCURRENCE SEE DATE

ORAB:DL* JTBeard 8/08/84	ORAB:DL* EButcher 8/08/84	ORAB:DL* GHolahan 8/08/84	AD/SA DCrute 8/09/8	chfield	
DD:DL	D:DL	DD:NRR	D:NPA	OCA	6
FMiraglia	DEisenhut	ECase	HD4 ton	CKammerer	
8/09/84	8/09/84	8/ /84	8/n/84	8/ /84	

RETYPED IN DEDROGR OFFICE 8/14/84 (Page 1 only)



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

The Honorable Pete V. Domenici United States Senate Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator Domenici:

This letter is in response to your letter of July 27, 1984, addressed to Mr. Carlton Kammerer of the NRC, which transmitted a letter from one of your constituents, Mr. Steve L. Benavidez of Las Cruces, New Mexico.

The central concern raised by Mr. Benavidez is: "If we intend to keep using nuclear reactors as a source of energy we must do something about the scram system." He cites two reactor operating events in which there was a partial failure of the reactor trip system ("scram" system). In 1980, at Browns Ferry Unit 3, 76 of the 185 control rods failed to insert fully. In 1983, at Salem Unit 1, the control rods failed to insert following an automatic trip signal, but did insert fully with a manual trip signal. Mr. Benavidez's information is based principally upon articles which appeared in the publication Nuclear Safety.

The NRC shares Mr. Benavidez's view that the reactor trip system is important to safety and NRC has long held a requirement that it must be highly reliable. The NRC has taken significant actions to assure the required reliability whenever operating experience has indicated a need to do so.

The NRC was immediately notified of both of the operating events referred to by Mr. Benavidez and took timely and effective actions in response. These actions were taken to assure that the specific plant involved was not restarted until corrective actions were undertaken, and that other plants took prompt actions to preclude similar events from occurring. The actions taken are described in the enclosed NRC reports on these events. These reports should supplement the information which Mr. Benavidez obtained from Nuclear Safety.

Immediately following each event, a team of NRC technical experts and management officials was dispatched to the reactor site to assess the situation. Simultaneously, the NRC issued Bulletins to all affected licensees to inform them of the occurrences and to require testing of the reactor trip system to confirm that similar weaknesses did not exist at their plants. After detailed technical review, the NRC established longer-term actions which were implemented via NRC Generic Letters to all affected operating reactors and applicants for operating licenses. These actions to assure the reliability of the reactor trip system are also presented in the enclosed reports.

As a separate but related action, the NRC accelerated its activities to establish new regulations addressing the possibility that the reactor trip system could fail completely. A new regulation (namely, 10 CFR 50.62) now requires that a separate system be provided at each reactor to provide automatic shutdown of the reactor by alternate and diverse mechanisms in the event that the reactor trip system should fail.

In short, you can be assured that actions have been taken to assure that each reactor can be safely and promptly shutdown under all foreseeable situations.

We believe that, as a regulatory agency, the NRC has acted promptly, responsibly, and effectively to assure that the nuclear reactors are not operated with an undue risk to public health and safety. We trust that this response to your July 27, 1984 letter will be sufficient to address the concerns of your constituent. If any further effort on our part is necessary, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Enclosures:

 NUREG-0785 "Safety Concerns Associated with Pipe Breaks in the BWR Scram System"

2. NUREG-0803 "Generic Safety
Evaluation Report Regarding
Integrity of BWR Scram System
Piping"

3. NUREG-0995 "Safety Evaluation Report Related to Plant Restart of Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2"

 NUREG-1000 "Generic Implications of ATWS Events at the Salem Nuclear Power Plant" (Volume 1 and Volume 2) William J. Dircks Executive Director for Operations

DISTRIBUTION W/O ENCL.

Central File Courtesty Copy NRC PDR w/inc.

EDO #14683 EDO Rdg WDircks

ECase/HDenton GHolahan

ORAB Rdg

MJambor/DEisenhut (14683) LBerry/DCrutchfield (14683)

EButcher CKammerer CHeltemes GCunningham

PPAS SRubin DPyatt SNewberry JHannon HSmith (14638)

ORAB:DL JTBeard 8/08/84

adlia

ORAS:DL EButcher 8/ /84

8/1/84

emut

DD:NRR ECase 8/ /84

GHolahan.

8/8/84

ADAMAN DCAutchfield 8/9/84

D:NRR OCA WHITE DENTAL OCA WHITE DENTAL

EDO WDircks 8/ /84

Sen. Pete V. Domenici			ACTION CENTROL COMPL DEAD INE		8/15/84	14683	
						DATE OF DOCUMENT 7/27/84	
TO: Kammerer			FINAL REPRY FILE LOCATION STATE STATE STATE FILE FILE		110.01.1.	PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE	
					Dyrilis V	CHAIRMAN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
Encloses itr concerning SC Automatic Med	RAM (Safety	Benavidez	Revi		envelope ATTE	: Marco A. Caceres.	
ASSIGNED TO	DATE	INFORMATION ROUTING		1 2 1			
Denton, MRR Eisenhut	8/1/84	Heltemes GCunningham Case/Denton PPAS		SECY-04-0782			
				TOR FOR OPER			

CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET Sen Pete Domenici

NUKEER:

84-0782

LOBEING DATE: 7/30/84

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

ACTION OFFICE:

EDO

AUTHOR:

Sen Pete Domenici, Const Ref

AFFILIATION:

Steve L. Benavidez

LETTER DATE:

7/27/84 FILE SDE:

ADDRESSEE:

OCA

SUBJECT:

Upgrading the scram system for safe usage in nuc

reactors

ACTION:

Direct Reply..Suspense: Aug 8

DISTRIBUTION:

OCA to Ack

SPECIAL HANDLING:

None

Rec'd Off, FDO 1 - 84 Date 8 A

SIGNATURE DATE:

FOR THE COMMISSION:

BAChamp