



ENTERGY

Entergy Operations, Inc.
River Bend Station
5485 U.S. Highway 61
P.O. Box 220
St. Francisville, LA 70775
Tel 504 336 6225
Fax 504 635 5068

James J. Fisicaro
Director
Nuclear Safety

December 7, 1995

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Mail Stop P1-37
Washington, DC 20555

Subject: River Bend Station - Unit 1
Docket No. 50-458
Revision of Individual Plant Examination Report

File No. G9.5, G9.23.2

RBG-42258
RBF1-95-0289

Gentlemen:

This memorandum is being provided as a result of requests from your staff made during the River Bend Station (RBS) Engineering and Technical Support Inspection (95-10). This inspection was conducted from March 20-24, April 3-7, and April 21, 1995. The attachment documents changes made to the Individual Plant Examination (IPE) Report which involved the correction of a valve tag number discrepancy. This discrepancy did not affect any conclusions drawn in the report.

It was identified that IPE Report EA-RA-93-001-M, dated January 15, 1993, (TAC M74459) contained a discrepancy associated with the Reactor Core Isolation Cooling (RCIC) System test return isolation valves. The applicable paragraph contained on Page 229 of the report was as follows.

The RCIC test return lines were not considered as potential diversion paths because the isolation valve (1E51*MOV019) in this line is normally closed and is signaled to close on a RCIC actuation signal. Therefore, the probability that this line could divert flow away from the reactor is considered very low.

110101

9512110307 951207
PDR ADUCK 05000458
P PDR

AD11/0


Revision of Individual Plant Examination Report
December 7, 1995
RBG-42258
RBF1-95-0289
Page 2 of 2

The underlined portions below indicate the changes implemented in the revised paragraph:

The RCIC test return line to the CST is not considered as potential diversion path because the isolation valves (1E51*MOV022 and 1E51*JMOV059) in this line are normally closed and are signaled to close on a RCIC actuation signal. Therefore, the probability that this line could divert flow away from the reactor is considered very low.

If there are any questions concerning this issued please contact D. N. Lorfing at (504) 381-4157.

Sincerely,



JJF/RMM/kvm
attachment

cc: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region IV

NRC Sr. Resident Inspector

INPO Records Center

Mr. C.R. Oberg
Public Utility Commission of Texas

LA Department of Environmental Quality
Radiation Protection Division