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SECTION 1
INTRODUCTION

This study presents evacuation time estimates for those portions of Illinois within the plume exposure pathway Emergency Planning Zone (EPZ) for the Byron Nuclear Generating Station, hereafter referred to as Byron Station. The Byron Station is located near the Rock River in Rockvale Township, Illinois (Figure 1-1). The Byron Station plume exposure pathway EPZ includes portions of Ogle and Winnebago Counties. Figure $1-1$ presents a map of the Byron Station EPZ.

### 1.1 PURPOSE

This evacuation time estimate study was developed by the Commonwealth Edison Company to support the State of Illinois Plan for Radiological Accidents (IPRA), Byron Volume VI, Revision $0,2-84$, and Commonwealth Edison's Generating Stations Emergency Plan Byron Annex. The primary purpose of this evacuation time estimate study is to assess the relative feasibility of evacuation for the Byron Station Emergency Planning Zone. The study identifies the approximate time frames associated with evacuation based on a detailed consideration of the roadway network and population distribution. It is important to note that this study presents representative time frames for a range of seasonal, diurnal, and weather conditions for the evacuation of various areas around the Byron Station once a decision has been made to evacuate; while the Byron Volume of IPRA is the detailed emergency operations plan for the Byron Station EPZ.

### 1.2 SUMMARY

The evacuation time estimates presented in this study were developed using the NETVAC2 traffic simulation computer model. Detailed sitespecific evacuation road network and vehicle data and public response time distributions were used in NETVAC2 to determine evacuation time estimates. For each of ten primary evacuation zones, evacuation time estimates for the general population within the Byron Station EPZ were developed for eight combinations of conditions: summer and winter seasons; daytime and nighttime; normal and adverse weather. Results of the general population evacuation time estimates for these eighty scenarios, rounded to the nearest minute, are summarized in Table 1-1.

The computer analyses indicate that summer and winter evacuation time estimates are similar. Table $1-1$ shows that for normal weather conditions in summer and winter, the general populaticn evacuation time Estimates for the full plume exposure pathway EPZ range from 184 to 191 minutes during the day to 96 to 108 minutes at nicht. In adverse weather, these time estimates range from 216 to 225 minutes for day to approximately 105 to 114 minutes for night scenarios.

Evacuation time estimates for the general population were also prepared for various other evacuation scenarios in the $0-2,0-5$, and $0-10$ mile evacuation zones. Summer and winter normal weather $0-2$ mile evacuation time estimates range from 184 minutes during the day to 96 minutes at night. Adverse weather condition evacuation time estimates for $0-2$ miles for the same time periods are 216 minutes during the day and 105 minutes at night. The 0-5 mile estimates are slightly longer for
some scenarios, primarily due to the larger number of vehicles in the 0-5 mile zones. Normal weather conditions result in a range of 184 to 191 minutes for the day to 96 to 105 minutes at night. The $0-5$ mile adverse weather estimates range from 216 to 225 minutes during the day to 105 to 113 minutes at night.

In normal weather conditions, the evacuation times for the 0-10 mile primary evacuation zones during the day are 191 minutes and at night range from 106 to 108 minutes. The adverse weather condition time estimates range from 225 minutes for daytime to 113 to 114 minutes for nighttime.

In addition to an estimate of the time required to evacuate the general population, NUREG 0654 , Appendix 4 requires that separate estimates be made of the times required to evacuate special facilities within the EPZ. Accordingly, school districts and nursing homes within the EPZ were analyzed in this study.

Special facility evacuation time estimates range from 35 minutes to 159 minutes for normal weather, and from 37 minutes to 163 minutes for adverse weather scenarios. These estimates are based on winter simulations for the general population, since schools are the most numerous special facilities in the EPZ. Results of the special facility evacuation time estimates are summarized in Annex A.

In addition to developing special facilites evacuation time estimates, several Special Event simulations were also undertaken for special local events such as the Autumn On Parade. The results of these Special Event simulations are discussed in Section 6.

It is important to note that, due to the favorable roadway capacity to population ratio, the evacuation time estimates are relatively insensitive to changes in population. Indeed, if the summer daytime transient population is increased by 50 percent, the additional evacuation time for the full EPZ summer day, normal weather evacuation scenario is 10 minutes.

This evacuation study was prepared in close coordination with the State of Illinois Emergency Services and Disaster Agency (ESDA) personnel responsible for the preparation of the Byron Volume of IPRA. This study was favorably reviewed by Ogle County and State of Illinois ESDA officials. Copies of this study were provided to these ESDA officials.
1.3 SCOPE

This study was prepared pursuant to the recommendations of NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1, Rev. $1^{11}$ Appendix 4, Evacuation Time Estimates within the Plume Exposure Pathway Emergency Planning Zone, the current regulatory guidance for preparing evacuation time estimates. Section 2 presents the evacuation study areas and evacuation scenarios. Section 3 discusses the various demographic and vehicle data utilized in this study. Section 4 presents the evacuation time estimate methodology and assumptions for both the general population and the special facilities. Section 5 is a description of the evacuation road network. Section 6 presents the evacuation time estimates. Section 7 presents the conclusions and recommendations of this study.

Primary
Evacuation Zone (2)
0-2 mile $A, B$
$0-5$ mile $A, B, C$
0-5 mile $A, B, D$
$0-5$ mile $A, B, E$
$0-5$ mile $A, B, F$
$0-10$ mile $A-F, G$
e-10 mile $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{H}$
$0-19$ mile $A-F, I$
0-10 mile A-F,J
Full EPZ A-J(5)

| Daytime |  | Nighttime |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | Adverse | Normal | Adverse |
| 184 | 216 | 96 | 105 |
| 188 | 223 | 105(6) | $113(5)$ |
| 184 | 216 | 96 | 105 |
| 191 | 225 | 105 | 110 |
| 184 | 216 | 96 | 105 |
| 191 | 225 | 108(6) | 114(6) |
| 191 | 225 | 107 | 114 |
| 191 | 225 | 107(7) | 114(7) |
| 191 | 225 | 107 | 114 |
| 191 | 225 | 108(8) | 114(8) |


| Daytime |  | Nighttime |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | Adverse | Normal | Adverse |
| 184 | 216 | 96 | 105 |
| 188 | 223 | 105(6) | 113(6) |
| 184 | 216 | 96 | 105 |
| 191 | 224 | 105 | 110 |
| 191 | 216 | 96 | 105 |
| 191 | 225 | 106(6) | 113(6) |
| 191 | 225 | 106 | 113 |
| 191 | 225 | 106(7) | 113(7) |
| 19\% | 225 | 106 | 113 |
| 191 | 225 | 106(8) | 113(8) |

## NOTES:

(1) Times have been rounded to nearest minute.
(2) See Figure 2-1 for evacuation study area iocations.
(3) As discussed in Section 3.1.
(5) Ful FPZ A-J Scenario is analyzed in accordance with the guidance of Appendix 4 of NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1. Rev. 1. This analysis verifies the appropriateness of the selection of the primary evacuation zone boundaries used in the other analyses.
(6) The Quality Metal Finishing Co. in Byron has an estimated shutdown time of 90 minutes. Consequentiy, the nighttime evacuation time estimate for those employees needed to shut down the facility could increase to 125 and 135 inintes for normal and adverse weather conditions, respectiveiy.
(7) The Acme Resin Co, outside Oregon has an estimated shutdown time of 120 minutes. Consequentiy the nightime evacuation time estimate for those employees needed t adverse weather conditions, respectively. The Pine Crest Nursing Home in Mt. Morris has a facility mobilizetion time of 120 minutes.
8) As discussed in notes 6 and 7 above, the Acme Resin Co. Quality Metal Finishing Co. and Pine Crest Nursing Home fiay have ( As onger evacuation times than estimated for the general public. The nighttime evacuation time estimates for these facilities may increase to $125-145$ minutes during normal weather conditions and $135-155$ minutes during adverse weather conditions.
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FIGURE 1-1
BYRON STATION
PLUME EXPOSURE PATHWAY EMERGENCY PLANNING ZONE AND SITE VICINITY

## EMERGENCY PLANNING ZONE AND EVACUATION SCENARIOS

### 2.1 EVACUATION STUDY AREAS

The area studied for potential evacuation is the plume exposure pathway emergency planning zone (EPZ) for the Byron Station. For the purposes of this study several evacuation study areas were defined within the EPZ.

The study areas for evacuation of the plume EPZ approximate the 0-2 mile, $2-5 \mathrm{mile}$, and $5-10$ mile sector groupings suggested in NUREG-0654, Appendix 4. These evacuation study areas were discussed with and favorably reviewed by ESDA officials of Ogle County and the State of Illinois.

Figure 2-1 identifies the ten evacuation study areas. Table 2-1 identifies the location of these ten study areas and the local communities contained within each study area.

### 2.2 PRIMARY EVACUATION ZONES

To facilitate preparation of realistic evacuation time estimates, the evacuation study areas were grouped into ten primary evacuation zones. These evacuation zones are designated as follows:

- 0-2 mile, Evacuation Study Areas A and B
- $0-5$ mile, Evacuation Study Areas $A, B$ and $C$
- 0-5 mile, Evacuation Study Areas A, B and D
- 0-5 mile, Evacuation Study Areas A,B and E
- 0-5 mile, Evacuation Study Areas A,B and F
- 0-10 mile, Evacuation Study Areas A through F and G
- 0-10 mile, Evacuation Study Areas A through F and H
- $0-10$ mile, Evacuation Study Areas A through F and I
- 0-10 mile, Evacuation Study Areas A through F and J
- Full EPZ, Evacuation Study Areas A through J. (Full EPZ A-J Scenario is analyzed in accordance with the guidance of Appendix 4 of NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1, Rev. 1. This analysis verifies the appropriateness of the selection of the primary evacuation zone boundaries used in the other analyses.)

Evacuation time estimates were developed for each of these primary evacuation zones for the conditions discussed in the following sections.

### 2.3 EVACUATION SCENARIOS

Evacuation scenarios were developed to simulate evacuation conditions determined by the combination of primary evacuation zones with a specified season, time of the day, and weather condition during which an evacuation may be necessary. There are ten primary evacuation zones, two seasons (summer and winter), two time considerations (daytime and nighttime), and two weather conditions (normal and adverse). Therefore, a total of eighty evacuation scenarios were considered in this evacuation time estimate study.

### 2.3.1 Seasonal and Diurnal Farameters

Section 3 describes demographic data in detail. The following paragraphs summarize this description.

The summer season attracts additional visiting and transient populations to the area of Byron Station, principally as vacationers to the recreational facilities. Therefore, the summer daytime scenarios include the permanent resident, daytime employee, visiting or transient populations, and applicable special facilities populations. The summer nighttime scenarios include the permanent resident, nighttime employee, overnight transient and applicable special facilities populations.

The winter daytime scenarios include the permanent resident, daytime employee, school district, and applicable transient and special facilities populations. The winter nighttime scenarios include the permanent resident, nighttime employee, and applicable transient and special facilities populations.

The above baseline evacuation scenarios are representative of weekday conditions because they occur most frequently. Additional simulations of Special Events were undertaken (see Section 6) which address large weekend events. These Special Events are the Autumn on Parade, which occurs the first weekend in October, and large weekend events at the Byron Dragway and Moto Sports Park.

### 2.3.2 Weather Parameters

Normal and adverse weather conditions were also considered. For the purposes of this study, adverse weather conditions are assumed to reduce road capacity to 80 percent of normal weather capacity, and include conditions that may impair visibility and/or traction, such as light snow, icing, rain, or fog.

Transportation studies ${ }^{5}$ and the opinions of recognized traffic experts ${ }^{6}$ indicate that such conditions can reduce road capacity to $76-92$ percent of normal weather capacity. Review of the Byron area meteorology has revealed that these weather conditions occur, on an annual basis, about 10 percent of the time.? It is important to note that this study does not suggest that evacuations will or should occur under all adverse weather conditions. Under certain extremely adverse weather conditions where evacuation may be infeasible, the alternative protective action of sheltering would be recommended.

TABLE 2-1

## LOCAL COMMUNITIES BY EVACUATION STUDY AREA

| Evacuation Area | County | Study <br> Area (1) | Local Communities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-2 \text { Miles }$ <br> North | Ogle | A | Rockvale Twp., Marion Twp. <br> (Byron Nuclear Gonerating Station) |
| 0-2 Miles South | Ogle | B | Rockvale Twp., Marion Twp. (Byron Nuclear Generating Station) |
| 2-5 Miles Northeast | Ogle | C | Rockvale Twp., Byron Twp., Marion Twp., Byron |
| 2-5 Miles <br> Southeast | Ogle | D | Marion Twp. , Pine Rock Twp. |
| 2-5 Miles <br> Southwest | Ogle | E | Rockvale Twp., Oregon Twp., Pine Rock Twp., Oregon, |
| 2-5 Miles <br> Northwest | Ogle | F | Rockvale Twp., Byron Twp. |
| 5-10 Miles <br> Northeast | Ogle <br> Winnebago | G | Byron Twp., Rockford Twp., <br> Marion Twp., Scott Twp. . <br> Winnebago Twp., Seward Twp., <br> Davis Junction, Stillman Valley, <br> Westfield Corners |
| 5-10 Miles Southeast | Ogle | H | Scott Twp., Marion Twp., White <br> Rock Twp., Pine Rock Twp., Holcomb, <br> Davis Junction, Chana, <br> Paynes Point, Flagg Twp. |
| 5-10 Miles Southwest | Ogle | I | Oregon Twp., Nashua Twp., Pine Creek Twp., Mt. Morris Twp., Rockvale Twp., Mt. Morris, Daysville, Honey Creek |
| 5-10 Miles <br> Northwest | Ogle Winnebago | J | Rockvale Twp., Mt. Morris Twp., Leaf River Twp., Byron Twp., Seward Twp., Lightsville, Leaf River, Myrtle, Egan |

NOTE:
(1) See Figure 2-1 for Study Area Identification.
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EVACUATION STUDY AREAS

## SECTION 3

## DEMOGRAPHIC AND VEHICLE DATA

Demographic information for this study was derived from the official 1980 census data. ${ }^{9}$ Additional information was derived from field survey work, and communications with Ogle County and State of Illinois Emergency Services and Disaster Agency (ESDA) officials. Further information was obtained by Illinois ESDA personnel from local property owners and employers. The vehicle data were derived from the demographic data and the average number of persons per household in Ogle County. The study assumes one vehicle per household. The number of registered vehicles in Ogle and Winnebago Counties supports this assumption. Figures $3-1$ through $3-10$ present specific population and vehicle data by compass sector and distance from the Byron Station for various seasonal and diurnal conditions. As discussed in Section 1.2, the evacuation time estimates are relatively insensitive to changes in population.

This study identifies 21,622 permanent residents within the evacuation study areas. Figures $3-1$ and $3-2$ present the permanent resident population and vehicle data by compass sector and distance. Tables 3-2 through 3-5 present various transient and special facilities populations. For the purpose of this study, as required by NUREG 0654, Appendiz 4, special facilities are those institutions or other population concentrations which are transportation-dependent or may have other special concerns. Transient (non-resident) and special racilities include, as appropriate, schools, major employers, recreation areas, and health care facilities. Schools are shown on Figure 3-11. Figures 3-12
and 3-13 identify the locations of transient populations, including major employers and camps and recreation areas. Major employers are shown on Figure 3-12. Health care facilities, campgrounds, and other similar institutions within the EPZ are shown on Figure 3-13.

The summer daytime period represents the period of greatest population. This study identifies a summer daytime population of 44,493 persons. This figure was derived by adding the number of employees, transients, and applicable special facilities populations to the permanent population. The summer daytime period also has the greatest number of vehicles due to the increase in transients. The study identifies a summer nighttime population of 28,339 including the permanent resident, nighttime employee, overnight transients, and applicable special facilities populations.

This study identifies a winter daytime population of 34,806 which includes the permanent resident, daytime employee, applicable transient and special facilities populations. The winter nighttime population is representative of the permanent resident, nighttime employee, and applicable transient and special facilities populations, and is the period with the lowest number of individuals and vehicles.

Table 3-1 summarizes the population and vehicle data by seasonal period for each of the evacuation study areas. This population data does not include short term events such as the Autumn on Parade and large events at the Byron Dragway and Moto Sports Speedway. These events are discussed in Section 3.4.

NUREG 0654, Appendix 4, recommends that the public transport-dependent population be considered. There are no public transportation systems in the Byron EPZ. Accordingly, this recommendation is not applicable.

### 3.1 SIMMMER SEASON POPULATION DATA

The summer season, as defined by this study, extends from about Memorial Day weekend through Labor Day weekend. There is a significant population influx during this season. The specific population combinations are discussed in the following sections.

### 3.1.1 Summer Daytime Data

Summer daytime population includes transients (e.g. recreation area visitors, see Table 3-4), and applicable special facilities populations (see Table $3-5$ ), in addition to the permanent resident and employee populations. Figures $3-3$ and $3-4$ present this data by compass sector for population and vehicles, respectively.

### 3.1.2 Summer Nighttime Data

The summer nighttime population includes overnight transients (e.g. motels and applicable campgrounds), and applicable special facilities populations (see Table $3-5$ ), in addition to the permanent resident and nighttime employee populations. Figures $3-5$ and $3-6$ present this data by compass sector for population and vehicles, respectively.

### 3.2 WINTER SEASON POPULATION DATA

The winter season, as defined by this study, extends from about Labor Day through Memorial Day. This season includes the non-tourist months, when schools are in session. The specific population combinations are discussed in the following sections.

### 3.2.1 Finter Daytime Data

Winter daytime populations include permanent residents, school enrollments (see Table 3-2), employees (see Table 3-3), applicable camps and recreational facilities (see Table 3-4), and applicable special facilities (see Table $3-5$ ). The winter daytime populations and vehicle data are shown by compass sector on Figures $3-7$ and $3-8$.

### 3.2.2 Winter Nighttime Data

Winter nighttime populations include permanent residents, employee night-shift populations, and applicable special facilities (see Table $3-5$ ). The winter nighttime populations and corresponding vehicle data are shown by compass sector on Figures 3-9 and 3-10.

### 3.3 TRANSIENT AND SPECIAL FACILITIES POPULATION DATA

For the purpose of this study, as required by NUREG 0654 , Appendix 4 , special facilities are those institutions or other population concentrations which are transportation-dependent or may have other special concerns. Transient and special facilities include, as appropriate, schools, major employers, recreation areas, and health care facilities. Population data for these facilities are shown on Tables $3-2,3-3,3-4$ and $3-5$ respectively.

The locations of schools within the EPZ are identified on Figure 3-11. Figures 3-12 and 3-13 identify the locations of transient populations, including major employers, motels, and camps and recreation areas. Figure 3-12 presents the location of major employers. Nursing homes, motels, campgrounds, the ogle County jail, and other similar institutions within the EPZ are identified on Figure 3-13.

### 3.4 SPECIAL EVENTS POPULATIONS

The Special Event populations associated with infrequent short-term activities or special events were identified. Included as special Events in this study are: the Autumn on Parade, which takes place in the Byron EPZ once a year in October; concurrent peak sttendance racing events at the Byron Dragway and the Moto Sports Park which could occur on a weekend during the summer; and a special evacuation sensitivity analysis of transient populations at the recreational areas within the $E P Z$ on a summer holiday to determine the sensitivity of the evacuation time estimates to recreational area populations.

The estimated number of additional people in the EPZ associated with the Autumn on Parade, which takes place on the first weekend in October, is approximately 25,000 people. The peak attendance figures at the Byron Dragway and the Moto Sports Park were estimated to be 15,000 and 10,000 , respectively, for concurrent major racing events during the summer. To generate the population data for the special evacuation sensitivity analysis of recreational areas, the transient population data for the camps and recreation areas within the EPZ as identified in Table 3-4, were increased by 50 percent.

The Special Event populations were added to the applicable permanent resident, employee, transient, and special facilities populations. The results of these Special Event analyses are presented in Section 6.3.

## table 3-1

demographic and vehicle data by evacuation study areaf 1 )

| Evacuation Study Area | Summer (2) |  |  | Winter(3) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daytime |  | Niqhttime | Popuration(4) $\frac{\text { Dayt }}{}$ ime $\frac{\text { Vehicles(5) }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Nighttime }}{\text { Population(4) Vehicles(5) }}$ |
|  | Population(4) | Vehicles(5) | Population(4) Vehicles(5) | Popuistion(4) Venicies(5) | Popuiation(4) Veniclesisi |
| A | 6,657 | 3,032 | $1,257 \quad 430$ | 657229 | 657 |
| B | 3,600 | 1,759 | $800 \quad 359$ | $3,600 \quad 1,759$ | 800359 |
| C | 6,715 | 2,626 | $4,914 \quad 1,743$ | $4,714 \quad 1,575$ | 3,414 1,269 |
| D | 392 | 134 | 392 134 | 392 - 134 | 392 134 |
| E | 8,770 | 3,139 | $5,090 \quad 1,845$ | 7,626 2,501 | 4,945 1,801 |
| F | $49=$ | 183 | 352 121 | $452 \quad 171$ | 352 121 |
| G | 4,736 | 1,601 | $4,436 \quad 1,571$ | 5,523 1,586 | 4,286 1.503 |
| H | 2,230 | 784 | $2,207 \quad 771$ | 2,180 726 | $2,030 \quad 714$ |
| 1 | 8,414 | 3,275 | $6,460 \quad 2,248$ | $6,807 \quad 2,646$ | 5,0051 1,858 |
| $J$ | 2,433 | 859 | 2,433 (859 | 2,855 | 2,433 (859 |

NOTES:
(1) Data derived from the official 1980 census data and field survey work as discussed in Section 3 .
(2) Refer to Section 3.1 for description of summer population combinations.
(3) Refer to Section 3.2 for description of winter population combinations.
(4) Data derived from Tables $3-2,3-3,3-4$, and $3-5$ and Figures $3-1,3-3,3-5,3-7$, and $3-9$.
(5) Data derived from Tables $3-2,3-3,3-4$, and $3-5$ and assumptions in Section 4. 1. 3 . The data are presented on Figures $3-2$, 3-4, 3-6, 3-8, and 3-10.

## TABLE 3-2

SCHOOLS WITHIN THE EMERGENCY PLANNING ZONE (1)

| Facility |
| :--- |
| No. (2) |
| 41 |
| 42 |
| 43 |
| 44 |
| 45 |
| 46 |
| 49 |
| 50 |
| 51 |
| 52 |
| 53 |
| 54 |
| $55 a$ |
| $55 b$ |
| $55 c$ |
| 56 |
| 57 |
| 58 |
| $50 T E S$ |
| 5 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Direction/ } \\ \text { Mile } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Study Area |
| :---: | :---: |
| WSW/8-9 | 1 |
| WSW/8-9 | 1 |
| WSW/8-9 | 1 |
| SW/5-6 | E |
| SW/5-6 | E |
| SW/5-6 | E |
| SSW/4-5 | $E$ |
| SW/3-4 | E |
| WNW/7-8 | $J$ |
| WSW/8-9 | 1 |
| NNE / 3-4 | C |
| NNE / 3-4 | C |
| ENE/5-6 | G |
| ENE/5-6 | G |
| ENE/5-6 | G |
| NNE/3-4 | C |
| SW/5-6 | £ |
| SSW/4-5 | E |
| SW/5-6 | 1 |


| e of School No. of Students/Staff(3) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mt. Morris E.S. | $341 / 30$ |
| Mt. Morris Jr. H.S. | 187/15 |
| Mt. Morris Sr. H.S. | 226/21 |
| Oregon Community H.S. | 402/41 |
| Etnyre Middle School | 380/29 |
| Jefferson E.S. | 445/31 |
| Oregon Bible College | 40/15 |
| Lorado Taft Field Campus | 150/30 |
| Leaf River Community School, $\mathrm{k}=12$ | 384/38 |
| Ogie County Educational Coop - Mt. Morris | 35/32 |
| Byron Middle School | 232(4) |
| Mary Morgan Elementary Sciool, K-5 | 417(4) |
| Highland Grade School \& Early Childhood | 349/23 |
| Meridian Jr. H.S. | 327/30 |
| Stillman Valley H.S. | 467/41 |
| Byron H.S. | 400(4) |
| Oregon County Education Cooperative, Oregon High Annex | 75/7 |
| Oregon Community Daycare Center | 30/5 |
| Village of Progress | 91/20 |

(1) Information is based on data available in January 1984. As discussed in section 1 , the evacuation time (2) estimates are relatively insensitive to changes in population
(2) See Figure 3-11 for school locations
3) This information provided by State of IIlinois ESDA personnel responsible for the development of IPRA, Byron Volume VI (See Reference 6).
(4) Numbers represent students plus staff.

## TABLE 3-3

MAJOR EMPLOYERS WIIdIN THE EMERGENCY PLANNING ZONE(1) (2)


## TABLE 3-4

CAMPS AND RECREATION AREAS WITHIN THE EMERGENCY PLANNING ZONE(1)

| Facility No. (2) | Direction/ Mile | Study Area | Facility Name | Periods of Operation | Transient Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Facilit Name |  | Day Night |
| 1 | SW/8-9 | 1 | Lake LaDonna | Summer/Day \& Night | 20001100 |
| 3 | W/2-3 | A | River Road Camping and Marina | Summer/Day \& Night | 1000500 |
| 4(3) | N/1-2 | A | Moto Sports Park | Summer/Day \& Night | 5000100 |
| 6 | SW/8-9 | 1 | White Pines Ranch | Summer/Day \& Night Winter/Day \& Night | $\begin{array}{ll}250 & 250 \\ 150 & 150\end{array}$ |
| $7(3)$ | N/2-3 | C | Byron Dragway | Summer/Day \& Night | 1000100 |
| 8 | NNE/4-5 | C | Lake Louise | Summer/Day \& Night | 20001400 |
| 9 | WSW/3-4 | E | Stronghold Camp | Summer/Day \& Night Winter/Day \& Night | $\begin{array}{rr} 200 & 140 \\ 70 & 70 \end{array}$ |
| 10 | SSW/8-9 | 1 | Castle Rock State Park and Nature Preserve | Summer/Day Winter/Day | $\begin{array}{ll}350 & 0 \\ 100 & 0\end{array}$ |
| 11 | SW/3-4 | E | Lowden Menorial State Park | Summer/Day \& Night Winter/Day \& Night | $\begin{array}{rr}2600 & 100 \\ 500 & 25\end{array}$ |
| 12 | SSW/9-10 | 1 | Camp Lowden Boy Scout Camp | Summer/Day \& Night Winter/Day \& Night | $\begin{array}{ll} 200 & 200 \\ 150 & 150 \end{array}$ |
| 13 | NNE/6-7 | G | Camp McCormick Girl Scout Camp | Summer/Day \& Night Winter/Day \& Night | $\begin{array}{ll}250 & 250 \\ 100 & 100\end{array}$ |
| 14 | ESE/6-7 | H | Kings Camp | Summer/Day \& Niight | 150150 |
| 15 | NNW/2-3 | F | Byron Sportsmen's Ciub | Year-round | 1000 |
| 16 | SSW/6-7 | 1 | Lutheran Outdoor Ministry | Summer/Day \& Night Winter/Day \& Night | $\begin{array}{ll} 250 & 250 \\ 140 & 140 \end{array}$ |
| 17 | SSE/8-9 | H | Rochelle Conservation Club | Summer/Day \& Night Winter/Day | $\begin{array}{rr}50 & 25 \\ 150 & 0\end{array}$ |
| 18 | WSW/9-10 | 1 | Moosehart Camp Ross | Summer/Day \& Night | $60 \quad 60$ |
| 19 | NW/2-3 | F | Mt. Morris Boat Club | Summer/Day | 400 |
| 20 | ENE/2-3 | C | Weld Memorial Park | Summer/Day | 50 0 |

TABLE 3-4 (Cont)


## TABLE 3－5

health care facilities，motels and county Jail WITHIN THE EMERGENCY PLANNING ZONE（1）

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Facility } \\ & \text { No. (2) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Direction/ } \\ \text { Mile } \end{gathered}$ | Study Area | Facility Name | Resident／Staff Population Day Night |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | WSW／6－7 | 1 | Mt．Morris Motel | $22 \quad 22$ |
| 62 | SW／4－5 | E | V．I．P．Motel | $24 \quad 24$ |
| 63 | NNE／3－4 | c | The Neighbors Nursing and Care Center | 134 |
| 64 | SSW／5－6 | E | White Pines Manor | 74 析 |
| 65 | WSW／7－8 | 1 | Pine Crest Manor | 192118 |
| 68 | SSW／4－5 | E | Ogie County Jail | $35 \quad 35$ |
| 69 | NNE／7－8 | G | Black Hawk Trails Motel | 12 12 |

Notes
his information was provided by State of lllinois ESDA personnel responsible for the development of IPRA，Byron解解
（2）See Figure 3－13 for facility locations．


| 0.2 Mi | 0.5 Mi | 0.10 Mi | 2.5 Mi | $5.10 \mathrm{M1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 654 | 7182 | 21622 | 6528 | 14440 |



THIS DATA IS INCLUDED WITH THE $1-2$ MILE SECTOR POPULATIONS ON SUESEQULNT POPULATION DISTRIBUTION FIGURES

FIGURE 3-1
PERMANENT POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY COMPASS SECTOR


| 0.2 M 1 | 0.5 Mi | 0.10 Mi | 2.5 Mi | 5.10 Mi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 226 | 2531 | 7638 | 2305 | 5107 |



* this data is included with the $1-2$ MILE SECTOR VEHICLES ON SUBSEQUENT VEHICLE DISTRIBUTION FIGURES

FIGURE 3-2
PERMANENT VEHICLE DISTRIBUTION BY COMPASS SECTOR


| 0.2 mi | 0.5 mi | 0.10 Mi | 2.5 Mi | 5.10 Mi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8994 | 23643 | 43958 | 14649 | 20315 |



FIGURE 3-3
POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY COMPASS SECTOR - SUMMER DAY

| 0.2 Mi | $0.5 \mathrm{M1}$ | 0.10 M 1 | 2.5 Mi | $5.10 \mathrm{M1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 4396 | 9726 | 17222 | 5330 | 7496 |


o-imilemadius

FIGURE 3-4
VEHICLE DISTRIBUTION BY COMPASS SECTOR - SUMMER DAY


| 0.2 Mi | 0.5 Mi | 0.10 Mi | 2.5 Mi | 5.10 Mi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1294 | 10399 | 27858 | 9105 | 17489 |



FIGURE 3-5
POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY COMPASS SECTOR - SUMMER NIGHT


| 0.2 mi | 0.5 M | 0.10 Mi | 2.5 Mi | 5.10 Mi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 546 | 3755 | 9851 | 3209 | 6096 |



FIGURE 3-6
VEHICLE DISTRIBUTION BY COMPASS SECTOR-SUMMER NIGHT

| 0.2 Mi | 0.5 Mi | 0.10 Mi | 2.5 Mi | 5.10 Mi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3994 | 13362 | 34325 | 9368 | 20963 |


o- iaile radius

FIGURE 3-7
POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY COMPASS SECTOR-WINTER DAY


| 0.2 Mi | 0.5 Mi | 0.10 Mi | 2.5 Mi | 5.10 Mi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1896 | 5225 | 12043 | 3329 | 6818 |



O-IMILE RADIUS

FIGURE 3-8
VEHICLE DISTRIBUTION BY COMPASS SECTOR - WINTER DAY


| 0.2 M | 0.5 M | 0.10 Mi | 2.5 Mi | 5.10 Mi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1194 | 8154 | 23833 | 6960 | 15679 |

FIGURE 3-9
POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY COMPASS SECTOR-WINTER NIGHT


| 0.2 Mi | 0.5 Mi | 0.10 Mi | 2.5 Mi | 5.10 Mi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 496 | 3036 | 8677 | 2540 | 5641 |



FIGURE 3 - 10
VEHICLE DISTRIBUTION BY COMPASS SECTOR-WINTER NIGHT
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FIGURE 3-11
SPECIAL FACILITIES-SCHOOLS
$8408220112-03$
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FIGURE 3-12
TRANSIENT POPULATIONMAJOR EMPLOYERS
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NOTE
NUMBERS REFER TO FACILITIES IDENTIFIED ON TABLES 3－4 AND 3－5．

SOURCE：Based on revised Ilinois general highway maps for Ogle $(12 / 79)$ and Winnebago $(12 / 80)$ counties．
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TRANSIENT POPULATION－ CAMPS，RECREATION AREAS AND SPECIAL FACILITIES

This section presents the methodology and assumptions used to develop the evacuation time estimates for the general population. Additionally, the methodology and assumptions used for a separate assessment for selected special facilities as required by NUREG 0654, Appendix 4, are presented.

### 4.1 GENERAL POPULATION EVACUATION TIME ESTIMATES

The general population, for the purposes of developing evacuation time estimates, is comprised of the permanent population, transients, and special facilities population appropriate for each of the particular evacuation scenarios. The general population evacuation time estimates are presented in Section 6.1.

The general population evacuation time estimates were calculated using the NETVAC2 computerized traffic simulation model, ${ }^{2}$ which was developed to simulate the traffic flow over a transportation network during an evacuation. As input for the evacuation time estimates, this model utilizes site specific road network and vehicle data, as well as public notification and mobilization times.

### 4.1.1 Evacuation Time Estimates Methodology

The NETVAC2 model was developed primarily for calculating the evacuation times for areas around nuclear power facilities. This model simulates the flow of traffic from entry nodes, where the vehicles enter the road network, to the exit nodes, located outside the EPZ boundary, where the
vehicles leave the network. The model uses the Highway Capacity Manual ${ }^{3}$ equations and revisions contained in the Interim Material on Highway Capacity ${ }^{4}$ to calculate the capacity of the road network. In addition, vehicle speeds on the road network are computed using the inverse linear relationship between speed and density presented in the Highway Capacity Manual. The NETVAC2 model has been extensively used for other evacuation studies similar to the Bycon Study, and the results in those other studies have been favorably reviewed by the appropriate local, State and Federal authorities.

The NETVAC2 model allows a detailed evacuation roadway network to be analyzed by utilizing an area specific data base, which includes link (road segment) length, lane width, number of lanes, node (intersection, point of entry, or point of exit) approach width, shoulder width, traffic controls, signal timing, turning lanes, and direction of turns. This data is used to determine road network capacity and direction of traffic flow. The evacuation road network is discussed in Section 5 .

Additional input data is used by the model to derive vehicle lnading rates for each primary evacuation zone. Vehicles are entered at specific points (nodes) on the network and their loading rates varied with time. Therefore the vehicle loading rate is tailored to match actual population centers and time distributions of the public's response to notification. This notification response was approximated by a probability distribution of the public's reaction time during an evacuation and is discussed in detail in Section 4.1.2.

NETVAC2 also uses dynamic route choice, which means that vehicle turning movements at individual intersections are changed with traffic conditions to reduce the number of vehicles that have turned onto a congested roadway. Appendix A discusses the NETVAC2 Model in greater detail.

### 4.1.2 Public Response Times and Network Loading Rates

The range and variation of public reaction to evacuation notification was described by a probability distribution of response times. This response time distribution was derived by combining the response time distributions for several components of the public response to the evacuation notification process. These components are as follows:

- Receive Warning, the time period between the activation of the prompt public notification system and the receipt by the public of the message to evacuate ;
- Leave Work, the time period required for employees to leave work and travel to their vehicles;
- Travel from Work to Home, the time period required to drive from work to home; and
- Prepare Home for Evacuation, the time period required to gather essential belongings and prepare home for evacuation.

Total mobilization times were determined by combining these components for each evacuation scenario. The range and average public response times for each of these components are shown in Table 4-1. These
response times were favorably reviewed by Ogle county and State of Illinois ESDA officials.

A normal distribution was assumed for the time span of these individual components. This distribution represents the situation in which most persons respond in the average time for a given event, while fewer individuals respond earlier and later than the average time. Therefore, the cumulatave probability distribution of each of these components is an "S" shaped curve. The curves have been derived by using standard mathematical techniques based on NUREG-0654/FEMA-REP-1, Appendix $4^{1}$ recommendations. Figure 4-1 presents the distribution curves used for this study. Nighttime curves were derived by combining two components: Receive Warning and Prepare Home for Evacuation. Daytime curves were derived by combining four components: Receive Warning, Leave Work, Travel Home, and Prepare Home for Evacuation. The cumulative distribution of these different components, when combined, has an " S " shaped curve similar to the curves for the individual components, and represents the spectrum of public response times.

These public response time curves were used to determine the rate and time for vehicle loading. These curves provide information on the percentage of people leaving their homes within specific periods of time. Vehicles were loaded onto the evacuation roadway network using the percentage and times outlined in the public response time curves.

It should be noted that during the course of developing these public response times, this study assessed the employment center shut down times for the major employers in the EPZ as listed in Table 3-3. Eleven
of the thirteen major employers have shut down times of 60 minutes or less. The remaining two major employers (Quality Metal Finishing Co. in Byron and Acme Resin Co. outside of Oregon) have shut down times of from 90 to 120 minutes, although in both cases only a small percentage of the work force would be needed for this entire time period with the majority of the employees being dismissed in less than 60 minutes.

Since the response times of the major employers are well within the cumulative public response time for most evacuation scenarios, no modification of the public response curves because of the employment center shut down times is necessary or appropriate. However, because two of the major employers have shut down times of from 90 to 120 minutes, which approximates the general public evacuation time estimates for some scenarios and could therefore result in slightly longer evacuation times for those employees needed to shut down these facilities, appropriate notations were made to Tables $1-1,6-1$, and 6-2.
4.1.3 Assumptions Used in Developing the Evacuation Time Estimates

- The prompt public notification system, which utilizes sirens, will be used. (Based on this system, the time to notify essentially 100 percent of the full plume exposure pathway EPZ population has been estimated to be 15 minutes.)
- Evacuation network routes will be passable.
- Persons within the plume exposure pathway EPZ, when instructed to evacuate, will leave.
- People in the outer primary evacuation zones will not evacuate when an inner primary evacuation zone is the only zone recommended to be evacuated.
- Adequate transportation will be available for summer camps.
- Evacuation of health care facilities, recreation areas, and other special facilities will occur simultaneously with the general population evacuation.
- Traffic rules and controls will be obeyed; only the proper travel lane will be used (not shoulders or opposite flow lanes); and traffic lights will be functioning normally or traffic control officers will be stationed at the location of nonfunctioning traffic lights.
- No major traffic will be on the road network prior to the start of an evacuation.
- Appropriate traffic and access control points will be manned.
- Roadway capacities will be reduced to 80 percent of normal weather capacity during adverse weather. 5, 6,7 Adverse weather conditions are those that may impair visibility and/or traction such as light snow, icing, rain, or fog.
- Private vehicles will be the primary mode of evacuation.
- Only one vehicle per household will be used in an evacuation. (There are 2.8 persons per household, based un an average of people per houselioid in ogle County. ${ }^{9}$ )
- One vehicle per two visitors at clubs will be used in an evacuation.
- Boats will moor and the occupants will then evacuate by car with one vehicle allotted per boat.
- One vehicle per campsite at recreational campgrounds will be used for evacuation. (This assumes 3.3 people per vehicle as this is the Illinois State average number of people per family. ${ }^{10}$ )
- One vehicle per employee at major employers will be used in an evacuation.

People without vehicies will receive rides from either reighbors or designated public service vehicles in accordance with the Standard Operating Procedures contained in IPRA - Byron Volume VI. (Since there are no public transportation systems in the Byron EPZ, residents without vehicles would have developed some transportation options for general daily use and movements. Additionally, Standard

Operating Procedure $6-$ SOP-8 of IPRA-Byron, Vol. VI ${ }^{2}$ has a number of mechanisms for providing transportation assistance to individuals and/or groups during an emergency. IPRA-Byron Vol. VI also has in place 6-SOP-12 by which a Mobility Impaired Transportation List for the Byron EPZ has been developed and is maintained by Ogle county emergency planning officials so as to be available during an emergency.)

### 4.2 SELECTED SPECIAL FACILITIES EVACUATION TIME ESTIMATE METHODOLOGY AND ASSUMPTIONS

In addition to deriving an estimate of the time required to evacuate the general population, NUREG 0654, Appendix 4 requires that separate estimates be made of the times required to evacuate special facilities within the EPZ. Special facilities selected to be analyzed in Annex A to this study include school districts and nursing homes in the Byron EPZ. The separate evacuation time estimates for these selected special facilities are presented in Section 6.2.

Selected special facility evacuation time estimates include mobilization time for obtaining transportation, time for loading persons into vehicles, and the travel time out of the EPZ. Appropriate mobilization and loading times for the selected special facilities evaluated in this study were obtained by State of Illinois ESDA personnel through interviews with facility officials.

Travel time out of the plume exposure pathway EPZ was determined using the average vehicle speed calculated by the NETVAC2 model along the appropriate evacuation route. Data for these calculations were taken from the general population evacuation simulation for the full EPZ winter daytime scenario for both normal and adverse weather conditions. The winter simulation was utilized for the selected special facilities evacuation time estimates since the winter period is concurrent with the school year. The mobilization time estimates for each selected special facility were combined with the travel time out of the plume exposure pathway EPZ to calculate the total selected special facility evacuation
time. The Byron Station EPZ school district and nursing home evacuation time estimates and feasibility analyses are presented in Annex A.

The evacuation time estimates for the special event analyses as defined in Section 3.4 were calculated using the NETVAC? computerized traffic simulation model ${ }^{2}$ discussed in Section 4.1 . Only two additional assumptions were used for these special event evacuation analyses. Specifically, the primary evacuation routes were modified to represent a more realistic flow of traffic from the Byron Dragway and the Moto Sports Park as follows: 1) vehicles from Byron Dragway would travel both north and south along River Road; and 2) vehicles from the Moto Sports Park would likewise travel both north and south along German Church Road. Additionally, it was also assumed that a traffic control point would be in place at the intersection of kiver and German Church Roads to facilitate the maximum flow of vehicles at that point on the evacuation roadway network.

TABLE 4-1
PUBLIC RESPONSE TIME ESTIMATES(1)


## NOTES:

(1) Favorably reviewed by Ogle County and State of Illinois ESDA officials.
(2) This range implies that some people will initiate the identified event within the lower range of the component times while others will initiate the event within the upper range of the component times.
(3) See Figure 4-1 for public response distribution curves.
(4) An average time of 15 minutes was utilized with a 0 to 30 minute time distribution.
(5) Daytime evacuation scenarios only.
(6) Based on a reduced adverse weather road capacity factor of 0.8 .

SEE TABLE 4-1 FOR AVERAGE TIME FOR EVENTS

## SECTION 5

## EVACUATION ROAD NETWORK DESCRIPTION

### 5.1 KOAD NETWORK DEFINITION

The series of roads designated for evacuating the plume exposure pathway $E P Z$ is called the evacuation road network. The network includes major arterials and collector roads. The major intersections are assigned numbers as nodes in the network. The road segments between these nodes are called links.

Figure 5-1 illustrates the series of links and nodes that represent the evacuation road network for the Byron Station EPZ. Appendix B presents the location of each node by identifying the names of the roads that intersect at that node. The evacuation road network exit nodes, located outside the Byron Station EPZ, are numbered in the 800 's. This evacuation road network was derived from official Illinois Department of Transportation maps and was field verified by road data collection. The evacuation network has been favorably reviewed by ogle County and State of Illinois ESDA officials.

### 5.2 ROAD CAPACITIES AND CLASSIFICATIONS

The NETVAC2 model used field data, such as road widths and travel speeds, to calculate the capacity of each of the links and nodes in the evacuation network. The specific capacities and classifications of each road and intersection in the evacuation network are presented in Appendix C. The majority of the roads in this study are classified as rural undivided highways. There are also a few rural divided highways.
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## FIGURE 5-1 <br> BYRON NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION EVACUATION ROAD NETWORK

# SECTION 6 <br> EVACUATION TIME ESTIMATES 

### 6.1 GENERAL PUBLIC EVACUATION TIMES

Evacuation time estimates for the general public, including mobilization and travel times, were calculated using the NETVAC2 model for the various combinations of the ten evacuation study areas that are discussed in Section 2. Each of the ten primary evacuation zones was analyzed for four population combinations associated with summer and winter, day and night times, and normal and adverse weather conditions. Thus, a total of eighty evacuation scenarios was considered in this evacuation time estimate study. Tables 6-1 and 6-2 present the evacuation time estimates for these NETVAC2 calculations for each primary evacuation zone.

The NETVAC 2 model results show that the summer and winter evacuation time estimates for all primary evacuation zones do not differ significantly. Under normal weather conditions, evacuation of the full EPZ takes 191 minutes for the daytime scenario and 106 to 108 minutes for the nighttime scenario. For adverse weather conditions the evacuation time estimates are 225 minutes during the day, and 113 to 114 minutes at night for the full EPZ scenario.

During normal weather conditions, the evacuation times for the $0-10$ mile evacuation zones are 191 minutes during the daytime and ange from 106 to 108 minutes for the nighttime condition. The adverse weather time ectimates are 225 minutes during the daytime and range from 113 to 114 minutes at night.

$$
6-1
$$

The evacuation time estimates for the four $0-5$ mile evacuation zones in normal weather for the daytime range from 184 minutes to 191 minutes, and for the nighttime evacuation range from 96 to 105 minutes. The adverse weather condition evacuation time estimates for the four $0-5$ mile evacuation zones during the day range from 216 minutes to 325 minutes, and at night range from 105 to 113 minutes. The evacuation time estimates for the $0-2$ mile evacuation zone are only a few minutes less than those for the $0-5$ mile zones.

For the eighty baseline evacuation scenarios, the NETVAC2 model results indicate that there would be no queuing (backup) on the evacuation road network during summer or winter, daytime or nighttime evacuations in either normal or adverse weather conditions. Consequently, the total times estimated for evacuation are only slighty longer than the total public response times.
6.2 SELECTED SPECIAL FACILITIES EVACUATION TIMESEvacuation time estimates and feasibility analyses for selected special
facilities in the Byron Station EPZ are presented in Annex A.

### 6.3 SPECIAL EVENTS EVACUATION TIMES

Special Event evacuation times were analyzed for: Autumn on Parade which takes place in the Byron EPZ once a year in October; concurrent peak attendance racing events at the Byron Dragway and the Moto Sports Park which could occur on a weekend during the summer; and a special evacuation senstivity analysis of transient populations at the recreational areas within the EPZ on a summer holiday to determine the sensitivity of the evacuation time estimate to recreational area populations, as discussed in Section 3.4.

The results of the Special Event evacuation simulation for Autumn on Parade produced an estimated evacuation time of 191 minutes, which is identical to the evacuation time for the full EPZ winter day, normal weather general population scenario. These results demonstrate the adequacy of the Byron vicinity's roadway network capacity.

The special event peak attendance evacuation simulation for 15,000 visitors at Byron Dracgway and 10,000 visitors at Moto Sports Park results in a total evacuation time of 241 minutes, which is 50 minutes greater than the full EPZ summer day, normal weather general population scenario. As discussed in Section 4.3, this analysis assumed a traffic control point at the intersection of River and German Church Roads.

The results of the special evacuation sensitivity analysis of transient populations at the recreational areas within the EPZ produced an evacuation time of 201 minutes, which is only 10 minutes longer than the estimated evacuation time for the full EPZ summer day, normal weather general population scenario.

It is important to note that, due to the favorable roadway capacity to population ratio, the evacuation time estimates are relatively insensitive to changes in population.

$$
6-5
$$

### 6.4 EVACUATION CONFIRMATION TIMES

Evacuation confirmation time, as defined by NUREG 0654, is the time required for emergency service vehicles to traverse the roads within the primary evacuation zones, and to confirm that all persons desiring to evacuate have done so. The evacuation confirmation times have been estimated by assuming that emergency service vehicles will drive the evacuated areas, road by road, at 6 miles per hour. This speed allows for the effective use of public address systems. This speed is about twice the walking speed of an adult. ${ }^{11}$ Based upon discussions with State of Illinois ESDA personnel, at least 15 vehicles were deemed to be available for confirmation of evacuation. Accordingly, that number of vehicles was assumed for this study. The time estimates for evacuation confirmation are presented in Table 6-3.

TABLE 6-1
SUMMARY OF EVACUATION TIME ESTIMATES

## SUMMER



TABLE 6-2

## SUMMARY OF EVACUATION TIME ESTIMATES

WINTER

Primary Evacuation
$\qquad$ Zone (1)

0-2 mile $A, B$
$0-5$ mile $A, B, C$
$0-5$ mile $A, B, D$
0-5 mile $A, B, E$
$0-5$ mile $A, B, F$
0-10 mile $A-F, G$
0-10 mile A-F, H
0-10 mile $A-F, 1$
$0-10$ mile $A-F, J$
FUll EPZ A-J(4)

| Population (2) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Daytime Nighttime <br> 4,257 1,457 <br> 8,971 4,871 <br> 4,649 1,849 <br> 11,883 6,402 <br> 4,709 1,809 <br> 22,964 14,846 <br> 19,621 12,590 <br> 24,248 15,565 <br> 20,296 12,993 <br> 34,806 24,314 |  |

Vehicles
Daytime Nighttime
1,988 588
$3,563 \quad 1,857$
2.122 722

4,489 2,389
2,159
7.955

7,095
9,015
7,255
12,213

| Daytime |  | Nighttime |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | Adverse | Normal | Adverse |
| 184 | 216 | 96 | 105 |
| 188 | 223 | 105(5) | $113(5)$ |
| 184 | 216 | 96 | 105 |
| 191 | 224 | 105 | 110 |
| 191 | 216 | 96 | 105 |
| 191 | 225 | 106(5) | $113(5)$ |
| 191 | 225 | 106 | 113 |
| 191 | 225 | 106(6) | $113(6)$ |
| 191 | 225 | 106 | 113 |
| 191 | 225 | 106(7) | 113(7) |

NOTES:
(1) See Figure 2-1 for evacuation study a rea locations.

Population is composed of permanent population and applicable transient and special facilities populations as discussed in Section 3.2
(3) All times have been rounded to nearest minute.
(4) See footnote (5) on Table 1-1
(4) See footnote (5) on Table 1-1. Co. in Byron has an estimated shutdown time of 90 minutes.

Consequently, the nighttime evacuation time estimate for those employees needed to shut down the facility could increase to 125 and 135 minutes for normal and adverse weather conditions, respectively.
(6) The Acme Resin Co. Outside Oregon has an estimated shutdown time of 120 minutes. Consequentiy, the nighttime evacuation 6) The Acme Resin Co. outside Oregon has an estimated shutdown those employees needed to shut down the facility could increase to 145 and 155 minutes for normal and time estimate for those employees needed
The Pine Crest Nursing Home in Mt. Morris has a facility mobilization time of 120 minutes. Consequentil, the nighttime The Pine Crest Nursing Home in Mt. Morris has a facile fility to 140 and 142 minutes, respectively.
7) As discussed in notes 5 and 6 above, the Acme Resin Co., Quality Metal Finishing Co. and Pine Crest Nursing Home may 7) As discussed in notes 5 and 6 above, the Acme Resinerai public. The nighttime evacuation time estimates for these have longer evacuation times than estimated for the general weather conditions and $135-155$ minutes during adverse weather conditions.

Evacuation Confirmation Time (3)
0-2 mile $A, B$ ..... 17
$0-5$ mile $A, B, C$ ..... 38
$0-5$ mile $A, B, D$ ..... 30
$0-5$ mile $A, B, E$ ..... 38
$0-5$ mile $A, B, F$ ..... 35
$0-10$ mile $A-F, G$ ..... 157
0-10 mile $A-F, H$ ..... 161
0-10 mile $A-F$, I ..... 152
0-10 mile A-F, J ..... 152
Full EPZ A-J (4) ..... 349

NOTES:
(1) Evacuation confirmation times are required by NUREG-0654, Appendix 4.
(2) See Figure 2-1 for evacuation study area locations which comprise the primary evacuation zones.
(3) Evacuation confirmation times are rounded to the nearest minute.
(4) See footnote (5) on Table 1-1.

## SECTION 7

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based upon this evacuation time estimate study, the entire population within the plume exposure pathway EPZ for Byron Station (including the general public and special facilities) could be evacuated in 3 to 4 hours. Except as noted in the following paragraph, the longest daytime evacuation time estimate for the EPZ in normal weather is 191 minutes; the longest daytime adverse weather evacuation time estinate for the EPZ is 225 minutes. The longest nighttime evacuation time estimate for the EPZ in normal weather is 108 minutes; the longest nighttime adverse weather evacuation time estimate for the EPZ is 114 minutes. The nighttime evacuation time estimates for Pine Crest Nursing Home, the Acme Resin Co. and the Quality Metal Finishing Co. could increase to between 125 and 145 minutes during normal weather conditions and to between 135 and 155 minutes under adverse weather conditions.

For the eighty baseline evacuation scenarios, the NETVAC2 model results indicate that there would be no queuing (backup) on the evacuation road network during summer or winter, daytime or nighttime evacuations in either normal or adverse weather conditions. For the special Event evacuation scenarios, the NETVAC2 model results indicate that there would be only one location in which queuing in the evacuation road network could affect the evacuation time estimates. Specifically, the Special Event evacuation simulation of concurrent large weekend events at the Byron Dragway and Moto Sports Park indicates queuing along German Church Road south of the town of Byron including the intersection of

Route 72, River Road, and German Church Road. Accordingly, CECo is recommending to Ogle County emergency planning ofzicials that they consider giving this intersection priority traffic control during an emergency should peak activities at the Byron Dragway and the Moto Sports Park occur simultaneously. This will result in an estimated elacuation time of 241 minutes for this scenario.

The conclusions and recommendations of this study have been provided to Ogle County and State of Illinois Emergency Services and Disaster Agency officials.
$t$
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## NETVAC2 DESCRIPTION

This Appendix describes the general structure of the NETVAC2 Model and three of its major features: the dynamic route selection, the priority treatment of flow at unsignalized intersections, and the capacity calculation.

## General Structure

NETVAC2 is organized in four basic units (procedures): the main program, the data procedure, the preprocessor, and the simulator. This section briefly explains the functions of each of these units. The main program controls the entire execution. It starts by calling on the data procedure, which reads in the data and execution instructions, then calls in the preprocessor, which performs some preliminary capacity calculations. Next, the main program controls the simulation itself and the reporting of the network conditions at specified intervals including the plotting. This program also controls the rest of the reports and the length of the simulation by terminating the program once the network is empty (or after a specified time).

The data procedure reads in the network, the parameters and the options to be used in the run. This subroutine uses a special list processing technique to store the network; the link list is stored with both forward and backward pointers. In other words, all the links pointing into and out of any given node can be easily identified at any moment
during the simulation. This list processing technique is one of the keys to the model's computational efficiency.

On request, the data procedure performs a set of checks on the network to ensure connectivity and validity. It also performs a set of checks on the input data to identify coding errors. It is expected that these checks would be performed only once for each site studied. If errors are found, the routine keeps scanning the network until it has been completely checked and th.? run is then terminated. The data procedure also produces a set of warnings if unlikely (but possible) situations are encountered.

The processor procedure converts the physical description of each link into measures of capacity, speed and density. For each specified type of link, the preprocessor computes two types of capacity:

- section capacity - which is the capacity along the link regardless of downstream intersection restrictions; and
- approach capacity - which is the capacity of the link to handle vehicles approaching the downstream intersection.

Section capacities are associated with highway sections whereas the traffic flow through intersections is controlled by the approach capacity. NETVAC2 computes both capacities since they serve different purposes. The section capacity serves as an upper bound on the flow that can move along a link, restricting the number of vehicles that will
reach the intersection during a simulation interval and the number of vehicles tiast can be loaded onto a link from the intersection. The approach capacity, on the other hand, limits the number of cars that can actually move through the intersection. Vehicles that reach the intersection but cannot move through it are assigned to a queue.

The NETVAC2 simulator includes two separate procedures, the link pass and the node pass. The link pass handles the flow on the links while the node pass handles the transfer of flow from link to link.

## Dynamic Route Selection

NETVAC2 does not use a pre-specified set of turning movements at each intersection; instead, the turning movements are determined at each simulation interval as a function of the changing traffic conditions and direction of the links. Drivers approaching an intersection are assumed to make a choice of outbound (away from the intersection) links based on how fast this outbound link can get them to safety. This, in turn, is a function of the direction of the outbound links (away from the nuclear plant or hazard area) and the traffic conditions on the outbound links.

The route selection procedure used in NETVAC2 reflects the two above-mentioned choice criteria through a user-supplied "preference factor" which is specified for each link and the speeds on each of the outbound links. To facilitate the explanation of the route choice mechanism, let $P F_{j}$ denote the preference factor for the $j-t h$ outbound link at some intersection. In other words, the relative 'a priori'
preference of link $j$ is $P F_{j k} \times P F_{k}$ where the sum goes over all the links emanating out of the node under consideration (including $j$ ). The choice probability, or the share of drivers choosing an outbound link $j$ out of a given intersection at (simulated) time $t, P_{j}(t)$, is determined as a function of the preference factors and the speeds on all the outbound links are:

$$
P_{j}(t)=\frac{P F_{j} \times U_{j}(t)}{\sum_{k} P F_{k} \times U_{k}(t)}
$$

where $U_{j}(t)$ is the speed on link $j$ at time $t$. Note that driver behavior during an evacuation is assumed to be myopic in that only information regarding the immediate outbound links at each intersection is assumed to influence route choice decisions. Also note that the $P_{j}(t) s$ are computed for each incoming link separately due to turning prohibitions from some links into some other links (a reference to the incoming link was omitted from the notation of the choice probability for clarity of exposition).

## The Priority Treatment

Even under evacuation conditions, it can be expected that traffic from certain links approaching an unsignalized intersection would have the right of way over incoming traffic from lower priority approaches. Since it is not clear that such priority would correspond to the existing intersection controls, the input to NETVAC2 includes a
user-specified link priority parameter. This is a binary parameter indicating primary or secondary priority of a link.

The volume of vehicles being processed (at every intersection and at each simulation interval) and transferred from inbound to outbound links is subject to several constraints which determine the effective capacity of the intersection. During the nulation, traffic coming in from all primary priority links is assigned to the intersection first, subject only to the intersection capacity constraints. Lower priority traffic, on the other hand, is restricted by both the capacity of the intersection and the effect of the higher priority traffic.

The capacity of the secondary priority approaches is a function of the gap acceptance behavior of the minor approach drivers and the headway distribution in the primary approaches' flow. To model the capacity of secondary priority approaches, a capacity allocation problem procedure is utilized. The secondary priority approaches emit traffic only under one of the following conditions: first, if there is residual intersection capacity from the primary priority traffic, flow can be emitted into the intersection frcm the secondary priority road subject to the residual capacity const aint. Second, if the residual capacity is zero, NETVAC2 provides soine small capacity for the lower priority approaches to allow for "sneak-in" effects.

Note that the priority treatment applies only to unsignalized intersections and that botn types of approaches (primary and secondary
priority) are treated identically in the model in all respects except for the added constraint on the flow from secondary priority approaches.

## Capacity Calculations

The capacity of a transportation facility is the maximum flow that can go through the facility. NETVAC2 determines capacity in two stages: first, the preprocessor assigns a section capacity and an approach capacity to each link in the network. Second, approach capacities are updated throughout the simulation depending on the conditions at certain points in the simulation.

The capacity calculations are based on the Highway Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual (HCM). Following this reference, the section capacity is calculated in the preprocessor for links with and without physical separation between opposing directions while the approxch capacity is calculated as a function of the physical conditions (width, parking, turning pockets, etc.), environmental conditions (area type, peak hour and load factors), traffic characteristics (traffic mix and percentage of turning movements), and approach type. Derivations of these calculations are outlined in the users manual for the model.

As mentioned before, the approach capacities calculated in the preprocessor are not the actual bounds on the flow. NETVAC2 adjusts the approach capacity continuously in order to reflect the changing vehicular movements resulting from the dynamic route selection. The
capacity of the $i^{\text {-th }}$ approach coming into an intersection at simulation interval $t, C_{i}(t)$ is given by:

$$
c_{i}(t)=C_{i} \times \operatorname{AL}(t) \times \operatorname{AR}(t)
$$

where $C_{i}$ is the standard capacity of link $i$ calculated by the preprocessor and $\mathrm{AL}(\mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{AR}(\mathrm{t})$ are the correction factors for left and right turning movements, respectively. These correction factors are a function of the percent of turning traffic, the approach width, and parking allowance, as suggested by the HCM. These factors do not apply when the turning traffic is using special turning lanes or turning pockets.

$$
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## EVACUATION NETWORK NODE IDENTIFICATION

```
Node
5
6*
7*
8*
11*
12*
13*
1 4
1 5
1 6
17*
Rte. 64 \& Church Rd.
Rte. 64 \& Meridian Rd.
Lindenwood Rd. \& Meridian Rd.
Church Rd. \& Lindenwood Rd.
Holcomb Rd. \& Church Rd.
Holcomb Rd. \& Meridian Rd.
Rte. 72 \& Meridian Rd.
Rte. 72 \& Rothwell Rd.
Rte. 72 (Chicago St.) \& Rte. 51
Rte. 72 \& Stillman Rd.
Stillman Rd. \& Holcomb Rd. (east of Stillman Rd.)
Stillman Rd. \& Holcomb Rd. (west of Stillman Rd.)
Holcomb Rd. \& German Church Rd.
Hill St., Seventh St. \& Pine St.
Rte. 64 \& German Church Rd.
Rte. 64 \& Chana Rd.
Chana Rd. \& Brick Rd.
Brick Rd. \& Stillman Rd.
Daysville Rd., Honey Creek Rd. \& Lowden Rd.
Rte. 64 \& Daysville Rd.
Rte. 64 \& River Rd.
Rte. 64 (Washington St.) \& Third St.
Third St. \& Gale St.
```

```
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## Node

## S.ocation

Gale St. \& Rte. 2 (Fourth St.)
Rte. 2 (Fourth St.) \& Rte. 64 (Washington St.)
Rte. 64 \& Monroe St.
Rte. 64 \& Ridge Rd.
Ridge Rd. \& Oregon Trail
Pines Rd. \& Ridge Rd. (east of Ridge Rd.)
Pines Rd. \& Ridge Rd. (west of Ridge Rd.)
Brayton Rd. \& S. McKendrie Ave.
N. McKendrie Ave. \& Rte. 64 (E. Hitt St.)

Rte. 64 (E. Hitt St.) \& Ogle Ave.
Mt. Morris Rd. \& West Grove Rd.
West Grove Rd. \& Leaf River Rd.
Rte. 2 \& Mud Creek Rd.
Rte. 72 \& Mt. Morris Rd.
Rte. 72 \& Main St.
Rte. 72 \& Pecatonica Rd.
Rte. 2 \& Rte. 72
Rte. 2 (Main St.) \& Tower Rd.
Second St. \& Tower Rd.
Union St. \& Rte. 2 (Main St.)
Second St. \& Union St.
Tower Rd. \& Mill Rd. (east of Tower Rd.)
Tower Rd. \& Mill Rd.
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```
Node
58* Montague Rd. & Tower Rd.
59* Pecatonica Rd. & Montague Rd.
62*
63*
64*
65*
66*
67*
68*
69*
7 4
75*
86*
88
89
90*
91*
92*
93*
94*
103*
104
105*
    Location
Montague Rd. \& Tower Rd.
Pecatonica Rd. \& Montague Rd.
Rte. 72, German Church Rd. \& River Rd.
Rte. 72 \& Kishwaukee Rd.
Rte. 2 \& Kennedy Hill Rd.
Kennedy Hill Rd. \& McGregor Rd.
McGregor Rd. \& Meridian Rd.
Rte. 2 \& Meridian Rd.
Meridian Rd. \& Kishwaukee Rd.
Stillman Valley Rd. \& Meridian Rd.
Montague Rd. \& Osborne Rd.
Westfield Rd., Kennedy Hill Rd. \& Montague Rd.
Stillman Valley Rd. \& Rothwell Rd.
Rte. 64, 1 block north of Monroe St.
Rte. 2 (Fourth St.) \& Ford St.
Jackson St., between Rte. 2 \& Rte. 64
Rte. 2 \& Camling Rd.
Rte. 72 \& Junction Rd.
Rte. 2 \& Castle Rd.
Rte. 64 (W. Hitt St.) \& S. Reynolds Ave.
Rte. 64 \& White Rock Rd.
Lindenwood Rd. \& Stillman Valley Rd.
Brick Rd. \& German Church Rd.
```
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| Node | Location |
| :---: | :---: |
| 106* | Honey Creek Rd. \& Chana Rd. |
| 107* | Daysville Rd. (Daysville North City Limits) |
| 108* | River Rd. \& Park Rd. |
| 109* | River Rd. \& Spring Creek Rd. |
| 110* | River Rd. \& Devil's Lane |
| 111* | River Rd. \& Razorville Rd. |
| 112* | River Rd. \& Byron Dragway Entrance |
| 113* | Ash Rd, \& German Church Rd. |
| 114* | German Church Rd. \& N. Site Access Rd. |
| 115* | German Church Rd. \& S. Site Access Rd. |
| 116* | Rte. 72 \& Bradley St. |
| 117* | Stillman Rd. \& Valley Dr. |
| 118* | Rte. 72 \& Armour Rd. |
| 120* | Holcomb Rd. \& Junction Rd. |
| 121 | Rothwell Rd. \& Edson Rd. |
| 122 | Tower Rd. \& Byron High School Entrance |
| 124* | Mt. Morris Rd. \& Midtown Rd. |
| 125 | West Grove Rd. \& Adeline Rd. |
| 129* | Kendall Rd. \& Montague Rd. |
| 801** | Rte. 51, north of Utility Rd. |
| 802** | Rte. 72, west of Blackwood Rd. |
| 803** | Rte. 51 \& Big Mound Rd. |
| 804** | Rte. 51 \& Holcomb Rd. |

$$
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## NETVAC2 COMPUTER OUTPUT

需
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## KEY TO NETVAC2 COMPUTER PRINTOUT
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PRF $=$ User preference or movement along each outbound link in terms of percentage. Preferences are initially assigned based upon free-flow conditions. Actual route assignments are calculated by the program, considering the assigned preferences as well as speed, density and capacity relationships.

FCAP $=$ Link capacity per lane
STR SPLT CAP
$=$ Identifies node destination of straight movement from downstream node, and associated percent green signal time (split) and intersection capacity.

RGT SPLT CAP
$=$ Identifies node destination of right-turn movement from downstream node, and associated percent green signal time and special turning lane capacity, if applicable.

LFT SPLT CAP
$=$ Identifies node destination of left-turn movement from downstream node, and associated percent green signal time and special turning lane capacity, if applicable.

DIAG SPLT CAP
$=$ Identifies node destination of diagonal movement from downstrecm node, and associated percent green signal time and capacity.

NETWORK LISTING

| LIMR | FRH | T0 | LEN | A ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | LW | SN | 1 | PR | LT | AT | PH | SPD | JAM | PRF | FCAP | STR | SPLT | CAP | RGT | SPLT | CAP | LFT | SPLT | CAP | DIAG | SPLT | CAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 806 | 2690. | 12. | 11. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 44. | 147. | 1.00 | 1600. | 0 | 0.0 | 1299. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |  | 0.0 | 0. |  | 0.0 |  |
| 2 | 5 | 103 | 10032. | 11. | 11. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 44. | 147. | 1.00 | 1600. | 5 | 0.0 | 1210. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 3 | 7 |  | 16500. | 10. | 9. | 6. | 1 | I | 7 | 4 | F | 40. | 123. | 0.90 | 1232. | 805 | 0.0 | 1120. | 5 | 0.0 | 0. | 11 | 0.0 | c. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 4 |  | 6 | 10560. | 9. | 9. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 36. | 137. | 0.10 | 1232. | 0 | 0.0 | 1030. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 103 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 5 | 8 | 5 | 12672. | 9. | 9. | 2. | 1 | 2 | 7 | 4 | F | 40. | 117. | 0.05 | 1168. | 0 | 0.0 | 1030. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 806 | O | 0 |  | 0.0 | 0. |
| 6 |  | 805 | 13200. | 9. | 9. | 4. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 32. | 150. | 0.90 | 1200. | 0 | 0.0 | 1030. | 120 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 7 |  | 11 | 8448. | 9. | 10. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 32. | 178. | 0.05 | 1424. | 0 | 0.0 | 1030. | 120 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 8 | 11 | 120 | 5280. | 9 | 10. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 32. | 178. | 1.00 | 1424. | 804 | 0.0 | 1030. | 0 | 0.0 | . | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |  | 0 | 0. |
| 9 | 12 | 7 | 1.0550. | 9. | 9. | 4. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 36. | 133. | 0.05 | 1200. | 6 | 0.0 | 1030. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 8 | 0.0 | 0. |  | 0.0 | 0. |
| 10 |  | 11 | 15040. | 9. | 10. | 2. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 36. | 151. | 0.90 | 1360. | 120 | 0.0 | 1030. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |  | 0.0 | 0. |
| 11 |  | 13 | 15840. | 9. | 9. | 4. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | $F$ | 36. | 133. | 0.05 | 1200. | 0 | 0.0 | 1030. | 118 | 0.0 | . | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |  | 0.0 0.0 | 0. |
| 12 | 13 | 118 | 5280. | 12. | 12. | 4. | 1 | 1 | $?$ | 4 | F | 24. | 293. | 1.00 | 1600. | 14 | 0.0 | 1299. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 13 | 14 | 121 | 15876. | 9. | 9. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 32. | 154. | 0.05 | 1232. | 86 | 0.0 | 1030. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. |
| 143 |  | 92 | 13200. | 12. | 12. | 1. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 44. | 156. | 0.95 | 1600. | 15 | 0.0 | 1299. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. |
| 14 | 15 | 801 | 5000. | 12. | 12. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 8 | 4 | F | 44. | 145. | 0.40 | 1600. | 0 | 0.0 | 1600. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| 15 |  | 802 | 5000. | 10. | 10. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 40. | 142. | 0.20 | 1424. | 0 | 0.0 | 1120. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 |  |
| 16 |  | 833 | 5300. | 12. | 12. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 8 | 4 | F | 44. | 145. | 0.40 | 1600. | 0 | 0.0 | 1600. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 17 | 16 | 13 | 3168. | 12. | 12. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 24. | 299. | 0.75 | 1600. | 118 | 0.0 | 1299. | 0 | 0. | 0 | 68 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| 18 |  | 69 | 14256. | 9. | 11. | 2. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 36. | 172. | 0.25 | 1552. | 86 | 0.0 | 1030. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | 68 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. |
| 19 | 17 | 12 | 10032. | 10. | 10. | 2. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 36. | 151. | 0.75 | 1360. | 11 | 0.0 | 1120. | 7 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 20 |  | 117 | 19008. | 11. | 11. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 44. | 147. | 0.25 | 1600. | 117 | 0.0 | 1210. 1120. | 12 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | - 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 21 | 18 | 17 | 1056. | 10. | 10. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 40. | 142. | 0.75 | 1424. | 117 | 0.0 0.0 | 1120. 1210. | 12 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | - 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 132 |  | 104 | 11560. | 11. | 11. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 40. | 162. | 0.25 | 1600. | 24 | 0.0 0.0 | 1210. 1120. | 104 | 0.0 | 0. | 17 | 0.0 | 0. | - 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 22 | 19 | 18 105 | 18216. 13464. | 10. | 9. 10. | 4. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 |  | 40. | 120. 178. | 0.25 0.75 | 1200. 1424. | 21 | 0.0 0.0 | 1120. 1299. | 104 | 0.0 | 0. | 17 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 23 24 | 2 | 105 38 | 13464. 18480. | 12. | 10. | 6 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 32. | 178. | 0.75 0.10 | 1424. | 2 | 0.0 | 1120. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 39 | 0.0 | 0. | - 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 145 |  | 93 | 15340. | 14. | 12. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 32. | 224. | 0.90 | 1600. | 810 | 0.0 | 1478. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | . 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 25 | 21 | 29 | 9240. | 11. | 12. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 44. | 163. | 0.20 | 1600. | 30 | 0.0 | 1210. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 107 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 26 |  | 22 | 17424. | 11. | 12. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 44. | 163. | 0.80 | 1600. | 6 | 0.0 | 1210. | 6 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0. |
| 27 | 22 | 6 | 13464. | 11. | 11. | 2. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 44. | 141. | 0.90 | 1552. | 103 | 0.0 | 1210. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | - | 0.0 | 0. | . 0 | 0.0 | . |
| 28 |  | 106 | 7920. | 10. | 10. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 44. | 129. | 0.10 | 1424. | 807 | 0.0 | 1120. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 |  | - 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 29 | 23 | 22 | 7128. | 11. | 11. | 2. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 36. | 172. | 1.00 | 1552. | 106 | 0.0 | 1210. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 6 | 0.0 | 0. | - 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 30 | 24 | 23 | 4227. | 9. | 9. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 32. | 154. | 1.00 | 1232. | 0 | 0.0 | 1030. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 22 | 0.0 | 0. | - 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 31 | 28 | 808 | 22176. | 11. | 11. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 44. | 147. | 0.50 | 1600. | 0 | 0.0 | 1210. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | - 0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 32 |  | 809 | 19270. | 10. | 10. | 4. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 24. | 232. | 0.50 | 1392. | 0 | 0.0 | 1120. | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 6.9 0.0 | 0. |
| 33 | 29 | 30 | 1587. | 15. | 11. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 36. | 180. | 0.45 | 1600. | 31 | 0.0 | 1568. | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. |
| 34 |  | 21 | 8976. | 11. | 12. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 44. | 163. | 0.45 | 1600. | 22 | 0.0 | 1210. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. |
| 35 |  | 107 | 8975. | 11. | 12. | 1. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 40. | 171. | 0.10 | 1600. | 28 | 0.0 | 1210. | 7 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 36 | 30 | 29 | 1537. | 10. | 11. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 36. | 180. | 0.05 | 1600. | 21 | 0.0 | 1120. |  | 0.0 | 0. | 32 | 0.57 | 960. | . 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 37 |  | 31 | 1320. | 13. | 13. | 1. | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | F | 36. | 178. | 0.95 | 1600. | 34 | 0.57 0.0 | 543. 940. | 33 | 0.0 0.0 | 0. | 32 | 0.57 0.0 | 960. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 38 | 31 | 32 | 2640. | 16. | 12. | 3. | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | T | T 24. | 259. | 0.05 | 1552. | 0 | 0.0 0.44 | 940. | 33 | 0.0 | 0. | 33 | 0.44 | 960. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 39 |  | 34 | 1055. | 11. | 12. | 2. | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | F | 24. | 255. | 0.95 | 1536. | 35 | 0.44 | 478. 1330. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 34 | 0.43 | 960. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 40 | 52 | 31 | 2640. | 22. | 12. | 3. | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | T | T 24. | 259. | 0.05 | 1552. | 0 | 0.0 |  | 34 | 0.0 | 0 | 20 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 41 |  | 33 | 1320. | 17. | 12. | 3. | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | F | 20. | 310. | 0.95 | 1552. | 0 | 0.0 | 1465. 990. | 34 | 0.0 |  | 35 | 0.56 | 960. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 42 | 33 | 34 | 2840. | 12. | 12. | 5. | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | F | 32. | 193. | 0.05 | 1504. | 93 | 0.0 | 990. 1309. | 38 | 0.0 | 0. | 35 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 43 |  | 20 | 1530. | 13. | 13. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 32. | 246. | 0.95 | 1600. | 93 | 0.0 | 1309. | 38 | 0.0 | 0. |  | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 44 | 34 | 33 | 2640. | 16. | 12. | 5. | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | F | F 32. | 198. | 0.10 | 1587. | 20 | 0.0 | 1370. | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 37 |  |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 45 |  | 35 | 2643. | 11. | 12. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 32. | 224. | 0.90 | 1600. | 88 | 0.0 | 1210. | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 37 | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| 46 | 35 | 37 | 17160. | 10. | 12. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 40. | 179. | 0.10 | 1600. | 0 | 0.0 | 1120. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |  | 0. |  |  |  |  |
| 138 |  | $\varepsilon 9$ | 2640 | 12. | 12. | 6. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | F | 44. | 163. | 0.90 | 1600. | 36 | 0.0 | 1299. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0 | 0.0 | 0. |  | 0.0 | 3. |
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\hline 100 & & 86 & 10032. & 9. & 9. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 36. & 137. & 0.50 & 1232. & 825 & 0.0 & 1030. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & . 0 & 0.0 & 0. \\
\hline 101 & 74 & 820 & 4483. & 9. & 10. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 44. & 129. & 0.50 & 1424. & 0 & 0.0 & 1030. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. \\
\hline 102 & & 75 & 10560. & 11. & 11. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 44. & 147. & 0.50 & 1600. & 822 & 0.0 & 1210. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 821 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. \\
\hline 103 & 75 & 821 & 2640. & 11. & 10. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & \(F\) & 44. & 129. & 0.50 & 1424. & 0 & 0.0 & 1210. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. \\
\hline 107 & & e22 & 4224. & 11. & 11. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 44. & 147. & 0.50 & 1600. & . 0 & 0.0 & 1210. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. \\
\hline 105 & 86 & 825 & 6335. & 9. & 9. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 32. & 154. & 1.00 & 1232. & . 0 & 0.0 & 1030. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 139 & 83 & 35 & 17424. & 12. & 12. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 44. & 163. & 1.00 & 1600. & . 43 & 0.0 & 1299. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 37 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 137 & 89 & 34 & 4220. & 15. & 12. & 2. & 1 & 1 & 4 & 3 & F & 44. & 140. & 0.50 & 1536. & . 33 & 0.56 & 1275. & 35 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 142 & & 46 & 10560. & 13. & 12. & 2. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 44. & 157. & 0.50 & 1600. & . 0 & 0.0 & 1389. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 43 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. \\
\hline 140 & 90 & 88 & 2000. & 12. & 12. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 32. & 224. & 0.50 & 1600. & . 0 & 0.0 & 1299. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 36 & 0.0 & 1299. \\
\hline 141 & & 89 & 2000. & 12. & 12. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 32. & 224. & 0.50 & 1600. & . 0 & 0.0 & 1299. & 34 & 0.0 & 0. & 48 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 133 & 91 & 45 & 16000. & 13. & 11. & 2. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & \(F\) & 44. & 141. & 0.50 & 1552. & - 89 & 0.0 & 1389. & 43 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. \\
\hline 135 & & 51 & 15580. & 11. & 11. & 2. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & \(F\) & 44. & 141. & 0.50 & 1552. & . 0 & c. 0 & 1210. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 50 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 144 & 92 & 15 & 5230. & 12. & 12. & 1. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & \(F\) & 44. & 156. & 1.00 & 1600. & . 802 & 0.0 & 1299. & 803 & 0.0 & 1500. & 801 & 0.0 & 1500. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 146 & 93 & 810 & 10560. & 14. & 12. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 32. & 224. & 1.00 & 1600. & . 0 & 0.0 & 1478. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 148 & 94 & 814 & 10550. & 15. & 13. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 36. & 219. & 1.00 & 1600. & . 0 & 0.0 & 1588. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 106 & 103 & 5 & 5283. & 12. & 12. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & \(F\) & 44. & 163. & 1.00 & \(1 \in 00\). & . 806 & 0.0 & 1299. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 107 & 104 & 24 & 2112. & 10. & 11. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 36. & 180. & 1.00 & 1600. & . 0 & 0.0 & 1120. & 23 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 108 & 105 & 21 & 5200. & 12. & 12. & 5. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 32. & 222. & 1.00 & 1600. & . 0 & 0.0 & 1299. & 29 & 0.0 & 0. & 22 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 109 & 106 & 807 & 20064. & 10. & 10. & 2. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 40. & 136. & 1.00 & 1360. & . 0 & 0.0 & 1120. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 2. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 110 & 107 & 28 & 3432. & 10. & 12. & 1. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 40. & 171. & 1.00 & 1600. & . 808 & 0.0 & 1120. & 809 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 111 & 108 & 30 & 10550. & 12. & 11. & 6. & 2 & 2 & 7 & 4 & F & 36. & 183. & 1.00 & 1600. & . 0 & 0.0 & 1299. & 31 & 0.0 & 0. & 29 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 112 & 109 & 108 & 9504. & 10. & 11. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 44. & 147. & 0.50 & 1600. & . 30 & 0.0 & 1120. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 113 & & 110 & 13200. & 11. & 11. & 2. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & \(F\) & 44. & 141. & 0.50 & 1552. & 111 & 0.0 & 1210. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. \\
\hline 114 & 110 & 111 & 6854. & 11. & 11. & 5. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 44. & 145. & 1.00 & 1600. & 112 & 0.0 & 1210. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 115 & 111 & 112 & 8976. & 11. & 11. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 44. & 147. & 1.00 & 1600. & . 62 & 0.0 & 1210. & 0 & 3.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 116 & 112 & 62 & 4224. & 11. & 10. & 4. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 24. & 232. & 1.00 & 1392. & 63 & 0.0 & 1210. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 54 & 0.0 & 0 & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 117 & 113 & 62 & 16895. & 12. & 12. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 36. & 189. & 1.00 & 1600. & . 54 & 0.0 & 1299. & 63 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. \\
\hline 118 & 114 & 113 & 3960. & 12. & 12. & 3. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 36. & 194. & 0.50 & 1600. & 62 & 0.0 & 1299. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 3. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 119 & & 115 & 1320. & 12. & 12. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 32. & 224. & 0.50 & 1600. & 19 & 0.0 & 1299. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 120 & 115 & 114 & 1320. & 12. & 12. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & \(F\) & 32. & 224. & 0.50 & 1600. & 113 & 0.0 & 1299. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & \(0 . \mathrm{c}\) & 0. \\
\hline 121 & & 19 & 4277. & 12. & 10. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 32. & 178. & 0.50 & 1424. & 105 & 0.0 & 1299. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 18 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 122 & 116 & 16 & 10550. & 12. & 12. & 4. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 24. & 293. & 1.00 & 1600. & 13 & 0.0 & 1299. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 69 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 123 & 117 & 16 & 3696. & 11. & 11. & 6. & 1 & 2 & 7 & 4 & F & 24. & 269. & 1.00 & 1600. & 69 & 0.0 & 1210. & 13 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 124 & 118 & 14 & 2640. & 12. & 12. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 36. & 199. & 1.00 & 1600. & 92 & 0.0 & 1299. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 121 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 425 & 120 & 804 & 5230. & 9. & 11. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 36. & 180. & 1.00 & 1600. & . 0 & 0.0 & 1030. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 125 & 121 & es & 5200. & 9. & 9. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 36. & 137. & 1.00 & 1232. & 0 & 0.0 & 1030. & 825 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 127 & 122 & 56 & 1320. & 10. & 10. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 4 & 3 & F & 16. & 356. & 0.50 & 1424. & 0 & 0.0 & 800. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 57 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 128 & & 53 & 1320. & 11. & 11. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 4 & 3 & \(F\) & 16. & 380. & 0.50 & 1520. & 52 & 0.0 & 895. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 129 & 124 & 44 & 7920. & 10. & 12. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 40. & 179. & 1.30 & 1600. & 47 & 0.0 & 1120. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 125 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 130 & 125 & 815 & 2640. & 10. & 10. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 40. & 142. & 1.00 & 1424. & 0 & 0.0 & 1120. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0 \\
\hline 131 & 129 & 59 & 20592. & 12. & 12. & 6. & 1 & 1 & 7 & 4 & F & 24. & 299. & 1.00 & 1600. & 818 & 0.0 & 1299. & 8190 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & 0. & 0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ANNEX A
EVACUATION FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND NURSING HOMES WITHIN THE BYRON STATION EPZ

ANNEX A

\section*{A. 1 INTRODUCTION}

These additional analyses reflect and are a result of emergency planning efforts which occurred subsequent to the issuance of the December 1982 Evacuation Time Estimates Study for the Byron Nuclear Generating Station. This annex presents an updated analysis of the feasibility and associated time estimates for evacuating appropriate selected special facilites within the Byron Station Emergency Planning Zone (EPZ).

It is important to note that this study presents representative time frames for a range of seasonal, diurnal, and weather conditions for the evacuation of various areas around the Byron Station once a decision has been made to evacuate; while the Byron Volume of IPRA is the detailed emergency operations plan for the Byron Station EPZ.

\section*{A. 2 SUMMARY}

These special facility evacuation feasibility analyses are based upon the facility-specific Standard Operating Procedures developed in conjunction with and in support of the Illinois Plan for Radiological Accidents (IPRA), Byron Volume VI. The applicable special facility population and associated vehicle demand data were incorporated in the NETVAC2 evacuation simulations for the full EPZ scenario for winter. Using individual facility-specific mobilization times and the results of the NETVAC2 simulations, evacuation times for the selected special facilities were calculated.

\section*{A. 3 SCOPE}

The special facilites in the Byron Station EPZ for which a detailed evacuation feasibility analysis was considered were the three EPZ nursing homes, the five EPZ school districts (including the Ogle County Educational Cooperative), the Ogle County Jail, the Oregon Bible College, and the Lorado Taft Field Campus of Northern Illinois University.

If the occupants of the Ogle County Jail (maximum occupancy of 50 , average occupancy of 35) are evacuated, they would be transported in law enforcement vehicles brought into the area from outside of Ogle County, under the specific instructions of the Ogle County Sheriff's Department as discussed in the ogle County Sheltering Guide. The Sheriff will notify other Sheriff's Departments in the surrounding counties who will dispatch their own vehicles to the jail in Oregon. These vehicles will then transport the inmates to holding facilities in the surrounding counties. The Ogle County Sheriff estimates the evacuation of inmates could be completed in two hours or less, which is within the time estimates for evacuation of the general public.

As of January 1984, the Oregon Bible College had an enrollment of 40 students and a staff of 15 . Persons at the Oregon Bible College generally have direct access to transportation at the campus. This would allow the evacuation of the Oregon Bible College to be carried out in conjunction with and in the same time frame as the evacuation of the general population.

The Lorado Taft Field Campus of Northern Illinois University has a highly variable student population which ranges from 20 to 150 students at any given time over the course of the year. In addition, the tr insportation requirements of the student population are also highly va iable. Because of these considerations and the fact that any transportation assistance would likely be provided in the same manner and in the same general time frame as assistance given to the school districts for which detailed assessments were performed, such an assessment was not deemed necessary or useful for this facility.

\section*{A. 4 DEMOGRAPHIC, TRANSPORTATION AND MOBILIZATION DATA}

Each of the Byron Station EPZ special facilities analyzed in this Annex was contacted by State of Illinois Emergency Services and Disaster Agency (ESDA) planning personnel in January 1984 to confirm their user populations, transportation needs and resources, and mobilization times prior to undertaking these evacuation feasibility analyses. Tables A-1, \(A-2\), and A-3 present the facility-specific population and vehicle data gathered for each of the Byron EPZ five school districts and three nursing homes for various diurnal conditions. The five school districts have an identified total population of 4856 students, faculty and staff. (For the purposes of this Annex, the population of the Ogle County Educationa: Cooperative was included in the analysis of the Oregon and Mt Morris School districts, as 82 and 67 respectively.) The three nursing homes presently have total populations (patients and staff) of 286 at night and 400 during the day. Tables A-2 and A-4 present the estimated transportation mobilization times and facility population mobilization times as well as total evacuation times for the special facilities.

School district mobilization times varied greatly due primarily to the locations of school buses and drivers at the time of notification. As shown in Table A-2, the shorter mobilization times, 15 to 70 minutes, are generally due to school buses and drivers being at or near the school districts. The longer mobilization times, 25 to 136 minutes, are generally due to the time required to bring additional school buses into the EPZ from the outlying school districts. The nursing homes' mobilization times are generally longer than the EPZ school district
mobilization times because of the time needed to assemble the nursing home staff and prepare the residents for travel.

\section*{A. 5 SPECIAL FACILITY EVACUATION TIMES}

The total estimated evacuation times for both the school districts and nursing homes are as shown in Tables \(A-2\) and \(A-4\). With the exception of a nighttime evacuation of Pine Crest Manor Nursing Home, the times are within those estimated for the general population.

Two evacuation times for the school districts were calculated to reflect the mobilization times of different transportation resource optiors. In the longest school district evacuation scenario, it was determined that the last school district would be evacuated under normal weather conditions in 159 minutes, that is, 32 minutes sooner than the general population. Under adverse weather conditions, the longest school district evacuation is expected to be completed in 163 minutes, that is, 62 minutes before the general population.

For the nursing homes, it is estimated that the longest daytime evacuation will take 80 to 81 minutes, or 111 to 144 minutes less than the general population under both normal and adverse weather conditions respectively. The nighttime nursing home evacuation time estimates are slightly less than the general population normal and adverse weather evacuation times of 106 and 113 minutes with the exception of Pine Crest Manor. Pine Crest Manor has nighttime evacuation times of 140 and 142 minutes, or 34 and 29 minutes longer than the general population at night under both normal and adverse conditions respectively. It should be noted that if the Pine Crest Manor staff has been mobilized, then the facility can be evacuated within the same time frame as the general public.

TABLE A-1 BYRON EPZ SCHOOLS
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
& & & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Total \\
School
\end{tabular} \\
School District
\end{tabular}
* Included for the purposes of this analysis.

TABLE A-2
BYRON EPZ SCHOOL DISTRICT EVACUATION TIMES

School District
Byron Community Unit Schoo District \#226

Leaf River Community Unit School District \#270

Oregon Community Unit School District \#220

Mt. Morris
Community unit School District \#261

Meridian Community Unit School District \#223
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
School District \\
Population (12/83) \\
(Faculty, Staff \\
and Students)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Mobilization \\
Time for \\
and Students \\
and Staff \\
(minutes)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1,049 & 5 \\
422 & 10 \\
1,410 & 10 \\
\(820(3)\) & 15
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
No. of Buses Required for Evacuation(1)
\end{tabular} & Number of Buses Available & ```
Mobilization
    for
Bus Drivers
(minutes)
``` & Mobilization Time for Buses (minutes) \\
\hline 18 & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
12
\] \\
(3 during vacation months)
\end{tabular} & 10 & 5 \\
\hline 7 & 7 & 10 & 5 \\
\hline 24 & 11 & 30 & 5 \\
\hline 14 & 8 & 15 & 15 \\
\hline 21 & 18 & 60 & 10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{NOTES:}
(1) Assumed average bus capacity of 60 . (3) See note (3) on page 3 of 3 .

\section*{TABLE A-2 (Cont)}

School District
Byron Community Unit School District \#226

Leaf River Community unit School District \#270

Oregon Community Unit School District \#220

Mt. Morris Community Unit School District \# 261

Meridian Community Unit School District \#223

No. of Additiona Buses Required

6

0

13

6

3


NOTE:
(2) Additional school district transportstion assistance is available as discussed in IPRA Vol. VI.
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TABLE A-2 (Cont)

School District
Byron Community Unit School District \#226

Leaf River
Community Unit School District \#270
Oregon Community Unit School District \#220

Mt. Morris Community Unit School District \#261

Meridian Community Unit School District \#223

\section*{Notification Iime (minutes)}

15

15

15

15

15
rotal Mobilization Iime (minutes)

15-136

Travel Time from
\(\frac{E P Z \text { (minutes) }}{\text { Winter Day }}\)
Normal Adverse
8
12
7
15

35-133
\(30-82\)
\(70-25\)
Total Evacuation
Time (minutes)
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Normal & Adverse \\
\(38-159\) & \(42-163\)
\end{tabular}

37

63-161
59-157

50-102(3)(4)
51-103(3)(4
191
225
General Population Evacuation Times (minutes)
Normal Adverse
\(191 \quad 225\)

191
225

191
225

NOTES:
(3) The 67 person population of the Ogle County Educational Coop. (OCEC) in Mt. Morris would primarily use vehicles from KAL Bus lines in an evacuation instead of school district buses and therefore this population has not been included in the Mt . Morris School District population.
(4) These evacuation time frames are applicable to and representative of the evacuation time estimates for the Ogle Count Educational Coop. (OCEC) in Mt. Morris. The OCEC evacuation time estimates ( 80 to 101 minutes ) include a facility Education time of 45 to 60 minutes and a concurrent transportation mobilization time of 45 to 60 minutes. Therefore the OCEC evacuation time estimates are similar to the evacuation time estimates for the Mt. Morris School District.

TABLE A-3

BYRON EPZ NURSING HOMES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Nursing Home} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of Staff} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Number of Residents} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total Population} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
for \\
Evacuation
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & Day & Night & Day & Night & Day & Night & Day & Night \\
\hline Pine & 80 & 6 & 112 & 112 & 192 & 118 & 42 & 23 \\
\hline Crest & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Manor & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Nursing & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Home & & & & & & & & \\
\hline White & 12 & 3 & 62 & 62 & 74 & 65 & 16 & 10 \\
\hline Pines & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Nursing & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Home & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Neighbors & 35 & 4 & 99 & 99 & 134 & 103 & 34 & 18 \\
\hline Nursing & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Home & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE A-4
BYRON EPZ NURSING HOME EVACUATION TIMES
```

