GULF STATES UTILITIES COMPANY

RESERVENCE STATES UTILITIES COMPANY

APPRIL 10, 1992
RBG- 36729
File Nos. G9.5, G9.25.1.3

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

River Bend Station - Unit 1 Docket No. 50-458

Please find enclosed Licer see Event Report No. 92-006 for River Bend Station - Unit 1. This report is submitted pursuant 10CFR50.73.

Sincerely

Manager - Oversight

River Bend Nuclear Group

LAE/PDG/EMC/DCH/WCH/kvm

cc: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011

> P.O. Box 1051 St. Francisville, LA

INPO Records Center 1100 Circle Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-3064

Mr. C.R. Oberg Public Utility Commission of Texas 7800 Shoal Creek Blvd., Suite 400 North Austin, TX 78757

Austin, TX 78757 9204170142 920410 PDR ADDCK 05000458

17000G

1722 YI

At approximately 0715 on 3/14/92 with the unit shutdown during the fourth refueing outage (RF-4) (Operational Condition 4), a radiation protection technician discovered an unsecured high radiation area (HRA) entrance boundary rope. This condition created an opening in the barrier. The rope barrier was located on the 95' elevation of the reactor building at the drywell equipment hatch. This HRA entrance was established as an authorized entry point into the drywell. Technical Specification 6.12.1 requires that high radiation creas be barricaded. This report is submitted pursuant to 10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(i)(B) as operation prohibited by Technical Specifications. The boundary was immediately restored. An immediate search of the area revealed that no personnel were inside the HRA at the time of discovery. All other postings within the drywell were found to be properly posted.

Work was started in the RCA and all non-essential personnel having authorized RCA access were required to leave the RCA to attend merchans with management. For four consecutive days, meetings were held at the beginning of each thift with personnel having access to the RCA to emphasize the importance of compliance with radiological procedures and postings.

There is no evidence that unauthorized individuals entered the drywell. This event did not affect plant systems and had no operational impact.

YES IT YOU COMPLEM EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE

ABSTRACY | Limit to "400 spaces | 8 approximately lifeen single space typewriten inest [18]

APPROVED DMS NO 2180-0104 EXPIRES A/30/92

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

REQUEST SED HER FORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST SED HER FORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST SED HER FORMATION COMMENTS REGERED ON BURDEN SET MATE TO THE RECOME AND REPORTS MARAGEMENT BRANCH FESSI. U.S. NUCLE. REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON DIC 20856 AND THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT 33150-0164 (OFF.)

FACILITY NAME (1) DUCKET NUMBER (2)

RIVER BEND STATION

FEXT (6 Apple appeared, use additional NAC Apple 386A tr (17)

REPORTED CONDITION

At approximately 0715 on 3/14/92 with the unit shutdown during the fourth refueling outage (RF-4) (Operational Condition 4), a radiation protection technician discovered an unsecured high radiation area (HRA) entrance boundary rope. This condition created an opening in the barrier. The rope barrier was located on the 95' elevation of the reactor building at the drywell equipment hatch. This HRA entrance was established as an authorized entry point into the drywell. Technical Specification 6.12.1 requires that high radiation areas be barricaded. This report is submitted pursuant to 10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(i)(B) as operation prohibited by Technical Specifications. The boundary was immediately restored. An immediate search of the area revealed that no personnel were inside the HRA at the time of discovery. All other postings within the drywell were found to be properly posted.

INVESTIGATION

On 3/14/92 at 0715 a radiation protection technician assigned to support work activities at the 95' level of the containment building identified a deficient rope barrier configuration at the drywell entrance. The barrier had last been observed to be in place by RP at approximately 0550. This barrier was posted in accordance with RP procedures for established HRA entrances.

No individuals were found to be in the area and all other postings within the drywell were secured as required. Within the confines of the drywell there existed nine very high radiation area (VHRA) boundaries, all were in place, and properly posted. The remainder of the drywell was posted as an HRA. General accessible dose rates within the HRA ranged from 5 to 180 mR/hr using an eighteen inch reading.

Between 0550 and 0715, when the unsecured barrier was discovered, two groups of workers had reasonable access to the barrier. Only one group was authorized access to the drywell via this entrance. The other group was working outside the area in an adjacent contaminated area. A review of the containment security card access history files revealed that the personnel who were working in the drywell left after the group working in the adjacent containment area. All four personnel who exited the drywell stated that they did in fact restore the HRA boundary when they exited.

The last individual in the drywell crew exited the containment at 0638. Allowing for several minutes to traverse from the drywell exit to the containment card reader, it can be concluded that the high radiation barrier was probably unsecured and unattended for no more than 45 minutes. Since the entrance to the drywell is highly visible and within the confines of a contaminated area, it is unlikely that unauthorized personnel entered the HRA or removed the HRA rope.

NRC PORM 386A U.S. NUCLTAR REQULATORY COMPTISSION

TEXT CONTINUATION

EXPIRES 4/30/92

ESTIMATED BURDEN FER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 855 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REQUEST 855 HRS. FORWARD FORMENTS BEGARDING SUNDEN SETWATE TO THE RECORDE AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F530) US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON OC 30658 AND TO THE FAFEWORK REDUCTION PROJECT 3150-01041 DEFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND SUGGET WASHINGTON DC 20503

FACILITY MARKE (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	FAQ1 :3
		TEAR SEQUENTAL MEVISION NUMBER	
RIVER BEND STATION	0 5 0 0 0 4 5 3	92 -006-900	3 010 5

TRICT IF more apace is required, use additional NRC Form 3664 s.i.(17)

ROOT CAUSE

The root cause of this event is indeterminate. It is not known who left the HRA rope in an unsecured position. The four members of the work crew last known to have exited the drywell all have stated that they restored the HRA boundary prior to exit. However, due to (1) the limited time available between their exit and discovery of the unsecured between their exit and discovery of the unsecured

In 1990, LER 90-042 reported five instances in which Technical Specification required radiation area barriers were not properly secured. These incidents resulted in the formation of a task force and the implementation of several corrective actions to address radiation protection barrier issues. These corrective actions are repeated here for completeness, as follows:

- All personnel requiring access to high radiation and/or a very high radiation areas were retrained and completed a written examination by 2/15/91.
- All remaining personnel requiring unrestricted access to the radiologically controlled area were retrained and completed a written examination by 3/1/91.
- General employee training (GET) was revised to add additional emphasis on HRA/VHRA entry requirements and the examination was revised.

In addition, the below listed actions were initiated:

- The Plant Manager issued a memorandum to all personnel concerning the problem.
- The Plant Manager issued a memorandum to all Supervisors stressing their responsibility and involvement in preventing HRA/VHRA violations.
- New designs for stanchions and fasteners were developed.
- RP management stressed improved technician professionalism in posting activities.
- The locking of HRAs, to the greatest extent practical, was implemented.

MAC FORM 386A

U.S. NUCLEAR REQULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

APPROVED DIME NO 3180-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92

ESTIMATED SURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST SOD HAS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING SURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH PESSO US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON DO 200658 AND TO THE PAPERWOOK REDUCTION PROJECT IS 150 CIDE: OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND SUDGET WASHINGTON DO 200603

ACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER IS:	PAGE IS
RIVER BEND STATION		TEAR DECLERTIAL MEVELON NUMBER	
	0 5 0 0 0 4 5 8	9 2 - 01016 - 010	014 01 01

TEXT If more space is required, use additional NAC Form 3664 to 1171

- Selected piping was hydrolazed to reduce radiation levels and some areas were deposted.
- Installed signs that read "STOP Technical Specification Monitoring Required" at the entry points to all accessible HRAs and VHRAs.
- Monthly operability checks of HRA doors have been added in addition to VHRA doors.
- GSU has changed its usage of removable barriers by reserving them for entrances to HRAs/VHRAs.

Note that the above corrective actions were fully implemented during the 1991 calendar year. In 1991, there were two LERs concerning HRA violations. LER 91-009 documented an event in which two electrical maintenance workers improperly moved an HRA boundary rope. In this event, disciplinary action was taken against the two workers, the electrical maintenance foreman and the acting electrical maintenance supervisor. LER 91-006 reported an event in which an operations engineering cooperative student failed to replace an HRA rope barricade. In this case, a contributing factor was a lack of clear direction to personnel involved concerning task accountability and the definition of their interface.

Prior to this event (LER 92-006), there have been no LERs concerning HRA barricades since LER 91-009, which documented an event that occurred on 4/30/91. GSU is confident that the corrective actions outlined as a result of the task force effort have been and continue to be effective in preventing improper handling of HRA/VHRA barriers.

CORRECTIVE ACTION

On the date of the event, non-essential personnel who were authorized RCA access were directed to stop work and report to meetings conducted by the Plant Manager. Additional meetings with those who had RCA access were conducted by management personnel at the beginning of each shift for four consecutive days. During these meetings, the need for and the importance of adhering to radiological procedures was emphasized.

In view of the amount of work proceeding in the RCA during RF-4 and the number of additional workers involved, GSU has concluded that additional protective measures are warranted. These include, where feasible, tie wrapping rope barriers at unused entrances, installation of self-closing scaffold gates, continuous surveillance of selected barriers with closed circuit television cameras and 4 hour checks of barriers to ensure their integrity. These measures will be implemented on an asneeded basis by RP.

NRC FORM SELA 16-351

U.S. MUCLEAR REQUIATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

APPROVED DMS NO 3180-0104 8 XF1RES 6/30/89

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER PESPONSS TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 500 MRS FORWARD COMMENTS NOT THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGENETY SANACH (PAS) U.S. NUCLEAR REQUILATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON LC 2005S AND TO THE PARENWORK REDULCTION PROJECT 3750-0104 OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND REPORTS AND TO THE PARENWORK REDUCTION PROJECT 3750-0104 OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND RETURN PROJECT 3750-0104 OFFICE

		OL SHOWERS, WITH BOOKET MYBHING LOW DC 50803			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCK ET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER IN	PAGE (\$		
		TEAR BEQUENTIAL MEVEON	TI		
RIVER BEND STATION	0 8 0 0 0 4 5 8	912 - 01016 - 010	015 00 015		

TEXT IF more space is required, use additional NRC Form 3864 to 1171

Controls and procedures currently in place at River Bend have proven to be effective over the past year. In addition, prompt action was taken by management to reemphasize the importance of adherence to basic radiological safety procedures and practices.

SAFETY ASSESSMENT

Based on the available evidence, GSU has concluded that one or more members of the work crew in the drywell were responsible for leaving the HRA barricade in an unsecured position. There is no evidence that any unauthorized personnel entered the HRA. This event has no operational impact on the plant.