UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

April 3, 1992

Docket Nos. 50-369
and 50-370

Mr. T. C. McMeekin
Vice President, McGuire Site
Duke Power Company
12700 Hagers Ferry Road
Huntersville, North Carolina 28078-8985

Dear Mr. McMeekin:

SUBJECT: CONFORMANCE TO REGULATORY GUIDE 1.97 - MCGUIRE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M66188/M66189)

By letter dated March 23, 1987, the staff informed Duke Power Company that the acceptability of accumulator tank level, accumulator tank pressure, and containment sump temperature instrumentation and its conformance to Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.97, Revision 2, remained open pending the outcome of a generic review of the environmental qualification requirements for this instrumentation. The staff has completed its review of the need for environmental qualification of Category 2 instrumentation to monitor accumulator tank level and pressure. Environmental qualification for containment sump temperature continues to be reviewed generically.

The staff has determined that the environmental qualification requirements for the subject accumulator instrumentation can be relaxed from RG 1.97 Category 2 to Category 3 requirements enabling the use of commercial grade instruments in this application. The staff bases that finding on the fact that no operator action is based on this post-accident instrumentation and that it does not perform a safety function. The successful performance of core cooling systems can be inferred from other environmentally qualified instrumentation. Therefore, the Category 3 instrumentation currently installed at McGuire Nuclear Station for monitoring accumulator level and pressure is acceptable. A copy of the Safety Evaluation is enclosed.

With regard to the containment sump temperature instumentation, we will inform you of the results of the generic review when they become available. No further plant-specific reviews are anticipated at this time, and as such, this letter closes the above referenced TACs.

DF01

Should you have questions regarding this matter, I can be contacted at 301-504-1479.

Sincerely,

Timothy A. Reed, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

cc:
Mr. A.V. Carr, Esq.
Duke Power Company
422 South Church Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28242-0001

County Manager of Mecklenburg County
720 East Fourth Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Mr. R. O. Sharpe
Compliance
Duke Power Company
McGuire Nuclear Site
12700 Hagers Ferry Road
Huntersville, North Carolina 28078-8985

J. Michael McGarry, III, Esq.
Winston and Strawn
1400 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005

Senior Resident Inspector
c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
12700 Hagers Ferry Road
Huntersville, North Carolina 28078

Regional Administrator, Region II
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, N.W., Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Mr. Frank Modrak
Project Manager, Mid-South Area
ESSD Projects
Westinghouse Electric Corporation
MNC West Tower - Bay 241
P. O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

Dr. John M. Barry
Mecklenburg County
Dept. of Environmental Protection
700 N. Tryon Street
Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Mr. Dayne H. Brown, Director
Department of Environmental,
Health and Natural Resources
Division of Radiation Protection
P.O. Box 27687
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-7687

Mr. Alan R. Herdt, Chief
Project Branch #3
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Ms. Karen E. Long
Assistant Attorney General
N. C. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 629
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Mr. R. L. Gill, Jr.
Licensing
Duke Power Company
P.O. Box 1007
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1007

UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

ENCLOSURE 1

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

PRESSURIZED WATER REACTORS

ACCUMULATOR PRESSURE AND LEVEL INSTRUMENTATION

RELAXATION OF REGULATORY GUIDE 1.97 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Section 6.2 of the Generic Letter 82-33 requested licensees to provide a report on their implementation of Regulatory Guide (R.G.) 1.97 (Rev.2), and methods for complying with the Commission's regulations including a supporting technical justification of any proposed alternatives or deviations. A review of the licensees' submittals was performed by the staff and a safety evaluation (SE) was issued for each plant. These SEs concluded that the licensees either conformed to, or adequately justified deviations from, the guidance of the Regulatory Guide for each post-accident monitoring (PAM) variable except for the variables identified in the SE.

Exceptions were identified for the accumulator level and pressure monitoring. A large number of the exception requests were for relaxing the equipment qualification (EQ) requirement from Category 2 to Category 3 qualification that allows commercial grade instruments to be used in certain applications. However, none of the submittals requesting the exceptions provided sufficient justification for granting the exception. These requests were denied to the licensees and applicants whose R.G. 1.97 compliance SEs were issued by the staff before 1987. Since 1987, exceptions for the accumulator instrumentation were considered by the staff as an open item till a generic resolution could be found. Thirty-two plants requested relaxation of EQ requirements from Category 2 to Category 3 for the accumulator level and pressure instrumentation.

2.0 EVALUATION

The Code of Federal Regulations 10 CFR 50.49 requires licensees to establish a program for qualifying certain post-accident monitoring equipment for which specific guidance concerning the types of variables to be monitored is provided in revision 2 of R.G. 1.97. This guide identifies the accumulator instrumentation as type D variable that provides information to indicate the operation of individual safety systems and other systems important to safety, to help the operator in selecting appropriate mitigating actions. The guide lists Category 2 qualification for this instrumentation. The Category 2 qualification criteria require the instrumentation to be qualified in accordance with R.G. 1.89 and the methodology described in NUREG-0588. Additionally, the instrumentation with Category 2 qualification should be energized from a high-reliability power

source, not necessarily standby power. In contrast to this, the Category 3 qualification criteria require only an off-site power source and the instrumentation to be only of high-quality commercial grade to withstand the specified service environment (mild environment as defined in 10 CFR 50.49, paragraph c).

Qualification criteria for instrumentation are established based on the safety function of the system whose variables are being monitored. The selection criteria for R.G. 1.97 variables qualification category is based upon whether monitoring of system parameters is needed during and following an accident and whether subsequent operator actions in the operating procedures are dependent on the information provided by this instrumentation.

The accumulators are pressure vessels filled with borated water and pressurized with nitrogen gas. Being a passive system, it provides a fast acting, high flow rate, cold leg injection during the injection phase of an ECCS operation. Both volume and pressure are monitored to assure the accumulator's function in accordance with the FSAR safety analysis. During normal operation, the accumulator is isolated from the reactor coolant system (RCS) by two check valves in series. To prevent inadvertent closing, each accumulator's motor operated isolation valve (MOV) is normally open with its power removed, and the status of the MOV is assured by the Technical Specification surveillance requirements. Should the RCS pressure decrease below accumulator pressure (as during a LOCA), the check valves open and the nitrogen gas pressure will force the borated water into the RCS. Thus, a mechanical operation of the swing-check valves is the only action required to open the injection path from the accumulator to the reactor core. No external power source or initiating signal is needed for the accumulator to perform its safety function. The operator can only control the operation of the motor operated valve which is used to isolate the accumulator from the RCS. Isolation from the RCS is not a safety function of the accumulator. Additionally, the accumulator is not designed to perform any post-accident safety function.

The above discussion establishes that the accumulator instrumentation does not perform a safety function during or in a post-accident environment and operator actions to mitigate the effects of an accident do not depend on the information provided by the accumulator instrumentation. Additionally, successful performance of core cooling systems can be inferred from environmentally qualified instrumentation.

3.0 CONCLUSION

Based on our review, we conclude that the post-accident monitoring of the accumulator volume and pressure does not perform a safety function and no operator action is based on the information that will require Category 2 qualification of the instrumentation. In lieu of Category 2 qualification, Category 3 qualification of this instrumentation is acceptable.

Principal Contributor: I. Ahmed

Date: March 10, 1992

Should you have questions regarding this matter, I can be contacted at 301-504-1479.

Sincerely,

/S/

Timothy A. Reed, Project Manager
Project Directorate II-3
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure:
As stated

cc w/enclosure:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION:
Docket File
NRC & Local PDRs
PDII-3 R/F
McGuire R/F
S. Varga
G. Lainas
D. Matthews
L. Berry
T. Reed
OGC, 15B18
ACRS (10), P-315
L. Reyes, RII
A. Hansen, PDIII-3
B. Marcus, 8/H/3

LA:PDII-3	PM:PDII-3	PD:PDII-3
LBerry	TReed:cdw	DMatthews
03/01/92	03/ 1 /92	03/ 3 /92

File Name: A:\RG197