




Commonwealth Edison
LaSalle County Nuclear Station
2601 N. 21st. Rd.
Marseilles, Illinois 61341
Telephone 815/357-6761

April 9, 1992

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Station P1-137
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Licensee Event Report #92-004-00, Docket #050-373 is being submitted to your office in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i).


G. J. Diederich
Station Manager
LaSalle County Station

GJD/JB/mkl

Enclosure

xc: Nuclear Licensing Administrator
NRC Resident Inspector
NRC Region III Administrator
INPO - Records Center
IDNS Resident Inspector

150060

9204160103 920409
PDR ADOCK 05000373
S PDR

Handwritten notes and signature in the bottom right corner.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

Form Rev 2.0

Facility Name (1) LaSalle County Station Unit 1
 Docket Number (2) 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 3
 Page (3) 1 | of | 0 | 3

Title (4) Technical Specification Surveillance Not Completed By Critical Due Date Due To Personnel Error

Event Date (5)			LER Number (6)			Report Date (7)			Other Facilities Involved (8)															
Month	Day	Year	Year	Sequential Number	Revision Number	Month	Day	Year	Facility Names	Docket Number(s)														
0	3	1	0	9	2	9	2	0	3	1	0	0	3	7	3	1	of	0	3					
				0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	9	9	2	LaSalle Unit 2	0	5	0	0	0	3	7	4

OPERATING MODE (9) 1

THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10CFR (Check one or more of the following) (11)

POWER LEVEL (10) 1 0 0	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.402(b)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(iii)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(iv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(c)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(vii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(x)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(b)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(c)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify in Abstract below and in Text)
----------------------------	------------------------------------	--	---	--	--	--	------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	--	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

Name James Behn, Technical Staff Engineer, Extension 2445
 TELEPHONE NUMBER AREA CODE 8 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 7 | - | 6 | 7 | 6 | 1

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS
A	F	P		N					

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

Expected Submission Date (15) X | NO

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

On March 13, 1992, Unit 1 was in Operational Condition 1 (Run). At 1500 it was discovered that LMS-FP-15, "Monthly Fire Inspection Of Technical Specification Fire Hose Stations", was not completed by the critical due date March 10, 1992. Technical Specification 4.7.5.4.a requires that hose stations be visually inspected every 31 days.

The Surveillance Scheduler inadvertently left this surveillance off the weekly list of surveillances to be performed. Also when the surveillances were performed the Scheduler signed this surveillance off as being performed when reviewing LMP-FP-10.

At 1500 hours on March 13, 1992, a Nuclear Quality Programs (NQP) Engineer questioned the dates on several fire hose stations that indicated the surveillance being past due. Upon further investigation it was determined the surveillance, LMS-FP-15, had not been performed and was past its critical due date.

The Shift Engineer was immediately notified. LMS-FP-15 was completed on March 14, 1992, at 2215 hours. During the period of time in which the hose stations were declared inoperable the hose stations were functional. In addition there are various types and sizes of fire extinguishers provided throughout the plant. There was also an hourly fire watch performed by the security force, automatic sprinklers provided in hazardous areas, outside hose stations were operable, numerous three hour fire rated zones, and the fire brigade was available had an actual fire occurred.

A discussion was held with Mechanical Maintenance Supervision and corrective actions to prevent recurrence were determined.

This event is being reported pursuant to 10CFR50.73 (a)(2)(i)(B) any operation or condition prohibited by the plants Technical Specifications.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Form Rev 2.0

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			Page (3)		
		Year	Sequential Number	Revision Number			
LaSalle County Station Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 3 7 3	9 2	- 0 0 4	- 0 0	0 2	OF	0 3

TEXT Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX]

PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION

General Electric - Boiling Water Reactor

Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX].

A. CONDITION PRIOR TO EVENT

Unit(s): 1 Event Date: 3/10/92 Event Time: 2400 Hours

Reactor Mode(s): 1 Mode(s) Name: Rvzi Power Level(s): 0%

B. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On March 13, 1992, Unit 1 was in Operational Condition 1 (Run). At 1500 it was discovered that LMS-FP-15, "Monthly Fire Inspection of Technical Specification Fire Hose Stations" (FP) [KP], was not completed by the critical due date March 10, 1992. Technical Specification (TS) 4.7.5.4.a requires that hose stations be visually inspected every 31 days.

The Surveillance Scheduler makes a list for the Surveillance Supervisor each week that indicates which surveillances need to be performed. This is accomplished by first printing a hard copy (from a computer program) of all the surveillances that are coming due. A determination is made as to which surveillances will be performed the next week, with considerations given to due dates, type of surveillance and how equipment interfaces. From the hard copy the chosen surveillances are highlighted and all those not highlighted are deleted from the computer program and various other functions are entered depending on the specifics of the surveillance. This in turn will be used to generate a list for the Surveillance Supervisor.

The Surveillance Scheduler inadvertently omitted LMS-FP-15 from the master computer generated list, thereby eliminating this surveillance from the Surveillance Supervisors list. After the surveillances are performed the completed forms are returned to the Scheduler, who signs on the General Surveillance (GSRV) sign off sheets indicating completion. When the Scheduler was reviewing LMF-FP-10, he accidentally signed off LMS-FP-15. At approximately 1500 hours, on March 13, 1992, a Nuclear Quality Programs (NQP) Engineer identified several hose stations that had not been initialed with a current date. Which would have indicated inspection in the appropriate time period. Upon further investigation with the Fire Marshall and Mechanical Maintenance it was determined the inspections had not taken place and the mistakes that had been made. The Shift Engineer was immediately notified, and the required inspections performed. LMS-FP-15 was completed at 2215 on March 14, 1992.

C. APPARENT CAUSE OF EVENT

The cause of this event is personnel error. The surveillance, LMS-FP-15, was taken off the master surveillance sheet, and was signed off as being complete when it had not been performed.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

Form Rev 2.0

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			Page (3)		
		Year	Sequential Number	Revision Number			
LaSalle County Station Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 3 7 3	9 2	- 0 0 4	- 0 0	0 3	OF	0 3

TEXT Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX]

D. SAFETY ANALYSIS OF EVENT

The safety consequences of this event were minimal. The hose reels were functional during the event and could have provided the necessary fire protection had a fire occurred. The functional testing of the fire fighting system as described in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) verifies their adequacy to protect equipment against fire.

Fire extinguishers of various types and sizes are provided throughout the plant. A one hour fire watch was provided for both units by the security force. Hazardous areas have automatic sprinklers, the plant is divided into numerous three hour fire rated areas, the outside hose stations were operational, and the fire brigade was available.

E. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Immediately upon discovery of the surveillance having not been performed the Shift Engineer was contacted. The Surveillance, LMS-FP-15, was immediately performed. The following steps will be taken by Mechanical Maintenance Department to prevent recurrence of this event:

1. The Surveillance Scheduler will submit the entire Surveillance Package, for the next week, to his/her Supervisor for review and approval.
2. A copy of the GSRV sign off sheets will be provided to the Surveillance Supervisor to sign upon completion of the surveillances.
3. The Surveillance Scheduler/Coordinator will use the copy signed by the Surveillance Supervisor (and the actual signed attachments) to sign off the original GSRV sheets. This activity will be performed during a "quiet time" of the day to minimize interruptions.

These enhancements are being tracked by Action Item Record (AIR) 373-315-92-01801F.

The individual involved was counseled with respect to this event.

The Fire Marshall will receive a copy of the GSRV sheets from the Surveillance Scheduler, and will monitor the progress of the Fire Protection Surveillances.

An evaluation of departments other than Mechanical Maintenance will be performed to determine if similar methods are used to schedule surveillances and if corrective measures need to be put in place. AIR 373-180-92-02001 will track completion of this evaluation.

F. PREVIOUS EVENTS

A search of the DVR/LER Program was performed and no previous similar events were found.

G. COMPONENT FAILURE DATA

None.