

Public Service Electric and Gas Company P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038-0236

Nuclear Jusiness Unit

NOV 2 9 1995

LR-N95217

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sir:

HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION DOCKET NO. 50-354 UNIT 1 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 95-028-00

This Licensee Event Report entitled "Failure to Exert Best Efforts to Restore Liquid Effluent Radiation Monitoring System to Operable Status" is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

Sincerely,

mit s/11

Mark E. Reddemann General Manager -Hope Creek Operations

SORC Mtg. 95-110 Attachment

LMK/tcp

C Distribution LER File 3.7



The power is in your hands.

PACILITY NAME (1)     DOCKEY NUMBER (2)     DOCKEY NUMBER (2) <th co<="" th=""><th colspan="4">DN APPROVED BY OME NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY W MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50 REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED I LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFI AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (T-6 F33), U.S. REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0007 THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), C MANAGENENT AND BUGGET WASHINGTON DC 20503.</th><th colspan="3">ATH THIS IO HRS. INTO THE FORWARD ORMATION NUCLEAR 1, AND TO DIFICE OF</th></th>	<th colspan="4">DN APPROVED BY OME NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY W MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50 REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED I LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFI AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (T-6 F33), U.S. REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0007 THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), C MANAGENENT AND BUGGET WASHINGTON DC 20503.</th> <th colspan="3">ATH THIS IO HRS. INTO THE FORWARD ORMATION NUCLEAR 1, AND TO DIFICE OF</th>	DN APPROVED BY OME NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY W MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50 REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED I LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFI AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (T-6 F33), U.S. REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0007 THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), C MANAGENENT AND BUGGET WASHINGTON DC 20503.				ATH THIS IO HRS. INTO THE FORWARD ORMATION NUCLEAR 1, AND TO DIFICE OF		
Hope Creek Generating Station     0500033       THILE (4)       Failure to exert best efforts to restore Liquid Effluent Monitoring System to operable status       EVENT DATE (5)     LER NUMBER (6)     REPORT DATE (7)     OTHER FA MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION WONTH DAY YEAR       NUMBER     NUMBER     NUMBER     NUMBER     NUMBER     NUMBER       10     30     95     95     -     028     -     00     11     29     95     FAGILITY NAME       OPERATING       1     THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 1       MODE (9)     1     20.2203(a)(2)(v)     X     50.73(c)(2)(0)       20.2203(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(0)       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(0)     50.73(a)(2)(0)       LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)       NUMBER       J. Thompson       COMPONENT MANUFACTURER REPORT EXPECTED (14)     EXPECTED       SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)     EXPECTED	-	R (2)		T	PAGE	(3)		
TITLE (4)       Failure to exert best efforts to restore Liquid Effluent Monitoring System to operable status       EVENT DATE (5)     LER NUMBER (6)     REPORT DATE (7)     OTHER FA       MONTH DAY YEAR     SEQUENTIAL MUMBER     REPORT DATE (7)     OTHER FA       MONTH DAY YEAR     YEAR     SEQUENTIAL MUMBER     NUMBER MUMBER     MONTH DAY YEAR     FAGILITY NAME       10     30     95     FAGILITY NAME       OPERATING MODE (9)     THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 1 20.2203(a)(1)     20.2203(a)(2)(V)       20.2203(a)(1)     20.2203(a)(2)(V)     X 50.73(c)(2)(D)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)	05000354 1 01				OF	5		
Failure to exert best efforts to restore Liquid Effluent     Monitoring System to operable status     EVENT DATE (5)   LER NUMBER (6)   REPORT DATE (7)   OTHER FA     MONTH DAY YEAR   Superior operable status     TOTHER FA     NUMBER (6)   REPORT DATE (7)   OTHER FA     MONTH DAY YEAR   YEAR   Superior operation operaction operation operation operation operat		AT THE ARE DARRIED COMMON	anner annar	L		NAME WE NOT THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF T		
Monitoring     System to operable status       EVENT DATE (5)     LER NUMBER (6)     REPORT DATE (7)     OTHER FA       MONTH     DAY     YEAR     SEQUENTIAL NUMBER     NUMBER     NONTH     DAY     YEAR     FACILITY NAME       10     30     95     95     -     028     -     00     11     29     95     FACILITY NAME       0PERATING MODE (9)     1     THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 1     NUMBER     Sold (2)(V)     X     50.73(n)(2)(V)       POWER     100     20.2203(a)(1)     20.2203(a)(3)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i)     Sold (2)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(2)(2)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(2)(2)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(2)(2)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i) <td< th=""><th>Rad</th><th>uent</th><th>diati</th><th>ion</th><th></th><th></th></td<>	Rad	uent	diati	ion				
EVENT DATE (5)     LER NUMBER (6)     REPORT DATE (7)     OTHER FA       MONTH     DAY     YEAR     SEQUENTIAL NUMBER     REVISION NUMBER     NONTH     DAY     YEAR     FAGILITY NAME       10     30     95     95     -     028     -     00     11     29     95     FAGILITY NAME       0PERATING MODE (9)     1     THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 1     Number     X     50.73(n)(2)(0)       POWER     100     20.2203(a)(2)(1)     20.2203(a)(2)(V)     X     50.73(a)(2)(0)       LEVEL (10)     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(3)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(0)       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(0)     50.73(a)(2)(0)       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.3203(a)(2)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(0)     50.73(a)(2)(0)       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     50.36(c)(1)     50.73(a)(2)(0)     50.73(a)(2)(0)       20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(0)     50.73(a)(2)(0)     50.73(a)(2)(0)       J.     Thompson	CHILP PRINTER	And the second second second		and the second second		Lawrence and south south		
MONTH     DAY     YEAR     YEAR     SEQUENTIAL NUMBER     REVISION NUMBER     MONTH     DAY     YEAR       10     30     95     95     -     028     -     00     11     29     95     FACILITY NAME       0PERATING MODE (9)     1     THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 1     20.2203(a)(2)(v)     x     50.73(a)(2)(i)       POWER     100     20.2203(a)(1)     20.2203(a)(3)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i)       LEVEL (10)     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(3)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i)       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(v)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     50.36(c)(1)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(1)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       J. Thompson     LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) <t< th=""><th>CILIT</th><th>HER FAC</th><th>TIES INV</th><th>TOOLVED</th><th>) (8) NUMBE</th><th>R</th></t<>	CILIT	HER FAC	TIES INV	TOOLVED	) (8) NUMBE	R		
10     30     95     95     -     028     -     00     11     29     95     FACILITY NAME       OPERATING MODE (9)     1     THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 1     0     20.2201(b)     20.2203(a)(2)(v)     x     50.73(n)(2)(i)()       POWER     100     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(3)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i)()     50.73(a)(2)(i)()       LEVEL (10)     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(3)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(i)()     50.73(a)(2)(i)()       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(i)/     50.73(a)(2)(i)()     50.73(a)(2)(i)(i)       20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(i)(i)       20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(iv)     50.73(a)(2)(iv)       20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(iv)       20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(iv)       VELEPHONE NUMBER     VELEPHONE NUMBER     VELEPHONE NUMBER       J.     Thompson     VELEPHONE NUMBER     CAUSE     System     Compose       SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)     NO	Product Product				05000			
OPERATING MODE (9)     1     THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 1       POWER LEVEL (10)     20.2201(b)     20.2203(a)(2)(v)     x     50.73(a)(2)(v)       POWER LEVEL (10)     100     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(3)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(3)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)     20.73(a)(2)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(iii)       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(vi)     50.73(a)(2)(vi)       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     50.36(c)(1)     50.73(a)(2)(vi)     50.73(a)(2)(vi)       20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(vi)     50.73(a)(2)(vi)     50.73(a)(2)(vi)       20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(vi)     50.73(a)(2)(vi)     50.73(a)(2)(vi)       NAME     LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)     VELEPHONE NUMBER     VELEPHONE NUMBER     VELEPHONE NUMBER       J. Thompson     GOMPONENT     MANUFACTURER     REPORTABLE TO NPRDS     CAUSE     SYBTEM     COMP       VELS     SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)     EXPECTED     SUBMISSION DATE).     NO     X     DATE (15)	FACILITY NAME			DOCKET	R			
OPERATING MODE (9)     1     THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 1 20.2203(a)(2)(v)     x     50.73(c)(2)(v)       POWER LEVEL (10)     100     20.2203(a)(1)     20.2203(a)(3)(i)     50.73(c)(2)(i)       20.2203(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(3)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)       20.2203(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(3)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(3)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     50.36(c)(1)     50.73(a)(2)(iv)       20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       VELEPHONE NUMBER     VELEPHONE NUMBER     VELEPHONE NUMBER       J.     Thompson     VELEPHONENT MANUFACTURER     REPORTABLE TO NPRDS     CAUSE     SYSTEM     COMP       SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)     EXPECTED     SUBMISSION DATE).     NO X     SUBMISSION DATE).       ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximitately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)     Component is approximitately 15 single-spac	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX				0500	00		
POWER     100     20.2203(a)(1)     20.2203(a)(3)(i)     50.73(b)(2)(i)       LEVEL (10)     100     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(3)(i)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)       20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)       20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     50.36(c)(1)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)       20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)       20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)       20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       ILCENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)     ILCENSEE     VEEPHONE NUMBER       J. Thompson     VEEPHONE NUMBER     CAUSE     System       CAUBE     SYSTEM     COMPONENT     MANUFACTURER     REPORTABLE TO NPRDS     CAUSE     System     COMF       VEES     (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).     NO     X     SUBMISSIO DATE (15)       ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approxir.iately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16) <td>CFR</td> <td>S OF 10</td> <td>2 §: (Che</td> <td>eck one</td> <td>or mo</td> <td>re) (11)</td>	CFR	S OF 10	2 §: (Che	eck one	or mo	re) (11)		
LEVEL (10)     20.2203(a)(2)(i)     20.2203(a)(3)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(ii)       20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(3)(ii)     50.73(a)(2)(iii)     50.73(a)(2)(iii)       20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     20.2203(a)(4)     50.73(a)(2)(iv)     50.73(a)(2)(iv)       20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     50.36(c)(1)     50.73(a)(2)(v)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       10.75(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       11.00000000000000000000000000000000000	(z)(i)(B)			50.	73(8)	2)(v)		
20.2203(a)(2)(ii)     20.2203(a)(4)     50.73(a)(2)(iv)       20.2203(a)(2)(iii)     50.36(c)(1)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       20.2203(a)(2)(iv)     50.36(c)(2)     50.73(a)(2)(v)       LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)       NAME       TELEPHONE NUMBER       J. Thompson       COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS       CAUBE       SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)       EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).       NO X       ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approxinuately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)		a)(2)(iii)		73.	.71	2 J(K)		
20.2203(a)(2)(iii)   50.36(c)(1)   50.73(a)(2)(v)     20.2203(a)(2)(iv)   50.36(c)(2)   50.73(a)(2)(vi)     LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)     NAME     TELEPHONE NUMBER     J. Thompson     COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS     CAUBE     SYSTEM     COMPONENT     MANUFACTURER     REPORT ABLE TO MPRDS     CAUSE     SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)     EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).     NO X     ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approxinuately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)		a)(2)(iv)		OT	R			
20.2203(a)(2)(iv)   50.36(c)(2)   50.73(a)(2)(vi)     LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)     TELEPHONE NUMBER     J. Thompson     COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS     COMPONENT MANUFACTURER     REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT     CAUBE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER     SUMPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)     EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).     NO X     ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approxir.iately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)		a)(2)(v)		Specify or in NR	in Abel	betract below		
LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)     YELEPHONE NUMBER     J. Thompson     COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS     CAUBE     SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)     EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).     NO X     SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)     EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).     NO X     SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)     EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).     NO X     SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)     EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).     NO X     ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.s., approxinuately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)		a)(2)(vii)				or in boom		
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) EXPECTED   YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE). NO X SUBMISSIO   ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approxir.iately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16) (16)	RE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)				RRE	PORTABLE		
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)   EXPECTED     YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).   NO   X   SUBMISSIO DATE (15)     ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)					+	O NPRDS		
(If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE). NO X SUBMISSIO DATE (15) ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximistely 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)		PECTED	MONT		AY	YEAR		
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)		MISSION TE (15)						
A Quality Assurance audit, conducted from September 11 ( 1995, identified that the Liquid Radwaste Effluent Radia System was inoperable for approximately 40% of 1995 to o efforts required by Technical Specification 3.3.7.10 has correct equipment problems. A root cause investigation, accordance with our Corrective Action Program, identified low management priority given to the Radioactive Liquid Instrumentation. Contributing factors are that: 1) Operation drive restoration of equipment to operable status; and 2 processes do not drive timely corrective action for TS of Action Statements that do not impact power production of	hro ate co d t Eff rat ) m	11 ti Radia to d hav tion, tifie quid Ope and 2 TS c	ough on Mo e and not b onduc the r fluen tions manag ponen	Octo nito i tha been ted toot nt Mo s did gemen nts w	ber rin t b mad in cau nit no t	2, g est e to se as oring t TS		

process which does not place adequate weight on equipment problems requiring entry into TS Action Statements that do not threaten nuclear safety or power reduction. Corrective actions are focused on communicating expectations, improving related processes, and correcting equipment problems.

×.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LI TEXT CONTINUATION	U.8. ER)	NUCLEAR REG	GULATOP	SA CO	MMISS	ION
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	T	LER NUMBER	(6)	P	AGE (3	)
		YEAR	BEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION			
Hope Creek Generating Statio	n 05000354	95	- 028 -	00	2	OF	5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

# PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION

General Electric - Boiling Water Reactor (BWR/4) Process Radiation Monitoring: SP, EIIS Identifier: IL

### IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE

TITLE (4): Failure to exert best efforts to restore Liquid Effluent Radiation Monitoring System to operable status

Event Occurrence: From 1/1/95 to 9/20/95 Event Time: N/A Discovery Date: 10/30/95

# CONDITIONS PRIOR TO OCCURRENCE

Plant in OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1 (Power Operation) Reactor Power 100% of rated (for most of the period)

# DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE

Hope Creek Quality Assessment performed a yearly Radioactive Effluent Management Program audit from September 11 through October 2, 1995. Part of this audit consisted of a review of Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCO) entered against Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications (TS). On September 21, 1995, this review concluded that TS Action Statement 3.3.7.10 had been entered due to the inoperability of the Liquid Radwaste Radiation Monitor, OSPRE-4861, on several occasions totaling approximately 40% of 1995 up until September. The concern was whether or not "best efforts" had been exerted to restore the system to operable status as required by TS 3.3.7.10. On September 25, 1995, a multidisciplinary team was assembled to investigate this concern. This team concluded that TS 3.3.7.10 had been entered a total of 86% of 1995 for the Liquid Radwaste Radiation Monitoring System (RMS) and the Cooling Tower Blowdown RMS. On 10/30/95, after reviewing the circumstances related to the LCOs and review by plant management, it was decided that best efforts had not been exerted. In accordance with TS 3.3.7.10, an explanation of why this inoperability was not corrected in a timely manner will be provided in the next Radioactive Effluent Release Report, which will be submitted in May 1996. This occurrence is reportable as a Licensee Event Report under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as "any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications."

WRC FORM 366A (4-95)	ARCENTREMANTER, TREATMANTERED. ARCENTER AND ARCENTER AND ARCENTER AND ARCENTER AND ARCENTER AND ARCENTER AND AR	U.S.	NUCLEAR REG	GULATOP	AA CO	MMISS	ION	
1	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LI TEXT CONTINUATION	ER)						
- FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)			
	Constant and an and a second	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION				
Hope Creek Generating Station	n 05000354	95	- 028 -	00	3	OF	5	

YEXY (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

## ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE

The purpose of the Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation is to monitor and control, as applicable, the releases of radioactive materials in liquid effluents during actual or potential releases of liquid effluents. The Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation consists of: 1) the Cooling Tower Blowdown Radiation Monitoring System (CTB-RMS); 2) the Liquid Radwaste Radiation Monitoring System (LRW-RMS), including sample and discharge line flow inputs; and 3) the CTB Weir Flow Instrumentation. The CTB-RMS monitors a sample of the cooling tower blowdown before it is discharged to the Delaware River. The LRW-RMS monitors the liquid radwaste sample for gamma radiation prior to disc arge into the cooling tower blowdown line. The liquid radwaste discharge is diluted by a continuous flow of water from the cooling tower basin prior to discharge into the Delaware River. A sample of the liquid radwaste discharge flows through the liquid radwaste RMS. The LRW discharge line is isolated on: 1) high radiation from the LRW-RMS, 2) high discharge line flow, 3) loss of sample flow, or 4) low dilution flow (low CTB Weir Flow).

With the Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation inoperable, the Technical Specification (TS) 3.3.7.10 permits compensatory actions for releases to continue. These actions consist of taking grab samples or estimating flow rates periodically. The TS also requires the licensee to "exert best efforts to return the instruments to OPERABLE status within 30 days, and, if unsuccessful, explain in the next Radioactive Effluents Release Report...why this inoperability was not corrected in a timely manner."

During the time that the Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation was inoperable, TS 3.3.7.10 was appropriately entered and compliance with the compensatory actions was maintained.

Hope Creek Quality Assessment performed a yearly Radioactive Effluent Management Program audit from September 11 through October 2, 1995. Part of this audit consisted of a review of Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCO) entered against Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications (TS). On September 21, 1995, this review concluded that TS Action Statement 3.3.7.10 had been entered due to the inoperability of the Liquid Radwaste Radiation Monitor, OSPRE-4861, on several occasions totaling approximately 40% of 1995 through September. The concern was whether or not "best efforts" had been exerted to restore the system to operable status as required by TS 3.3.7.10. On September 25, 1995, a multidisciplinary team was assembled to investigate this concern.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)	a de la deserva de la deserva de constante de la deserva de la deserva de la deserva de la deve de la deve de La decimana de la deve d	U.S.	NUCLEAR RE	GULATOR	IV CON	MMISS	ION
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LE TEXT CONTINUATION	ER)					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION			
Hope Creek Generating Statio	n 05000354	95	028	00	4	OF	5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

### ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE (cont'd)

This team's investigation concluded that TS 3.3.7.10 had been entered a total of 86% of 1995 for the Liquid Radwaste Radiation Monitoring System (RMS) and the Cooling Tower Blowdown RMS. In addition to the inoperability of the Liquid Radwaste Radiation Monitor discussed previously, other instruments associated with the LRW-RMS had been inoperable requiring entry into TS 3.3.7.10. The CTB Weir Flow channel was declared inoperable due to a design deficiency, requiring entry into TS 3.3.7.10. This deficiency involves inaccurate flow rate measurements of flows above the nominal low dilution flow setpoint range. As a result of the CTB Weir Flow channel design deficiency, the TS Action Statement is still active.

This duration of degraded equipment condition does not meet the expectation of the General Manager - Hope Creek Operations of "exert best efforts." On 10/30/95, it was decided that best efforts had not been exerted. In accordance with TS 3.3.7.10, an explanation of why this inoperability was not corrected in a timely manner will be provided in the next Radioactive Effluent Release Report, which will be submitted in May 1996. This occurrence is reportable as a Licensee Event Report under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as "any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications."

#### APPARENT CAUSE OF OCCURRENCE

The root cause of best efforts not being exerted is that low management priority has been given to the Radioactive Liquid Effluent Monitoring Instrumentation. Contributing factors are that: 1) Operations did not drive restoration of equipment to operable status; and 2) management processes do not drive timely corrective action for TS components with TS Action Statements that do not impact power production or nuclear reactor safety directly, including the Design Change Package (DCP) prioritization process which does not place adequate weight on equipment problems requiring entry into TS Action Statements that do not threaten nuclear safety or power reduction.

### SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

The long out of service times for the LRW-RMS components posed minimal safety significance since required TS actions for sampling were in effect and the samples were taken as required.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)	a balan menangan kenangan kenangan kenangan panangan kenangan kenangan kenangan kenangan kenangan kenangan kena Kenangan	U.S.	NUCLEAR REG	GULATOP	RY CC	MMISS	ION
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LE TEXT CONTINUATION	ER)					
. FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	and a subsection and	LER NUMBER	(6)	P	AGE (3	1)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL SUMBER	REVISION			
Hope Creek Generating Stati	on 05000354	95	- 028	00	5	OF	5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

#### PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES

Although there have been 6 previous LERs related to missed samples for RMS components out of service, this is the first occurrence where best efforts were not exerted to restore the equipment to operable status.

### CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The General Manager - Hope Creek Operations has communicated: 1) the expectation of prompt resolution of RMS problems and necessary design changes to the Operations Manager, the Maintenance Manager, and the Director of Nuclear Plant Engineering, and 2) the expectation that Operations should drive prompt resolution of TS equipment inoperability prior to special reporting deadlines.

The Work Control Process administrative procedure priority scheme will be revised via the new Work Control Handbook to emphasize: 1) high priority for work orders associated with TS Action Statements for which compensatory actions are required (i.e., sampling), and 2) expectations that equipment be restored to operable status prior to special reporting deadlines. This will include integration of Nuclear Engineering work priorities into the Hope Creek Work Management plan such that design changes affecting the reliability of TS equipment and the ability of operators to adequately monitor and control TS systems receive proper priority. (Prior to restart) Wagner

The CTB Weir Flow design deficiency will be evaluated to determine appropriate resolution. (Prior to restart) **Braddick**