7590-01

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

In the Matter of

HOUSTON LIGHTING & POWER COMPANY CITY PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD OF SAN ANTONIO CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

(South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2)

Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499

EXEMPTION

1.

Houston Lighting & Power Company, (the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-76 and NPF-80, which authorizes operation of the South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2 (STP). The operating licenses provide, among other things, that the licensee is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the Commission now and hereafter in effect.

The facilities consist of two pressurized water reactors at the licensee's site in Matagorda County, Texas.

II.

Section III.D.3 of Appendix J to 10 CFR Part 50 states that Type C tests shall be performed during each reactor shutdown for refueling but in no case at intervals greater than 2 years. Type C tests are tests intended to measure containment isolation valve leakage rates.

III.

By letter dated May 25, 1995, Houston Lighting & Power (HL&P) requested relief from the requirement to perform Type C tests during each reactor shutdown for refueling. HL&P proposes to perform the required Type C tests while the plant is at power.

The licensee's request cites the special circumstances of 10 CFR 50.12, paragraph (a)(2)(ii), as the basis for the exemption. The licensee states that the underlying purpose of the rule is to assure that adequate testing is done to assure containment integrity. The licensee's view is that from the standpoint of testing adequacy, when the testing is performed is not relevant because the conditions of testing are the same regardless of when it is performed. Taking credit for testing performed during power operation provides the same degree of assurance of containment integrity as taking credit for testing performed during shutdown. Therefore, consistent with 10 CFR 50.12, paragraph (a)(2)(ii), the licensee proposes that application of the regulation in this particular circumstance is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule.

IV.

Section III.D.3 of Appendix J to 10 CFR Part 50 states that Type C tests shall be performed during each reactor shutdown for refueling but in no case at intervals greater than 2 years. The licensee proposes an exemption to this section to perform the required Type C tests while the plant is at power.

The Commission has determined that pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(1) that this exemption is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the

public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security. The Commission further determines that special circumstances, as provided in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii), are present justifying the exemption; namely, that application of the regulation in this particular circumstance is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule.

The NRC staff has reviewed the basis and supporting information provided by the licensee in the exemption request. The staff agrees with the licensee's views provided above. In addition, the NRC staff position is that the focus of Section III.D.3 of Appendix J is on the maximum time period between Type C tests, not the plant's condition when the tests are performed. This position is illustrated in Section III.D.2 of Appendix J regarding Type B tests (for detection of local leakage of containment penetrations), where it states that Type B tests shall be performed during reactor shutdown for refueling, or other convenient intervals, but in no case at intervals greater than 2 years. From a safety standpoint, Type B and Type C tests are the same kinds of tests, performed on somewhat different types of containment isolation barriers; therefore, Type B and Type C tests can be treated similarly. Also, there is no reason to restrict Type C tests to refueling outages as long as the 2-year maximum interval is not exceeded. Based on the above, the NRC staff finds the basis for the licensee's proposed exemption from the requirement to perform the Type C tests during each reactor shutdown for refueling to be acceptable.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this Exemption will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment (60 FR 45171). This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of August 1995.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Jack W. Roe, Director Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation