

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Commonwealth Edison Company
Braidwood Station

Docket No. 50-456
License No. NPF-72


As a result of an inspection conducted from January 18 through March 12, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1991), the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 6.8.1 requires that written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained covering the activities referenced in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2, February 1978.

Contrary to the above, during January 26-28, 1992, normally open valve ONT-099, was maintained in the closed position, without being documented in the "Component Abnormal Position Log" as required by step C.8 of Administrative Procedure BwAP 340-2, "Use of Mechanical and Electrical Lineups,".

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement II) (50-456/92004-01(DRP))

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Commonwealth Edison is required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington D.C. 20555 with a copy to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III, 799 Roosevelt Road, Glen Ellyn, Illinois, 60137, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the Braidwood Station within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that will be taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a demand for information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.



Brent Clayton, Chief
Reactor Projects Branch 1

Dated at Glen Ellyn, Illinois
this 27 day of March, 1992