

Appendix

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Commonwealth Edison Company

Docket No. 50-454

As a result of the inspection conducted on June 11-15, June 22 and June 25-28, 1984, and in accordance with the General Policy and Procedures for NRC Enforcement Actions, (10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C), the following violations were identified:

1. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, as implemented by Section 16 of the Quality Assurance Topical Report, CE-1-A, requires that conditions adverse to quality be promptly identified and corrected.

Contrary to the above, Discrepancy Record (DR) 192-83 was closed although the approved disposition had not been properly implemented.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement II).

2. 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XIII, as implemented by Section 13 of the Quality Assurance Topical Report, CE-1-A, requires equipment to be stored in accordance with instructions to prevent their damage or deterioration.

Contrary to the above, the following components were not stored in accordance with the suppliers instruction:


- a. Level B storage requirements were applied to a loop power supply, whereas Level A requirements were recommended by the supplier. Additionally, the supplier recommended that the power supply be stored in an energized state with simulated loads if it was to remain in storage longer than 24 months. The power supply was received in 1981 and had not been energized at the time of this inspection.
- b. Level B storage requirements were applied to a three phase amplifier for the Class IE battery chargers, whereas Level A requirements were recommended by the supplier.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement II).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, you are required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each item of noncompliance: (1) corrective action taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective action to be taken to avoid further noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

JUL 30 1984

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dated

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
R. L. Spessard, Director  
Division of Reactor Safety