



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION III
799 ROOSEVELT ROAD
GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

L. Robinson
FOIA Br.

July 6, 1984

Carol M. Rice, Esq.
Kirkland & Ellis
200 East Randolph Drive
Chicago, IL 60601

Dear Ms. Rice:

Enclosed in further response to FOIA-84-96 are records you selected from the files of Messrs. Harrison and Norelius during your recent visit here on June 28, 1984.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please call Pearl Smith (790-5726) of my staff.

Sincerely,

Gen W. Roy

Gen W. Roy, Acting Director
Division of Resource Management
and Administration

Enclosures: -s stated

✓ cc w/encls: Linda Robinson, FOIA Branch

8408150617 840718
PDR FOIA
RICE84-96 PDR

TO DLQuarrie

FROM

BP *NT*
BHPeck, NIREichel/GWRowe

DATE

February 15, 1984

SUBJECT

MIDLAND ENERGY CENTER GWO 7020
USNRC EXIT MEETING

File: 0485.15 UFI: 12*24*25 Serial: CSC-7331
0485.21 42*03*03

CC

JWCook, P26-336B
RAWells, MPQAD
Attendees

HPLeonard, MPQAD
JLWood, MPQAD

**Consumers
Power
Company**

INTERNAL
CORRESPONDENCE

The following is a brief report of the exit meeting concerning cable substitutions held on February 10, 1984.

MAR 1 1984

MEETING NOTES

In 1982, an allegation was made by a former electrician, that indiscriminate cable substitutions were being made. A subsequent overinspection of over 9000 class IE cables revealed six cables of incorrect size. Not only was cable size checked, but routing, color coding and mylar tag information.

Although the overinspection was completed in 1983 with a number of NCR's being generated the NRC requested an exit meeting to further investigate the breakdown.

An exit meeting was held on February 10, 1984, in the Orientation Room between Bechtel, Consumers and NRC officials. Those in attendance were:

<u>CPCO</u>	<u>BPCO</u>	<u>NRC</u>
M. Schaeffer	D. Scott	J. Harrison
D. Cochran	H. McCully	R. Gardner
J. Rowe	R. Heistand	B. Burgess
	D. Newcome	

Mr. Gardner requested any additional information on why two different size wires could be cut from the same reel, why the reel number recorded was an invalid number and why the tags attached to the cable were incorrect (2 of 4 wrong).

Mr. McCully explained that shortly after he arrived on site he found the manufacturer's serial number was being used (in some cases) for the reel number. As to the other two concerns, Mr. McCully nor could anyone else provide a plausible reason for the errors.

A trip to the present "cut-shop" was made to look at the present set-up and how reels are marked to see if a reason for the errors could be found. Only suppositions could be given.

The group then went to the Services Support Building to look at tags that identified cables (made out by "cut-shop"). The tags showed they had been improperly filled out.

Prior to leaving the Orientation Room, Mr. Gardner informed the group this item would be viewed as an "item of non-compliance".

GWRowe
2/14/84