

A. PENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Entergy Operations, Inc.
Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 1

Docket: 50-313
License: DRP-61

During an NRC inspection conducted during the period January 25 through February 29, 1992, two examples of a violation of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 6.8.1 requires, in part, that written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained covering: (1) surveillance and test activities of safety-related equipment, and (2) activities referenced in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, November 1972. Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, November 1972, recommends written administrative procedures regarding procedure adherence.

1. Step 7.2.2 of Procedure 1304.039, Revision 25, Temporary Change 1, "Unit 1 Reactor Protective System Channel C Test," instructed the instrument technician to place the RPS channel in manual bypass.

Contrary to the above, on February 17, 1992, the instrument technician placed the channel in shutdown bypass.

2. Procedure 1000.006, Revision 36, Plant Change 2, "Procedure Control," requires, in part, that any deviations to procedures shall be reported to the Shift Supervisor immediately after the situation is under control.

Contrary to the above, the instrument technician did not report the deviations to the Supervisor, Shift Operations immediately after the equipment was returned to normal.

These two examples constitute one Severity Level IV problem. (Supplement I) (313-92005-1)

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR Part 2.201, Entergy Operations, Inc., is hereby required to submit to this office, within 30 days of the date of this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation if admitted, (2) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Arlington, Texas,
this 23rd day of March 1991