

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 15, 1995

Mr. Nicholas J. Liparulo Nuclear Safety and Regulatory Activities Westinghouse Electric Corporation P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR OPINION

Dear Mr. Liparulo:

In your letter of October 23, 1995, you requested that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issue an opinion letter to Westinghouse indicating the licensability of an increase of 0.6 percent in core power of a facility similar to the South Texas plant. Westinghouse also solicited the NRC's opinion regarding the licensability of a hybrid design proposed for an overseas project. You further state that the proposed hybrid design is similar to a Standardized Nuclear Unit Power Plant System (SNUPPS) with South Texas reactor vessel internals with a 14-foot core length and a rated power of 3823 megawatts thermal (MWt).

By letters dated September 22, 1994 and October 5, 1995, the staff indicated to Westinghouse that design-basis analyses for the licensing of nuclear power facilities rarely determine the absolute maximum allowable value for operating parameters such as core power level. Analytical models and methodologies used to demonstrate compliance with regulatory criteria introduce conservatisms in the design-basis analyses. In addition, the analyses often include conservative allowances for uncertainties, limits for protection of equipment, and margins for operating flexibility.

The NRC has issued many license amendments to revise operating limits found to introduce undue operational or financial burdens when appropriate analyses have been submitted. NRC approval of such license amendments demonstrates that revisions to initial design-basis assumptions can be found acceptable. With respect to core thermal power level, the NRC has recently approved plant designs at thermal power levels above the power level stated in your letter.

With respect to your first question, it is reasonable that a plant similar in design to South Texas could be licensed with a maximum core power level of 3823 MWt, based on the conservatisms used for determining the operating limits, NRC's previous reviews of Westinghouse designs, and NRC's previous approvals for increase in allowable core power levels.

Your second question asked if it was reasonable that a plant similar to Sizewell B, but with South Texas reactor internals and a 14 foot core (assuming that plant specific licensing reviews and inspections determined that all current applicable regulatory requirements were met), could be licensed at a RETURN TO REGULATON X-T-E-2 maximum core power of 3823 MWt. If the NRC were licensing such a design, it would evaluate the design against current NRC regulatory requirements and

9511200246 951115 PDR ADOCK 05000498 PDR

Mr. Nicholas J. Liparulo Westinghouse Electric Corporation

cc: Mr. B. A. McIntyre Advanced Plant Safety & Licensing Westinghouse Electric Corporation Energy Systems Business Unit P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230

> Mr. John C. Butler Advanced Plant Safety & Licensing Westinghouse Electric Corporation Energy Systems Business Unit Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230

Mr. M. D. Beaumont Nuclear and Advanced Technology Division Westinghouse Electric Corporation One Montrose Metro 11921 Rockville Pike Suite 350 Rockville, MD 20852

Mr. Sterling Franks U.S. Department of Energy NE-42 Washington, DC 20585

Mr. S. M. Modro Nuclear Systems Analysis Technologies Lockheed Idaho Technologies Company Post Office Box 1625 Idaho Falls, ID 83415

Mr. Frank A. Ross U.S. Department of Energy, NE-42 Office of LWR Safety and Technology 19901 Germantown Road Germantown, MD 20874 Docket No. 52-003 AP600

Mr. Ronald Simard, Director Advanced Reactor Programs Nuclear Energy Institute 1776 Eye Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006-3706

STS, Inc. Attn: Lynn Connor Suite 610 3 Metro Center Bethesda, MD 20814

Mr. James E. Quinn, Projects Manager LMR and SBWR Programs GE Nuclear Energy 175 Curtner Avenue, M/C 165 San Jose, CA 95125

Mr. John E. Leatherman, Manager SBWR Design Certification GE Nuclear Energy, M/C 781 San Jose, CA 95125

Barton Z. Cowan, Esq. Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott 600 Grant Street 42nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Mr. Ed Rodwell, Manager PWR Design Certification Electric Power Research Institute 3412 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94303

Mr. Charles Thompson, Nuclear Engineer AP600 Certification U.S. Department of Energy NE-451 Washington, DC 20585 .

Commission policy including, but not limited to, the severe accident policy statement, additional requirements promulgated after the accident at Three Mile Island and identified in 10 CFR 50.34(f), resolution of unresolved and generic safety issues, and as applicable, Commission policy statements regarding standardized power plant designs. If, as you stated, the applicable regulations were met, then it is reasonable to assume this plant could be licensable.

Since this response is offered without such reviews or inspections, it should not be construed as approval or endorsement of similar changes for domestic facilities or standardized designs that have received a final design approval. This response is also limited to the hypothetical licensing of a facility similar to South Texas and to the proposed hybrid design, and does not in any way address the capabilities of power conversion systems or potential deleterious effects such a proposed power increase would have on reactor coolant system materials such as steam generator tubes.

Address any questions regarding this letter to Mr. Michael X. Franovich at (301) 415-8465.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Dennis M. Crutchfield, Director Division of Reactor Program Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: Docket File PUBLIC DCrutchfield JRoe, 0-13 E2 TAlexion, 0-13 H3 MFranovich DJackson ACRS (11)

PDST R/F R7immerman, 0-12 G18 BGrimes BSheron, 0-7 D26 KBurke, IP TKenyon JMoore, 0-15 B18

WRussell/FMiraglia, 0-12 G18 AThadani, 0-12 G18 TQuay GHolahan, 0-8 E2 RArchitzel WHuffman WDean, 0-17 G21

DOCUMENT NAME: A: TAIWANG.OPN

TAIWAN6.OPN See previous concurrence*

| OFFICE | PM: PDST: DRPM | D:PDST:DRPM C | D:DRPM | ADP | |
|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| NAME | MFranovich: sol for | TQUAY TOR | DCMUTANTIETd | RZimmerman* | |
| DATE | 11/15/95 | 11/ 15/95 | 11/9/95 | 11/9/95 | |
| - | my of this document indicate in th | s have "A" - Conv without | attachment/enclosure "F" | - Conv with attachment/enclosure | "N" - No con |
| O LECEIAE W CC | py of this document, maicate in th | BOA. C = COPY WITHOUT | | | n - no cop |
| OFFICE | TDA | OGC | IP | | N = 110 000 |
| OFFICE NAME | ADT AThadani* | OGC RWeisman* | IP JShea* | | - HO COP |