

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Entergy Operations, Inc.  
Waterford 3 Facility

Docket No. 50-382  
License No. NPF-38

During an NRC inspection conducted February 10-14, 1992, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C the violation is listed below:

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, requires that activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by and accomplished in accordance with documented instructions, procedures, or drawings, of a type appropriate to the circumstances.

Paragraphs 5.1.5 and 5.2.1 of Administrative Procedure UNT-007-027, Revision 1, state, respectively, "A condition identification shall be generated to identify the leak, accomplish repair and evaluate the impact on the RCS pressure boundary," and "Engineering Evaluations shall be performed on all boric acid leaks identified in areas noted on Attachment 6.1."

Contrary to the above, during the performance of a boric acid leak monitoring walkdown in the period March 16 through May 5, 1991, three valves (SI-332A and SI-401 A & B) were observed by walkdown personnel to exhibit boric acid crystal buildup. There was no evidence that either the required condition identification was generated, or that an engineering evaluation was performed.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (382/9206-02)(Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Entergy Operations, Inc. is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region IV, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Arlington, Texas  
this *19<sup>th</sup>* day of *March* 1992