Mr. James H. Joyner, Chief RD:1209 DEERPATH BOAD Facilities Radiological Safety USA and Safeguards Branch Division of Radiation Safety 9143514502 and Safeguards USNRC Region 1, 475 Allendale Rd. King of Prussia, PA 19406

16 February 1992

NRCCINT22

Re: Cintichem Tuxedo Docket 50-54,70-687 Pub Meeting 2-20-92

To be delivered and read as a statement at subject public meeting. (22 Feb: I understand that for unknown reasons this was Dear Mr. Joyner: not read at the meeting. I Much recret not being present.)

HELMUT E. NIMKE, P. E.

I have been informed that notice of this meeting was given to officials of Tuxedo on 6 February. I wa told by the Tuxedo Supervisor at the Town Board meeting on 12 February, under the "Other Business" agenda. The meeting had no benefit of prior public notice.

I and others at the Town Board meeting noted that such notice was entirely inadequate in addressing an issue as important and consequential as this to the entire community of Tuxedo and others responsibly interested. Appeals, made at my request, by Tuxedo's Supervisor to Cintichem Manager McGovern, to delay this meeting to a better date and location were not accommodated. I affirm that conviction and insist that this meeting be soon held again, with the same official participation, at a convenient place, time and with adequate public notice.

I remain distressed that the Town of Tuxedo, nor Orange County, have never exercised any official engagement of authority, as a "home rule" issue, in the matter of Cintichem, in neither its beginnings nor its present course and status. I know that official Tuxedo has chosen not to do so. It is evident that those energies which will illuminate the public interest must come from Tuxedo's residents.

The plan to clear the Cintichem site of all radiation hazard should not involve any "acceptable" burial on site. Providing a menu of acceptable limits in site effluent permits will not serve the case. The limited engagement of the NY State DEC with the NRC actions leaves the satisfaction of all test objectives in the hands of Cintichem on a reportorial basis.

The limits of responsibili?, and jurisdiction, is now as inadequate to the case as it was prior to the circumstantial disclosures of January 1990. Given the present case, the record of the NYS Public Service Commission hearings in the Sterling Forest water rate case of 1939 has some teachings, yet unlearned, in regard to agency authority, responsibility and risk to public health.

Both Cintichem and the Indian Reservoir are in the wrong place. That case has been demonstrated. It should have been, and must yet be, otherwise, The residue of this paradox remains; in technical fact, administrative process and in its cost to all. CC: Town Clerk Tuxedo Sincerely yours,

9203250002 920 PDR ADOCK 050

Kelmut S. Rinke, P.E.

Diplomate, American Academy of Phvironmental Engineers