

January 12, 1982

NOTE TO: Elinor G. Adensam, Chief
Licensing Branch #4
Division of Licensing

FROM: Ronald W. Hernan, Project Manager
Licensing Branch #4
Division of Licensing

SUBJECT: Status of Midland SER Input from Review Branches

In preparation for issuance of a draft SER for the Midland Plant in mid-late January, I have completed a section by section review of which sections of the draft have been received by DL as of this date. Although a number of branches had informed us before the fact that draft SER input would be late or would not be submitted at all, a number of sections have not been received for various reasons that we would have expected to receive by December 6, 1981. In order to know where we presently stand and in order to proceed with assembly, editing, correcting and reproducing the draft SER for management review, I have compiled a listing of which sections I do not presently have, why we have not received them and approximately when we will receive them (if at all). I have attached this listing for your information and possible action (such as in the case of the SEB input).

Also in support of publishing a draft Midland SER, I have started inputting sections of the draft to CRESS for transcription to diskettes and word processing. Some problems which will impact stenographic manpower in and outside of our branch have resulted from the fact that many branches did not type their input at all or did not type it in a form that can directly be processed by CRESS without retyping. Use of an OCR typewriter element on Xerox-type paper is required by CRESS with no white-out corrections or cutting and pasting on the original copy. These problems will make it virtually impossible from a time standpoint to have all sections processed by CRESS and still issue the draft SER in January. One other problem which has hindered assembly of the draft SER is the fact that many SER sections had been submitted to DL in 1979 and have to be located and checked for current applicability. I am striving to have the first cut at the draft ready for your initial review by January 15.

Ronald W. Hernan
Ronald W. Hernan, Project Manager
Licensing Branch #4

cc: D. Hood
Affected reviewers

8408140522 840718
PDR FOIA
RICE84-96 PDR

MIDLAND SER STATUS

<u>SER Section(s)</u>	<u>Branch/Reviewer</u>	<u>Reason</u>	<u>Expected Comp. Date</u>
2.1.1-2.2.3	SAB/Campe	Per Regan's note on 12/22, the review has been delayed by late FSAR revision and by Campe's involvement in FNP and North Anna Proceedings I called him on 1/5 to try to better his proposed 1/25 date. He said he may be able to get the draft SER input to by 1/20 or 1/22.	1/25/82
2.4.1-2.4.14	HGEB/Gonzales	This review has been impacted by soils hearings. He is working on the draft SER and will provide sect. 2.4.10 (Flood Protection & dikes) by 1/15 and the other sections in late Jan to early Feb.	2/5/82
2.5.2	GSB/Kimball	Knight said in his 12/10 memo that this section would not be included because the subject (Seismology) had been covered adequately in hearing testimony. The reviewer agreed on 1/5/82 to provide a handwritten copy of his "final SER input" and subsequently stated he would instead provide the final typed input the week of 1/18.	1/22/82
2.5.4, 2.5.5	HGEB/Kane	Both of these area are severely impacted by the instant soils hearings. It is not certain if input can even be submitted in time for the final SER.	Unknown
3.3.1, 3.3.2, 3.4.2, 3.5.3, 3.7.1, 3.7.2, 3.7.3, 3.7.4, 3.8.1, 3.8.2, 3.8.3, 3.8.4, 3.8.5	SEB/Rinaldi	No draft SER input has been received due to the reviewer's involvement in the instant soils hearings. Input for the final SER will be submitted as the reviewer has time available to work on it between and after hearings. Several section will probably not be complete in time to include in the final SER.	Unknown
3.5.1.3, 4.5.1, 4.5.2, 5.2.3, 5.4.2.1, 5.4.2.2, 6.1.1, 10.3.6, 10.2.3	MTEB/Smith	No specific reason given for the lateness of this input other than the fact that the reviewer was not aware of the due date. The reviewer had indicated previously at one of the monthly meetings that he would be able to meet the 12/6 date.	1/13/82
3.10, 3.11	EQB/Le/Lee	The reviewers heitated to provide SER input at this time because of the large number of unresolved problem areas. EQB has agreed to provide draft input but stated that it would not be substantial because of the open items.	1/13/82
6.2.7	MTEB/Halapatz	The reviewer has withheld his SER input pending receipt of required information from Consumers Power	

4.2	CPB/Powers	Per E. Berlinger memo dated 10/29/81, input to this section (fuel design) will not be forthcoming at the draft SER stage due to CPB workload and because the applicant has been made aware of the outstanding issues in meetings. A meeting has been held since then but no draft SER input is expected from CPB in this area	None (final by 4/6)
4.4	CPB/Holonich	Draft input late due to workload. Input is in typing and will be forwarded to DL by 1/12	1/12/82
6.6 , 5.2.4	MTEB/Hum	No draft SER input Planned. Will try to get a draft writeup from contractor in near future	None (Final by 4/6)
7.1 - 7.7	ICSB/Li	As previously identified to us in our monthly meetings, ICSB does not intend to supply a draft SER input but is proceeding with open item identification and resolution meetings with the applicant	None (final by 4/6/82)
8.1 - 8.3.2	PSB/Chopra	As earlier identified by the reviewer, the input for these sections (actually, update of previous input) could not be completed until late January due to other priorities. PSB still plans to meet their schedule and I will include either a corrected copy of the old input or their updated input depending on the timing of their update.	1/31/82
13.1.1, 13.1.2, 13.2.2, 13.4, 13.5.1 (plus ten TMI items)	OIE-RIII/Peschel	I&E does not intend to issue draft SER input as such and as they had previously stated). They conducted a site visit and management audit in October 1981 (with DLand LQB participation) and issued a list of open items to CPCo at that time. They will provide final SER input by 4/6/82.	None (Final by 4/6)
13.2.1	OLB/Deliso	Do not intend to supply draft SER input due to higher priorities and standard Branch practice with regard to SER's	None (Final by 4/6/82)
13.3	EPLB/Mathis	No draft SER input will be issued due to timing of review & change of reviewers. A prelim meeting was held on 7/31/81, a site visit on 10/5. Q-1's have been sent & responded to	None (Final by 4/6/82)
14.2	PTRB/Mackay	Review being performed by PNL. Expect to have questions and draft SER by 1/15/82	1/15/82
17.2	QAB/Conway	In process of reviewing new CPCo Operations program. Will try to have input to DL in time for draft SER	1/31/82