

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

GPU Nuclear Corporation
Forked River, New Jersey

Docket No. 50-219
License No. DPR-16

As a result of the inspection conducted on February 18-21, 1992, and in accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (Enforcement Policy) (1990), the following violation was identified:

License Condition 4 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-16 dated December 29, 1981, requires that the licensee fully implement and maintain all provisions of the NRC-approved Physical Security Plan.

The NRC-approved Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Physical Security Plan Revision 28 dated May 29, 1990, Section 14.1 "Program Audit" states, in part, that the security program is reviewed every 12 months. The review shall evaluate the security programs potential impact on plant and personnel safety. The results of the review and evaluation, are documented, reported to the licensee's plant management and to corporate management.

Contrary to the above the 1990 and 1991 Security Program Audits did not contain an evaluation of the security programs potential impact on plant and personnel safety.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement III).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, GPU Nuclear Corporation is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region I, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within thirty days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at King of Prussia, Pennsylvania
this 6th day of March 1992

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY