

19/86

RECORD OF TELEPHONE CONVERSATION

DATE: 4/21/81 PROJECT: Midland
RECORDED BY: J. Kane CLIENT: _____
TALKED WITH: R. Erickson OF COE

ROUTE TO:	INFORMATION	ACTION
	<u>L. Heller</u>	_____
	<u>D. Hood</u>	_____
	<u>W. Paton</u>	_____

MAIN SUBJECT OF CALL: Discovery of hole near DGB

ITEMS DISCUSSED: Yesterday while inspecting borings near the DGB, Ron Erickson discovered a hole in the plant fill below final grade at a location approx. 12 feet west of the NW corner of the DGB. The hole measured about 3 1/2' in diameter and 2 1/2' in depth and was thought to be directly over a 26" phi service water line.

CPCo personnel with Ron Erickson did not know the cause of the open hole but were to investigate and report back to him. I suggested that CPCo be asked how they were going to report this condition to the NRC (R. Coore at site has been notified) and indicated that we would notify D. Budzik, if it becomes necessary, to understand the cause of the hole. Ron suggested that we await the results of D. ~~Sibbold~~ ^{Sibbold} (?) efforts at the site before formally contacting D. Budzik.

4/22/81
R. Erickson called. Indicated CPCo stated that hole was excavated & then was not backfilled. I asked Ron to take photo & request written statement on purpose of excavation and method used to excavate. (J. Kane)



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION III
799 ROOSEVELT ROAD
GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

Jo Hane ¹³⁴ 20/82

February 2, 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: C. C. Williams, Chief, Plant Systems Section

FROM: Charles E. Norelius, Director, Division of Engineering and Technical Inspection

SUBJECT: INSPECTION PLANS FOR UNDERPINNING ACTIVITIES FOR SOILS WORK AT THE MIDLAND SITE

This is to confirm our discussions that we plan to dedicate Ross Landsman as the Region III inspector responsible for conducting the inspection of underpinning activities at the Midland site. In connection with this assignment, I request that you do the following:

1. Prepare a special inspection plan outlining the types of inspections and frequency of inspections to be performed at the Midland site. This plan should be developed in conjunction with NRR to assure that it covers the unique technical issues they foresee in this project, and should be tied to the planned work schedule which has been received from Midland. This plan should include the types of specialized inspections we anticipate which may require other types of technical specialists.
2. Even at such times as specialists are involved, I would like for Ross to participate in such inspections to assure continuity throughout this entire project.
3. As part of the schedule you propose, I would like an estimate of the time you think that will be required to carry out this inspection effort.

Charles E. Norelius

Charles E. Norelius, Director
Division of Engineering and
Technical Inspection

cc: E. Jordan, IE
G. Gallagher, IE
J. Gilray, NRR
J. Knight, NRR
R. Tedesco, NRR ✓
R. Spessard, RIII
B. Davis, RIII
W. Little, RIII

~~8406120593~~
lp.

20/36

J. Kane
Rec'd 8/20/81

AUG 18 1981

Docket Nos: 50-329 OM, OL
and 50-330 OM, OL

APPLICANT: Consumers Power Company
FACILITY: Midland Plant, Units 1 & 2
SUBJECT: TELECON SUMMARY OF JULY 23, 1981

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket Nos. 50-329/330	
LB #4 r/f	JKane
DEisenhut	JRinaldi
EAdensam	RLandsman
DHood	GLear
MDuncan	LHeller
SHanauer	ACappucci
RTedesco	WPaton
RVollmer	JKnight
TMurley	JKeppler
RMattson	
RHartfield, MPA	bcc: TERA
OELD	NRC/PDR
OIE (3)	Local PDR
	TIC NSIC

On July 23, 1981 Messrs. G. Kelley, T. Sullivan and D. Budzik of Consumers Power Company (CPC) called D. Hood to ask or state several points. Replies were made by return call this same day.

- (1) The information regarding surcharging of the BWST valve pits and associated crack monitoring requested July 15, 1981 by D. Boyd and J. Kane is being forwarded by cover letter dated July 21, 1981. Express copies to NRR and IE-RIII will be delivered today and are being mailed to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District. This matter is impacting construction and expedited review is requested by CPC.
 - A. If the Corps receives its copy by July 27, 1981, Mr. J. Kane and others will provide staff comments on July 23 letter by telecon on July 30, 1981.
- (2) The borings and sampling for the revised location of the eight interceptor dewatering wells for the Service Water Structure have been completed. What information does the staff need for its review?
 - A. CPC should provide a letter stating the results and its conclusions as to whether the material encountered is adequately protected by the filter pack on the basis of the visual and lab test classification results. Summary of the lab results should be enclosed with the letter.
- (3) CPC stated that the same technical specification, QC instructions and CPC overinspection plan used for the twelve interceptor wells previously approved by NRC will also be used for the balance of the plant area dewatering wells. Other than documentation of this statement, what information does NRC need for approval of well installations of these remaining area dewatering wells?
 - A. Mr. Kane questioned the applicant's need for an additional partial approval (well installation) as compared to overall resolution of the permanent dewatering system design. CPC will reply in a forthcoming letter. In order to

OFFICE						
NAME						
DATE						

AUG 18 1981

respond, Mr. Kane indicated that past staff comments on the permanent dewatering system review should be checked, particularly 50.54(f) Request 47 and from the draft Corps letter of April 16, 1981 provided CPC during the oral deposition of J. Kane. The NRC would also need reasonable assurance that the gradations of foundation materials within the depth interval where the remaining well screens are to be placed are adequately protected by the filter pack. A more complete NRC reply will be provided about August 21, 1981.

- (4) When will the draft 4/16/81 letter request of the Corps (which is being revised based upon the meeting of May 5-7, 1981) be issued?
 - A. At the moment, Mr. Kane's higher project priorities include hearing support, seismic spectra reviews, BWST surcharge, dewatering installation, and dike and DGB boring/sampling results. Issuance of the revised letter requests are not expected until after mid-September.
- (5) CPC's report on crack analysis requested by the Naval Surface Weapons Center and due July 20, 1981 will be delayed until August 10, 1981.
- (6) The staff requested that a visit by its Caseload Forecast Panel to assess overall completion schedules be scheduled about August 25-27, 1981. Discussions should be held at a location readily accessible to the public.
- (7) Discussions also included the general status of the overall OL review.

151

Darl Hood, Project Manager
Licensing Branch No. 4
Division of Licensing

cc: See next page

*NOTE: SEE PREVIOUS WHITE FOR CONCURRENCE

OFFICE ▶	DL:LB #4	LA:DL:LB #4	DL:LB #4	NRR:DE:HGB		
NAME ▶	*DHood/hmc	*J.Duncan	*EAdensan	*JKane		
DATE ▶	7/29/81	8/11/81	7/29/81	7/29/81		

20/36

J. Kane
Rec'd 8/20/81

AUG 18 1981

Docket Nos: 50-329 OM, OL
and 50-330 OM, OL

APPLICANT: Consumers Power Company
FACILITY: Midland Plant, Units 1 & 2
SUBJECT: TELECON SUMMARY OF JULY 23, 1981

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket Nos. 50-329/330	
LB #4 r/f	JKane
DEisenhut	JRinaldi
EAdensam	RLandsman
DHood	GLear
MDuncan	LHeller
SHanauer	ACappucci
RTedesco	WPaton
RVollmer	JKnight
TMurley	JKeppler
RMattson	
RHartfield, MPA	bcc: TERA
OELD	NRC/PDR
OIE (3)	Local PDR
	TIC NSIC

On July 23, 1981 Messrs. G. Kelley, T. Sullivan and D. Budzik of Consumers Power Company (CPC) called D. Hood to ask or state several points. Replies were made by return call this same day.

(1) The information regarding surcharging of the BWST valve pits and associated crack monitoring requested July 15, 1981 by D. Boyd and J. Kane is being forwarded by cover letter dated July 21, 1981. Express copies to NRR and IE-RIII will be delivered today and are being mailed to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District. This matter is impacting construction and expedited review is requested by CPC.

A. If the Corps receives its copy by July 27, 1981, Mr. J. Kane and others will provide staff comments on July 23 letter by telecon on July 30, 1981.

(2) The borings and sampling for the revised location of the eight interceptor dewatering wells for the Service Water Structure have been completed. What information does the staff need for its review?

A. CPC should provide a letter stating the results and its conclusions as to whether the material encountered is adequately protected by the filter pack on the basis of the visual and lab test classification results. Summary of the lab results should be enclosed with the letter.

(3) CPC stated that the same technical specification, QC instructions and CPC overinspection plan used for the twelve interceptor wells previously approved by NRC will also be used for the balance of the plant area dewatering wells. Other than documentation of this statement, what information does NRC need for approval of well installations of these remaining area dewatering wells?

A. Mr. Kane questioned the applicant's need for an additional partial approval (well installation) as compared to over-all resolution of the permanent dewatering system design. CPC will reply in a forthcoming letter. In order to

OFFICE						
NAME						
DATE						