



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
REGION I  
475 ALLENDALE ROAD  
KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19406

Docket No. 50-336  
File RI-88-A-0020

JUN 09 1988

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

The purpose of this letter is to respond formally to your February 9, 1988 allegation to the NRC.

You alleged that [REDACTED] were unfairly treated when you were terminated by a contractor at the Millstone site. We referred you to the U.S. Department of Labor on this matter, and understood that you would get back to us with more information. So far, we have received no additional contact, nor have we been informed by the Department of Labor about disposition of a complaint by you.

Based on the preceding, we will close your allegation based on insufficient information unless you contact us with specifics which merit follow-up. If you have further information for the NRC on this matter, please contact me.

Sincerely,

*Ebe C. McCabe, Jr.*

Ebe C. McCabe, Jr., Chief  
Reactor Projects Section 1B  
Division of Reactor Projects  
Tel: (215) 337-5231

bcc:

W. Raymond  
A. Shropshire ✓

9203090435 910821  
PDR FOIA  
GUILD91-162 PDR

6/15

ALLEGATION DESCRIPTION

Millstone  
(Site or Licensee)

50-336 & 50-423  
(Docket No.)

Allegation RI-88-A-0020 was received on 2/9/88 at 1400 by L.M. Kolonauski.

Characterization of the 1 concern(s):

Exposure of pregnant decon contractor led to her being terminated.

Confidentiality: No (NRC only has a name; the allegor is to call back)

Employer: PSESI

Position: Decon worker

Type of Regulated Activity: Reactor

Functional Area(s): Onsite Health & Safety

-----

DETAILS: (Timeframe of Allegation: February 1988)

On 2/8/88, the allegor visited the resident's office regarding the [redacted] termination.

[redacted] working under contracts for Power Systems Engineering Services, Inc. (PSESI), for MP Building Services. They did decon work in Units 2 and 3 since November. [redacted]

[redacted] She was removed from her decon assignment and was placed on respirator decon, which does not involve work in radiation areas. After 2-3 weeks, she was advised by the utility to resign for medical reasons. The termination paperwork issued by PSESI, however, states that she left the job for no good reason. This denies her of unemployment compensation and use of PSESI as a reference in gaining future employment after the baby is born.

The allegor was terminated on [redacted] because of some vague PSESI complaint of his not being able to meet his contract. The allegor feels that he had an excellent work record at MP, and that [redacted] (PSESI site coordinator) had a personal vendetta against [redacted].

Upon hearing his story, the residents determined that no concerns related to nuclear safety existed. Upon learning of her pregnancy, her employer removed her from radiation area work, and her whole body exposure received during the gestation period was below the 500 mrem limit given in Reg Guide 8.13, "Instruction Concerning Prenatal Radiation Exposure." The residents referred the allegor to the Dept. of Labor--he agreed to call the residents when he had more information.

Cell 6

