Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Soddy-Daisy, Tennessee 37379-2000

R.J. Adney Site Vice President Sequoyah Nuclear Plant

November 6, 1995

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY - SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT (SQN) UNIT 1 -DOCKET NO. 50-327 - FACILITY OPERATING LICENSES DPR-77 - LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) 50-327/95014

The enclosed LER provides details concerning the apparent failure to properly identify a steam generator tube that was determined to exceed the technical specifications (TS) plugging limit.

This condition is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as an operation prohibited by technical specifications.

Sincerely,

R. J. Adney

Enclosure cc: See page 2

511130312 951106

ADOCK 05000327

PDR

100673

PDR

JEDD.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2 November 6, 1995

Enclosure

cc (Enclosure): INPO Records Center Institute of Nuclear Power Operations 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5957

> Mr. D. E. LaBarge, Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint, North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852-2739

NRC Resident Inspector Sequoyah Nuclear Plant 2600 Igou Ferry Road Soddy-Daisy, Tennessee 37379-3624

Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323-2711

NRC FOR (5-92)	м 366			U.	S. NUCLEAR	REGULATO	RY COMP	ISSION		APPROVED B EXP	Y ONB NO. IRES 5/31		104
(Se	e rever			EVENT RE			ich blo	ck)	INFORMA COMMENT INFORMA 7714), WASHING REDUCTI	ED BURDEN PER FION COLLECTIO S REGARDING TION AND REC U.S. NUCLE TON, DC 2055 ON PROJECT ENT AND BUDGE	N REQUEST BURDEN ORDS MANA EAR REGU 5-0001, A (3150-0	: 50.0 ESTIM EMENT LATORY NO TO 1 0104),	HRS. FORWAR ATE TO TH BRANCH (MNE COMMISSION THE PAPERWOR OFFICE C
FACILII			t (SQN),	Units 1 and 2					DOCKET 0500032	NUMBER (2) 7		PAGE 1 of 8	
		ure to Pluggin		Identify and F	lug a Steam	Generat	or (\$/(i) Tube	that was	Determined t	o Exceed	the lec	hnical
EVE	T DATE	(5)	1	LER NUMBER (6)	REPO	RT DATE	(7)	T	OTHER FACI	LITIES IN	VOLVED	(8)
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILIT	Y NAME		DOCKET	NUMBER
05	09	93	95	014	00	11	06	95	FACILIT	Y NAME		DOCKET	NUMBER
OPERA		N	Conceptual de la concep	PORT IS SUBMIT	TED PURSUANT	TO THE	or whether the subscription of the local division of the local div	EMENTS	0/ 10 CF	8 §: (Check 50.73(a)(2)) 71(b)
PO				05(a)(1)(i)		50.36(50.73(a)(2)			71(c)
LEVEL		0		05(a)(1)(ii)		50.360	c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)	(vii)	OTH	ER
		Anna an an Anna A		05(a)(1)(iii) 05(a)(1)(iv)	X	50.73(a)(2)(i a)(2)(i	Conception of the local division of the loca		50.73(a)(2) 50.73(a)(2)			fy in oct below
			1	05(a)(1)(v)			a)(2)(i			50.73(a)(2)	And a sub-	and in	Text,
		112103-10244017.0	Managara and Anno and		LICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR TH	IS LER	(12)				
NAME J.W.	Pro	ffitt	, Cor	npliance	Licensi	ng En	gine	er		TELEPHONE N (423)84			rea Code)
			COM	PLETE ONE LINE	FOR EACH CO	MPONENT	FAILUR	DESCR	IBED IN 1	HIS REPORT (13)		
CAUSE	SYS TEM	COMPO	NENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		CA	USE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC	TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS
YES				NTAL REPORT EXP		X NO			SU	(PECTED MISSION	MONTH	DA	Y YEAR
	And in case of the real locar states	The second secon		SUBMISSION DAT	and an excitation of the second state of the s		-			TE (15)	L	1	

On October 5, 1995, during the performance of S/G tube analysis, it was determined that during the Unit 1 Cycle 6 refueling outage, an indication on the S/G No.3, Row 11, Column 71 tube at the first tube support plate was not properly dispositioned. This resulted in the failure to plug a tube that was subsequently determined to exceed the technical specification plugging limit. The cause of the failure to correctly diagnose the indication in 1993 was determined to be the result of a misjudgement on the part of both the primary and secondary analyst with regard to this indication. Both the primary and secondary analysts have been provided feedback in regard to the misdiagnosed tube. The performance database has been updated to include dented intersections with flaws. No other corrective actions are considered necessary. TVA will continue to follow the resolution of industry-identified issues in S/G eddy-current testing and integrate these lessons learned as appropriate.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER	(6)	PAGE (2)
Seguoyah Nuclear Plant	05000327	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 of 8
(SQN), Unit 1		95	014	00	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

I. PLANT CONDITIONS

Unit 1 was in the Cycle 7 refueling outage with the core off-loaded.

II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

A. Event

On October 5, 1995, during the performance of steam generator (S/G) tube analysis, it was discovered that during the Unit 1 Cycle 6 (U1C6) refueling outage, an indication at a tube support plate intersection on the S/G No.3, Row 11, Column 71 tube appeared not to have been properly dispositioned. This resulted in the failure to plug a tube that was subsequently determined to exceed the Technical Specification 3/4.4.5 plugging limit of 40 percent of the nominal tube wall thickness.

B. Inoperable Structures, Components, or Systems that Contributed to the Event

None.

C. Dates and Approximate Times of Major Occurrences

May 9, 1993	The primary and secondary analysts dispositioned S/G 3, Row 11, Column 71 indication as no detectable degradation.
September 30,1995	S/G 3, Row 11, Column 71 was field tested with a bobbin probe and determined to have a crack that was characterized as near through-wall.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)		
eguoyah Nuclear Plant	05000327	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3 of 8
QN), Unit 1		95	014	00	

A review of previous test results determined that an indication was present in the U1C6 data at the subject intersection. This indication was determined to exceed the criteria for plugging the tube.

D. Other Systems or Secondary Functions Affected

None.

E. Method of Discovery

As a result of identifying a pluggable indication during the Unit 1 Cycle 7 (U1C7) refueling outage, a review of previous data was performed. It was determined that the indication was incorrectly diagnosed during the U1C6 refueling outage.

F. Operator Actions

No operator action was required.

G. Safety System Responses

No safety system response was required.

III. CAUSE OF EVENT

A. Immediate Cause

The immediate cause of this condition was the failure to properly identify and disposition eddy current data during Unit 1 Cycle 6 S/G eddy-current surveillance testing.

NRC FORM 366A (5 - 92)

APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION **EXPIRES 5/31/95**

	LICENSEE EVEN TEXT CONTIN		r		7.3.4
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant	05000327	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	4 of 8
(SQN), Unit 1		95	014	00	

Root Cause Β.

The root cause of the failure to correctly diagnose the indication in 1993 was determined to be the result of a misjudgement on the part of both the primary and secondary analysts with regard to this indication. The cause of the misjudgements could not be definitively established.

An analysis of eddy current test data of S/G tubes is accomplished by using qualified primary and secondary contractor analysts to independently review the data. A data management person then compares the results. If any disagreement is found between the two analysts, a third individual, a TVA Level III qualified analyst, is notified for resolution. A review of the final report dispositions for this event indicates that both the primary and secondary analysts identified this specific intersection as no detectable degradation in the U1C6 rejueling outage; therefore, no resolution was required.

A review of both analysts' qualifications in 1993 indicated that the analysts met or exceeded the minimum requirements, with the primary analyst qualified as a Level III with eight years of experience and the secondary analyst qualified as a Level IIA with three years of experience. Further, the analysts passed a written examination to ensure that the analysts are knowledgeable of the SQN guidelines. Also, a proficiency examination is conducted by a TVA Level III analyst to ensure that the analysts are capable of correctly diagnosing various indications.

Contributing Factors C.

A contributing cause was considered to be the lack of familiarity with the data patterns indicating a dented tube with flaws at a tube support plate intersection. This was the result of the unavailability of this type of signals in the SQN guidelines database at the time these evaluations were performed (Unit 1 Cycle 6 refueling outage).

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATO	DRY COMM	ISSION APPRO	EXPIRES	
	LICENSEE EVEN TEXT CONTIN			4	
	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER	(6)	
FACILITY NAME (1)	LOOKET NUMBER (2)		Presidente de la contra presidentes	[0]	PAGE (3)
FACILITY NAME (1) Sequoyah Nuclear Plant	05000327	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION	5 of 8

IV. ANALYSIS OF EVENT

It was concluded that not plugging the tube in S/G No.3 Row 11, Column 71 during the U1C6 refueling outage had no safety significance since the tube remained intact and did not leak during the cycle. The potential safety significance of not plugging the tube was minimal for the following reasons.

The location of the indication was in the S/G No.3 Row 11, Column 71 tube at the tube support plate (TSP) intersection. The TSPs would not be displaced by a steam line break (SLB) event relative to the full power position of the plates. The plates would move with the tubes even if it were postulated that the TSPs were cracked. Based on reviews of the eddy current data, there are no indications of significant cracking at the TSPs. The presence of the TSP enveloping a crack prevents burst of the crack within the TSP. Burst test data with no or small tube-to-TSP gaps show that the burst pressure of even a through-wall crack extending from within to outside the plate is equivalent to that for a free-span crack at the crack length extending outside the TSP. That is, the length of the crack within the TSP does not contribute to decreasing the burst pressure. Therefore, the burst pressure for the indications at the dented TSP intersections can be based on the free-span burst pressure for the crack length outside the TSP.

The data was evaluated to obtain the crack depth versus length profile for the indication. The indication has a length of 0.53 inches outside the TSP with an average depth of 71 percent and a total crack length of 1.05 inches with an average depth of 62 percent. An evaluation was performed with the unrealistic assumption that the support plate is not present after an SLB event. The results show that the free-span burst pressure capability for the total crack length exceeds the regulatory guidelines. Based on the above assessment, the indication at the dented TSP intersection satisfies Regulatory Guide 1.121 creteria for the structural margin guidelines. Therefore, it can be concluded that there were no adverse consequences to plant personnel or to the general public as a result of this condition.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATO	DRY COMM	ISSION APPRO	EXPIRES	
	LICENSEE EVENT TEXT CONTINU				
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER	(6)	PAGE (3)
Construction of the second		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION	
Seguoyah Nuclear Plant	05000327		NUMBER	NUMBER	6 of 8

V. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

A. Immediate Corrective Action

Evaluations of indications found during the current U1C7 outage were performed and did not identify other flaws with similar abnormal indications in previous inspections. This review concluded that all the indications were small in amplitude. Also, an evaluation was made to determine if either the primary or secondary analyst had an abnormal number of test analyses that went to resolution. The primary analyst had 3.4 percent of his inspections go to resolution, while the secondary analyst had 3.6 percent. The mean for the 14 analysts used during the U1C6 refueling outage was 3.5 percent. This indicates there was no systematic bias present in the results from either analyst. Based upon the above information, this misdiagnosis is considered to be an isolated event.

The S/G No.3 Row 11, Column 71 tube was plugged.

B. Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence

Prior to this discovery, actions had been taken by SQN to ensure that the qualifications of analysts and the equipment utilized are based upon the continually improving industry information. The TVA S/G analyst guidelines for eddy current testing require analysts to be knowledgeable of the SQN guidelines and demonstrate proficiency in diagnosing various indications prior to each outage. In May 1993, the TVA S/G analyst guidelines for eddy current testing required analysts to be certified as a Level II with specific training for the evaluation of eddy current data from nonferromagnetic S/G tubing (i.e Level IIA). The performance database had been updated before the Unit 2 cycle 6 inspections were performed to include dented U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROV

APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95

LICENSEE E	VENT	REPORT
------------	------	--------

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER	(6)	PAGE (3)
Sequoyah Nuclear Plant	05000327	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	7 of 8
(SQN), Unit 1		95	014	00	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

intersections with flaws. At the time of the SQN inspections for the U1C7 refueling outage, the TVA guidelines had been revised to require analysts performing degradation analysis to have successfully completed the Electrical Power Research Institute (EPRI) S/G Eddy Current Data Analyst Performance Demonstration, thus recognized as a Qualified Data Analyst. TVA will continue to follow the resolution of industry-identified issues in S/G eddy-current testing and integrate these lessons learned as appropriate.

Both the primary and secondary analysts have been informed of the misdiagnosed tube. The analysts were provided copies of the Unit 1 cycle 6 and 7 graphics for the intersection in question. No other corrective actions are considered necessary.

VI. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A. Failed Components

None.

B. Previous Similar Events

A review of previous reportable events identified one event, LER 327/93012, associated with the failure to properly disposition a S/G tube with an indication requiring the S/G to be plugged. During the U1C6 outage, both the primary and secondary analysts reported a single axial indication on Row 24, Column 37 of S/G 1. A review of the previous history identified that, during the Unit 1 Cycle 5 (U1C5) refueling outage, the primary analyst initially reported an indication while the secondary analyst reported no detectable degradation. The primary analyst then resolved the discrepancy and changed his disposition to NDD. Multiple barriers broke down in this event, but the principal root cause was a loss of independence when the primary analyst resolved a discrepancy in which he was involved.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92) NRC FORM 366A (5-92)

....

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)		
equoyah Nuclear Plant	05000327	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	8 of 8
SQN), Unit 1		95	014	00	

Corrective actions for the 1993 event would not have been expected to prevent this condition.

VII. COMMITMENTS

None.