

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

GPU Nuclear Corporation
Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station

Docket No. 50-219
License No. DPR-16

During an NRC inspection conducted September 11, 1995 - October 15, 1995, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," NUREG-1600, June 30, 1995, the violation is listed below:

The Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR, Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, requires that measures shall be established to assure that conditions adverse to quality, such as failure, malfunctions,... are promptly identified and corrected.

Contrary to the above, as of August 1995, measures, although established, were not effective or prompt in the identification and correction of conditions adverse to quality, in that recurrent, multiple failures (at least 326) of the 107 onsite emergency lighting units (some required by Appendix R) persisted since 1989 and were not promptly identified as a generic problem, and effective corrective action was not taken.

This is a severity level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, GPU Nuclear Corporation is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region I, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector within 30 days of the receipt date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at: King of Prussia, PA
this 2nd day of November, 1995

9511130187 951102
PDR ADOCK 05000219
G PDR