

BERYL ANTHONY, JR.
5TH DISTRICT, ARKANSAS

COMMITTEES:

WAYS AND MEANS

SELECT COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN,
YOUTH, AND FAMILIES

1117 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515
(202) 225-3772



Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

March 30, 1984

DISTRICT OFFICES:
206 FEDERAL BUILDING
P.O. BOX 2021
EL DORADO, ARKANSAS 71730
(501) 863-0121
2521 FEDERAL BUILDING
PINE BLUFF, ARKANSAS 71601
(501) 536-3376
201 FEDERAL BUILDING
P.O. BOX T
HOT SPRINGS, ARKANSAS 71901
(501) 624-1011

Mr. Nunzio J. Palladino, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This letter is to request information regarding the accuracy of reports which have reached me involving the Grand Gulf nuclear power plant in Mississippi.

I am advised that there may be serious deficiencies in the design and construction of the plant and in the qualifications and training of the staff. I am also concerned to know why this plant was licensed if the problems I have mentioned are true.

One of my colleagues, the Honorable Edward Markey of Massachusetts, has suggested that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's decision to license this plant may have been premature and that serious threats to public health and safety may result from the plant's operation. I am also concerned about the plant because of possible unnecessary costs which could be passed along to my constituents in Arkansas if it is brought on line.

In view of these concerns, please advise me as to the following:


1. What errors have been identified in the technical specifications and surveillance procedures submitted by Mississippi Power and Light Company to NRC?
2. Were these errors known or discovered by NRC before or after the issuance of the low power license?
3. What action has been taken by NRC since learning about the errors and problems?
4. Were appropriate surveillance tests done before the plant reached criticality?
5. Were any NRC regulations violated in bringing the plant up to low power?
6. Were all pre-operational tests required by NRC regulations performed as specified.
7. If certain tests were exempted, why was this done and did this prevent discovery of errors later detected?

8408130210 840731
PDR COMMS NRCC
CORRESPONDENCE PDR

8. Is it true that MP&L was asked to discontinue operations at Grand Gulf in 1982?
9. If so, why was the plant allowed to continue operations even now?
10. What is the difference between a low power license and a full power license?
11. What conditions must be met by MP&L at Grand Gulf before the plant can be eligible for a full power license?
12. In view of existing NRC regulations which provide for the possible revoking or suspension of a license, is NRC presently considering whether to revoke the license given to Grand Gulf?
13. What steps are being taken by NRC to insure that the customers of MP&L and other cooperating companies do not face exorbitant rates in the future as a result of Grand Gulf's problems?

The answers to these questions may be very vital to my constituents who could be affected by future decisions on Grand Gulf. In view of this, I would like to be advised of the answers to my questions no later than April 20, 1984.

Sincerely,



Beryl Anthony, Jr.
Member of Congress

BA/rdg

NRC Form 8-C

(4-79)

NRCM 0240

COVER SHEET FOR CORRESPONDENCE

Use this Cover Sheet to Protect Originals of Multi-Page Correspondence.