INAC Form 396 (9-83) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)																
PACILITY	NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2) FAGE (3)												
	Susqu	ehan	na St	0 15 10 10	15 0 0 0 3 8 7 1 OF 0											
TITLE (4)																
the second s	and the second second		nces	Not Perfo	the same section of the same section of the											
EVENT DATE (5) LER NUB CER (6)							DATE (7)		FACILITY NAI	FACILITIES INVOL	DOCKET NUMBE	R(S)				
MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR NUMBER NUMBER						MONTH DA		Susau	ehanna -	Unit 2	0 15 0 0 0 3 18 18					
	5.1							Junda	<u>circuino</u>			1.121-	1-			
0 3	23	8 4	8 4	0 3 2	00	0 8 0	384				0 151010	1011	1			
	RATING				D PURSUANT	TO THE RECUI	REMENTE OF	O CFR §: (of the following) (11						
	(e) 30t	2		402(b)	-	20.405(e)		-	\$0.73(a)(2)(iv)		73.71(b) 73.71(c)					
LEVE		010		406(a)(1)(i) 406(a)(1)(ii)		50.36(a)(1)			60.73(a)(2)(v) 60.73(a)(2)(vii)			pecify in Abstract				
(10)	10			406(a)(1)(iii)	×	60.73(a)(2)(i)			60.73(a)(2)(vill)(A)		in Text, NRC For				
				406(a)(1)(iv)	1	80.73(a)(2)(#			80.73(a)(2)(vill)(
			20.	405(a)(1)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(ii	4)		60.75(a)(2)(x)							
						ICENSEE CON	TACT FOR THE	LER (12)								
NAME		Ba 11		Fire Pro	tection	Enging	ar			AREA CODE	TELEPHONE NUMBER					
	1.7.	Dall	eys -	FILE FIO	Lection	Engine	51			7117	514121	- 13 1 2 1 4	11			
				COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH COMPO	NENT FAILUR		D IN THIS REPOR	and the second second second second second	5 4 2 - 13 2 4					
				MANUFAC	REPORTABLE			-		MANUFAC	REPORTABLE					
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	PONENT	TURER	TO NPROS		CAUSI	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	TURER	TO NPROS					
				1.1127												
			11			<u> </u>		++		+ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$	+					
			1.1	111					1111	1111						
				SUPPLEME	INTAL REPORT	EXPECTED (1	*			+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	MONT	H DAY YE	EAR			
										EXPECTE SUBMISSI DATE (1)	ON					
				SUBMISSION DATE	The second second second second	1.1	NO						1_			
	with surve compl testi inves testi were were	resp illa etic ing f stiga ing t test sati	ect t nce c on dat or th tion he de ed on sfact	o smoke d ompletion e was use e affecte revealed tectors p the day	etector date w d to de d detec that 57 er Tech the err smoke	s in fi as inde termine tors. days h nical S or was detecto	re zone ntified the ne Upon di ad elap pecific confirm rs in t	s comm As As As As As As As As As As As As As	on to bot a result, date of y of the nce the 4.0.2.b. the resu fected zon	ical Spec th units, the inco the surve error, fur violation The deto ults of the nes were	an incor prrect eillance ther date for ectors ne testin	rrect				
		84 PD S	08090 R AD	0439 840 DCK 0500	9803 90387 PDR						IÉ	22				

LICENSEE E	VENT	REPORT	(LER) TEXT	CONTINUATION
------------	------	--------	------------	--------------

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVE	DOMB	NO. J	150-01	104
EXPIRES	8/31/86			
Acres and an arrest of the second sec				

FACILITY NAME (1)			DOCKET NUMBER (2)								LER NUMBER (6)									PAGE (3)				
Susquehanna Steam Electric Station											×	EAR		SE	NUMB	TIAL		REVIS	ION BER			Π		
Unit 1	0	1	5	0	0	0	1	3	8	17	8	14	-	0	3	2	_	0	0	0	2	OF	0	2

TEXT IN more space is required, use additional NRC Form 385A's) (17)

NRC Form 386A

On July 6, 1984, the Plant Staff determined that surveillance testing of ionization fire detectors for several fire zones common to Susquehanna Unit 1 and Unit 2 had not been performed within the time allowed by Technical Specification 4.3.7.9.1. The detectors which were not tested, as listed below by fire zone, are contained in plant surveillance procedure SI-013-208.

FIRE ZONE DETECTORS OMITTED:

0-24D 0-25A,E 0-26H 0-27B,C,E 0-28C,D,E,F,G,I,J,K,L,M,N,T

The detectors were tested on July 6, 1984, the same day the deficiency was discovered, and all of the detectors performed satisfactorily.

The failure to perform surveillance testing of the smoke detectors in the above listed fire zones was the result of confusion pertaining to the inconsistencies in the common fire zones included in the Unit 1 and Unit 2 Technical Specifications. With the addition of a considerable number of common fire zones to the Unit 2 Technical Specification which were not included in the Unit 1 Technical Specification, procedure revisions were undertaken to consolidate the testing of smoke detectors in common fire zones to satisfy both Technical Specification surveillance requirements. The resulting procedure contained detectors which had been previously tested under a Unit 1 surveillance procedure and detectors which had not yet been tested under a surveillance procedure. An authorization was then released to perform surveillance testing on those fire zones which had not been previously tested, referencing the new procedure which contained all of the common fire zones. When testing of previously untested fire zones was finished, the completion date for the surveillance procedure was entered into the surveillance testing tracking system and a new due date was calculated based on the Technical Specification frequency requirement, even though some of the fire zones had not been tested for six months prior to this completion date.

The proposed Unit 1 Reconciliation Technical Specification amendment resolves the differences between the Unit 1 and Unit 2 Technical Specifications. In addition, I&C is conducting a review of the surveillance tests for all types of fire detectors in all fire detection zones in the Technical Specifications for both units. This review is intended to demonstrate present compliance, ensure surveillance testing is adequate for continued compliance, and identify remedial action, if required.



Pennsylvania Power & Light Company

August 3, 1984

Two North Ninth Sireet • Allentown, PA 18101 • 215 / 770-5151

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 84-032-00 ER 100450 FILE 841-23 PLA-2272

Docket No. 50-387 License No. NPF-14

Attached is Licensee Event Report 84-031-00. This event was determined reportable per 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i), in that surveillances for fire detectors were not performed as required by Technical Specification 4.3.7.9.1. The affected detectors have since been tested and are now operable. No fire zones were found to have less than the minimum number of detectors operable when tested.

Keise

H.W. Keiser Superintendent of Plant-Susquehanna

TPB/pjg

cc: Dr. Thomas E. Murley
Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
631 Park Avenue
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. R.H. Jacobs Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P.O. Box 52 Shickshinny, PA 18655

