52-003



1.9

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 3, 1995

Mr. Nicholas J. Liparulo Nuclear Safety and Regulatory Activities Westinghouse Electric Corporation P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230

SUBJECT: FOLLOWON QUESTIONS ON THE AP600

Dear Mr. Liparulo:

During meetings held on August 15 and 24, 1995, Westinghouse presented preliminary information regarding potential improvements to existing features and additional features that could reduce containment pressure and radioactive aerosol following a severe accident. Two of the four options considered were the primary focus of the presentation: fan coolers and a spray header. The following additional information is needed solely to assess whether the fan cooler option adequately addresses the staff's concerns regarding the ability of the design to cope with accidents beyond the design basis accident.

- 470.24 The evaluation results given in terms of effective containment pressure and radioactive aerosol reductions were predicated on a design basis accident (DBA) scenario; i.e., the first three of the NUREG-1465 five fission product release phases (coolant, gap, and early in-vessel). Westinghouse's evaluation should also address the ex-vessel and late in-vessel release phases, including noncondensable gas generation, to address severe accident concerns. Provide the results of such an evaluation that addresses these phases.
- 470.25 Provide the technical bases for the operability and aerosol removal efficiency of fan coolers. The background information is needed to assess (a) reliance on supporting systems, (b) the degree of effectiveness of removal, and (c) system performance degradation with radioactive and non-radioactive aerosol loading. Also, with two trains of fan coolers available, what are the maximum pressure and radioactive aerosol reduction benefits that can be derived? Provide justification for these values.
- 470.26 In order to assist the staff to better understand the risk reduction that could be achieved through the use of fan coolers, provide the following information: (a) the estimated availability of the fan coolers and support systems (chilled water, component cooling water, ac-power, etc.) in each of the major sequence categories for the AP600, (b) the fraction of core melt sequences where the fan coolers would be available (weighted over all sequences), and (c) the improvement in system availability and the reduction in total risk that would be achieved if the fan coolers and/or support systems were expanded to include availability/operation during severe accidents.

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

9511080025 951103 PDR ADOCK 05200003 A PDR Mr. Nicholas J. Liparulo

- 2 - November 3, 1995

Westinghouse's detailed scenarios were focussed on a natural aerosol 470.27 deposition removal rate (lambda) of 0.5 hr⁻¹. Because of the uncertainties associated with aeroscl removal, assessments of the fan cooler performance (removal of radioactive aerosol) should be made for a range of lambdas (0.15 to 0.5 hr⁻¹). Provide such assessments.

These followon questions affect nine or fewer respondents, and therefore are not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under P.L. 96-511.

We request that you respond to these questions within 60 days of the date of this letter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, you can contact me at (301) 504-1120.

Sincerely,

original signed by:

Thomas J. Kenyon, Project Manager Standardization Project Directorate Division of Reactor Program Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 52-003

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File PUBLIC. **RArchitzel** DJackson GSuh (2), 0-12 E4 EJordan, T-4 D18 CMiller, O-10 D4 CBerlinger, 0-8 H7 MSnodderly, 0-8 H7

PDST R/F BGrimes TKenyon JMoore, 0-15 B18 MSiemien, OGC MFranovich REmch, 0-10 D4 JKudrick, 0-8 H7 DCrutchfield TOuay WHuffman WDean, EDO ACRS (11) BZalcman JLee, 0-10 D4 JMonninger, 0-8 H7

DOCUMENT NAME: A: SRC TRM. FWN

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PM: PDST: DRPM	SC:PDST:DRPM	
NAME	TKenyon:sg	RArchitzel	
DATE	10/ /95	107 3/95	

Mr. Nicholas J. Liparulo Westinghouse Electric Corporation

1.0

.

cc: Mr. B. A. McIntyre Advanced Plant Safety & Licensing Westinghouse Electric Corporation Energy Systems Business Unit P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230

> Mr. John C. Butler Advanced Plant Safety & Licensing Westinghouse Electric Corporation Energy Systems Business Unit Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230

Mr. M. D. Beaumont Nuclear and Advanced Technology Division Westinghouse Electric Corporation One Montrose Metro 11921 Rockville Pike Suite 350 Rockville, MD 20852

Mr. Sterling Franks U.S. Department of Energy NE-42 Washington, DC 20585

Mr. S. M. Modro Nuclear Systems Analysis Technologies Lockheed Idaho Technologies Company Post Office Box 1625 Idaho Falls, ID 83415

Mr. Frank A. Ross U.S. Department of Energy, NE-42 Office of LWR Safety and Technology 19901 Germantown Road Germantown, MD 20874 Docket No. 52-003 AP600

Mr. Ronald Simard, Director Advanced Reactor Programs Nuclear Energy Institute 1776 Eye Street, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006-3706

STS, Inc. Attn: Lynn Connor Suite 610 3 Metro Center Bethesda, MD 20814

Mr. James E. Quinn, Projects Manager LMR and SBWR Programs GE Nuclear Energy 175 Curtner Avenue, M/C 165 San Jose, CA 95125

Mr. John E. Leatherman, Manager SBWR Design Certification GE Nuclear Energy, M/C 781 San Jose, CA 95125

Barton Z. Cowan, Esq. Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott 600 Grant Street 42nd Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Mr. Ed Rodwell, Manager PWR Design Certification Electric Power Research Institute 3412 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94303

Mr. Charles Thompson, Nuclear Engineer AP600 Certification U.S. Department of Energy NE-451 Washington, DC 20585