854

RELATED CORRESPONDENCE

July 30; 1984

### . UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

In the Matter of

CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, Et Al.

(Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2) Docket Nos. 50-440 /oc 50-441 /oc (Operating License)

### MOTION TO REOPEN DISCOVERY ON ISSUE #8

Intervenor Ohio Citizens for Responsible Energy ("OCRE") respectfully requests the Licensing Board to reopen discovery on Issue #8, on hydrogen control, for the good cause shown herein. OCRE moves that:

- 1. discovery be opened for the purpose of submitting OCRE's Thirteenth Set of Interrogatories to Applicants, attached;
- 2. discovery be opened for the remainder of this year, subject to reopening upon a showing of good cause, since considerable filings and submittals from Applicants will be forthcoming in this period of time on Issue #8;
- 3. Applicants be required to serve directly upon OCRE their submittals on this issue so as to avoid unnecessary delay in propounding interrogatories based thereupon.
- I. There is good cause for the submission of OCRE's Thirteenth Set of Interrogatories to Applicants

OCRE's Thirteenth Set of Interrogatories to Applicants, attached hereto, is based on newly acquired information and documents:

(a.) Two documents identified by Applicants in their Supplemental Asswers to Interrogatories on Issue Nos. 6, 8, and 15, dated February 29, 1984 and not supplied to OCRE until May 2, 1984:

- (1) "Ultimate Structural Capacity of Mark III Containments" (undated)
- (2) "Westinghouse-Offshore Power Systems Containment Pressure and

8408090245 840730 PDR ADDCK 05000440



Temperature Response to Hydrogen Combustion for Cleveland Electric

Illuminating Co. Perry Nuclear Power Plant" OPS-38A92, October 7, 1982.

(b.) New information submitted by Applicants to the NRC at a June 19, 1984

meeting. This information indicated Applicants' substantial reliance on the work of other entities and is the basis of the more general interrogatories in OCRE's Thirteenth Set.

Could have been made available by Applicants much earlier. One of the documents is dated October 7, 1982. It thus could have been i lentified in Applicants' original responses to OCRE's first interrogatories on Issue #8 propounded in the fall of 1982. It is quite probable that, had the Licensing Board not suggested that Applicants update its discovery responses (see footnote 3, p. 2 of the Board's December 20, 1983 Memorandum and Order (OCRE Motion to Reopen Discovery)), the documents would never have been identified and produced. Therefore the delay from October 1982 until May 2, 1984 is wholly attributable to Applicants, as they failed to disclose and produce information that is completely within their possession and control. It is certainly not OCRE's fault that Applicants failed to meet their discovery obligations.

The delay from May 2 to the present was necessary for OCRE to evaluate the documents (and other materials providing the necessary factual background for the evaluation) and to propound the attached interrogatories, as is required by the Board's Memorandum and Order (Motion to Reopen Discovery) of February 28, 1984: "(i)n general, we will not rule on general requests to reopen discovery unless they are accompanied by the interrogatories or questions to which answers are sought" (p. 3).

The need which OCRE has for the requested information is another contribution to the good cause requirement. Without the information sought, OCRE will not be able to present its case on Issue #8 or to rebut Applicants' case.

Thus, good cause clearly exists for this motion.

II. Good Cruse Exists for Reopening Discovery on Issue #8 for the Remainder of 1984

On June 19, 1984 the NRC Staff met with Applicants to discuss Perryspecific actions concerning hydrogen control. See Exhibits 1 and 2, attached. At this meeting Applicants presented their proposed schedule for work on the issue of hydrogen control. As can be seen from the last page of Exhibit 2, a chart entitled "PNPP H<sub>2</sub> Control Licensing Schedule," much activity is scheduled on this issue for the near future.

Much discovery is likely to be necessary as a result of new information in these submittals. Also, it is likely that Applicants' responses to OCRE's Thirteenth Set of Interrogatories will require follow-up discovery. In view of these numerous submittals and resultant discovery requests, conservation of the Licensing Board's time could best be accomplished by ruling once on a motion to reopen discovery rather than having each discovery request subject to individual rulings.

OCRE further proposes, to avoid the delay which has been encountered in obtaining documents from Applicants, that Applicants serve uppn OCRE each submittal concerning hydrogen control at the time it is filed with the NRC. Such a requirement will greatly reduce any delay which might be produced by respening discovery on this issue.

OCRE prays that the Board is so moved.

Respectfully submitted,

Luxen 7. Thatt

Susan L. Hiatt OCRE Representative 8275 Munson Rd.

Mentor, OH 44060 (216) 255-3158

<sup>1/</sup> Exhibit 1 is the meeting notice. Exhibit 2 is material presented by CEI at the meeting.



### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION - WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

Rec 6-13-84

JUN 1 1 1984

Docket Nos.: 50-440 and 50-441

EXHIBIT 1

MEMORANDUM FOR: B. J. Youngblood, Chief Licensing Branch No. 1 Division of Licensing

FROM:

J. J. Stefano, Project Manager

Licensing Branch No. 1 Division of Licensing

SUBJECT:

FORTHCOMING MEETING WITH THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC

ILLUMINATING (CEI) COMPANY RE PLANT-SPECIFIC RESPONSES

FOR HYDROGEN CONTROL AT THE PERRY NUCLEAR POWER

PLANT (UNITS 1 AND 2)

DATE & TIME:

Tuesday, June 19, 1984 9:00 a.m. - 2:00 p.m.

LOCATION:

Maryland National Bank Building

7735 Old Georgetown Road

Rm. 1713 Bethesda, MD

PURPOSE:

Per the attached Agenda, CEI to provide NRC staff with its plan of action and schedule for Perry plant-specific responses to hydrogen control issue being addressed generically by the Hydrogen Control Owners Group - and seek staff guidance, accordingly. Perry SER License

Condition (5)

PARTICIPANTS:

NRC

J. Kudrick, A. Notafrancisce, C. Tinkler, J. Stefano

CEI

E. Buzzelli, et. al.

John J. Stefano, Project Manager

Licensina Branch No. 1 Division of Licensing

(301) 492 - 7037

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page

Mr. Murray R. Edelman
Vice President, Nuclear Group
The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
P. O. Box 5000
Cleveland, Ohio 44101

cn: Jay Silberg, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20006

Donald H. Hauser, Esq.
The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
P. O. Box 5000
Cleveland, Ohio 44101

Resident Inspector's Office U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Parmly at Center Road Perry, Ohio 44081

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mr. James G. Keppler, Regional Administrator, Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Donald T. Ezzone, Esq. Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 105 Main Street Lake County Administration Center Painesville, Ohio 44077

Ms. Sue Hiatt OCRE Interim Representative 8275 Munson Mentor, Ohio 44060

Terry J. Lodge, Esa. 618 N. Michigan Street Suite 105 Toredo, Ohio 43624

John G. Cardinal, Esq. Prosecuting Attorney Ashtabula County Courthouse Jefferson, Ohio 44047

# PNPP H<sub>2</sub> CONTROL NRC MEETING AGENDA

- ° INTRODUCTION
- \* PNPP H2 CONTROL PROGRAM OVERVIEW
- " NRC FSAR QUESTIONS
  - PROPOSED RESPONSE/APPROACH
  - SCHEDULE
- HCOG ACTIVITIES SUPPORTING PNPP H2 CONTROL PROGRAM
  - CEI PARTICIPATION AND APPLICABILITY OF ACTIVITIES
  - NRC REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
- \* PREVIOUS NRC H2 CONTROL ISSUES APPLICABLE TO PNPP
  - GGNS SER CONCLUSIONS/ISTUES
- \* PERRY H2 CONTROL PROGRAM SCHEDULE
- \* NRC COMMENTS/GUIDANCE RE THE ABOVE
- ° SUMMARY

## PNPP H<sub>2</sub> CONTROL NRC MEETING JUNE 19, 1984

EXHIBIT 2

- INTRODUCTION
- o PNPP H2 CONTROL PROGRAM OVERVIEW
- o PNPP H2 CONTROL LICENSING APPROACH
- · NRC FSAR QUESTIONS
  - PROPOSED RESPONSE/APPROACH
- . HCOG ACTIVITIES SUPPORTING PNPP H2 CONTROL PROGRAM
  - CEI PARTICIPATION AND APPLICABILITY OF ACTIVITIES
  - NRC REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
- O PREVIOUS NRC H2 CONTROL ISSUES APPLICABLE TO PNPP
  - GGNS SER CONCLUSIONS/ISSUES
- PERRY H<sub>2</sub> CONTROL PROGRAM SCHEDULE
- NRC-COMMENTS
- o SUMMARY

# PNPP H<sub>2</sub> CONTROL PROGRAM HCOG ACTIVITIES

· CE! ACTIVE MEMBER OF HOOG

. . . .

- HOOG ACTIVITIES AND GENERIC RESOLUTION OF ISSUES REQUIRE
  CEI ENDORSEMENT FOR PNPP APPLICABILITY
- HCOG RESPONSE TO NRC RAIS
  - GENERALLY APPLICABLE TO PNPP AND CEI WILL ENDORSE WITH SOME MINOR CLARIFICATIONS
  - FIRST SET OF HCOG RAIS (HGN-011):
    - DEALT PRIMARILY WITH CONTAINMENT RESPONSE
      ANALYSIS (CLASIX-3 SENSITIVITY STUDIES) AND
      EMERGENCY PROCEDURES
    - CEI WILL ENDORSE HOOG RESPONSES EXCEPT QUESTION
      10 DEALING WITH EMERGENCY PROCEDURE GUIDELINES
    - CEI WILL PROVIDE PNPP SPECIFIC RESPONSE FOR QUESTIONS 8, 9, and 10
  - SECOND SET OF HCOG RAIS (HGN-016):
    - DEALT PRIMARILY WITH 1/4 SCALE TEST OBJECTIVES AND TESING METHODS
    - CEI WILL ENDORSE ALL HOOG RESPONSES INCLUDING PORTION OF CSB-11 WHICH IS APPLICABLE TO PNPP
  - HCOG RAIS APPLICABLE TO MP&L ONLY (AECM-84/0014) ARE EITHER NOT APPLICABLE TO PNPP OR WILL BE RESOLVED AS PART OF THE CEI PROGRAM PLAN BY SUBMITTAL OF THE PNPP EQUIPMENT SURVIVABILITY REPORT.
- HCOG 1/4 SCALE TESTING
  - H2 RELEASE HISTORIES
  - TEMPERATURE PROFILES FOR EQUIPMENT SURVIVABILITY
    ANALYSIS

### PNPP H<sub>2</sub> CONTROL PROGRAM PLAN

- SELECTION OF HYDROGEN CONTROL SYSTEM
  - REVIEW OF STUDIES ON CANDIDATE SYSTEM -
  - SELECTION OF HYDROGEN IGNITION SYSTEM (HIS)
- o DESIGN OF HIS
  - ESTABLISH DESIGN CRITERIA
  - DESIGN HIS AND QUALIFY IGNITER
  - DEVELOP TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS
  - PERFORM PREOPERATONAL TESTS
- CONTAINMENT ULTIMATE CAPACITY ANALYSIS
  - PERFORM CONTAINMENT ULTIMATE CAPACITY ANALYSIS
  - EVALUATE POTENTIAL FOR NEGATIVE PRESSURE, AND LOCAL DETONATIONS
- CONTAINMENT RESPONSE ANALYSIS
  - SELECT CONTAINMENT RESPONSE CODE TO ANALYZE HYDROGEN DEFLAGRATION
  - \*PERFORM CONTAINMENT RESPONSE ANALYSIS
- O DEVELOP MECHANISTIC SCENARIOS AND HYDROGEN RELEASE RATES
  - SELECT A DEGRADED CORE MODEL
  - DEFINE INPUT PARAMETERS, CRITERIA AND LIMITS, AND ACCIDENT SEQUENCES
  - GENERATE H2 RELEASE HISTORIES

### PNPP H<sub>2</sub> CONTROL PROGRAM PLAN (CONT.)

- EQUIPMENT SURVIVABILITY ANALYSIS
  - IDENTIFY SAFETY EQUIPMENT REQUIRED FOR MGE
  - DEFINE BASE CASE TEMPERATURE AND PRESSURES (CLASIX-3 AND 1/4 SCALE)
  - SELECT A HEAT TRANSFER CODE AND DEMONSTRATE EQUIPMENT RESPONSE WITHIN ACCEPTABLE LIMITS
- HYDROGEN COMBUSTION TESTING
  - DEFINE 1/4 SCALE TEST OBJECTIVES AND DEVELOP TEST
  - CONDUCT 1/4 SCALE TEST
  - DEVELOP FULL SCALE THERMAL PROFILES
- RESOLVE NRC LICENSING ISSUES
  - REVIEW PREVIOUS ISSUES FOR PNPP APPLICABILITY
  - IDENTIFY GGNS SER OPEN ISSUES OR CONCLUSIONS APPLICABLE TO PNPP
  - PARTICIPATE IN HOOG RESOLUTION OF GENERIC ISSUES
  - SUBMIT NECESSARY DOCUMENTATION FOR NRC APPROVAL
- DEVELOP EMERGENCY PROCEDURES FOR COMBUSTIBLE CAS
  - HCOG SUPPORT OF BWROG-EPC TO FINALIZE A GUIDELINE
  - DEVELOP PNPP SPECIFIC PROCEDURES
    - CONDUCT OPERATOR TRAINING

## PNPP H<sub>2</sub> CONTROL PROGRAM LICENSING APPROACH

- · RESPONSE TO NRC FSAR QUESTIONS
  - DESIGN DESCRIPTION
  - CONTAINMENT ULTIMATE CAPACITY ANALYSIS
  - CONTAINMENT RESPONSE ANALYSIS
  - EMERGENCY PROCEDURE GUIDELINES
- REVIEW PREVIOUS GGNS RAIS/ISSUES FOR APPLICABILITY TO PNPP
  - IDENTIFY ANY OUTSTANDING ISSUES APPLICABLE TO PNPP AND INCORPORATE INTO PNPP H2 CONTROL PROGRAM
- REVIEW GGNS SER AND SUPPLEMENTS FOR APPLICABILITY OF CONCLUSIONS/ISSUES TO PNPP
  - INCORPORATE OPEN ISSUES INTO PNPP H2 CONTROL PROGRAM
  - SUBMIT A REPORT WITH APPROPRIATE JUSTIFICATION ON THE APPLICABILITY TO PNPP OF THE TECHNICAL BASIS AND CONCLUSIONS FOR GGNS INTERIM HIS APPROVAL
- · REVIEW PREVIOUS HOOG RAIS/ISSUES FOR APPLICABILITY TO PNPP
  - ENDORSE APPLICABLE RESPONSES WITH APPROPRIATE JUSTIFICATION
  - SUBMIT PNPP SPECIFIC RESPONSES AS NECESSARY
- FINAL EQUIPMENT SURVIVABILITY ANALYSIS BASED UPON 1/4 SCALE TEST RESULTS

# PNPP H<sub>2</sub> CONTROL PROGRAM GGNS SER APPLICABILITY TO PNPP

#### HIS SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

- PNPP DESIGN CRITERIA CONSISTENT WITH GGNS
- SAME IGNITER ASSEMBLY
- PNPP PRE-OP AND SURVEILLANCE TESTING BASES CONSISTENT WITH GGNS
- PEI BASED UPON BWROG EPG WILL RESOLVE ISSUES ON OPERATOR ACTION FOR COMBUSTIBLE GAS CONTROL

#### o TESTING OF THE HIS

- PNPP OPERABILITY TESTING PROGRAM CONSISTENT WITH
- PNPP EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION ENVELOPES COMPARED
  AGAINST HIS QUALIFICATION
- HYDROGEN COMBUSTION TESTING PERFORMED BY HCOG

#### CONTAINMENT STRUCTUAL CAPACITY

- CONTAINMENT ULTIMATE CAPACITY ANALYSIS PERFORMED
  WITH COMPARABLE MARGINS AS GGNS
- DRYWELL DESIGN VERY SIMILAR TO GGNS AND THEREFORE
  SAME LEVEL OF MARGIN ABOVE DESIGN

### DEGRADED CORE ACCIDENTS AND HYDROGEN GENERATION

- LIKE GGNS, PNPP EVALUATED TWO BASE CASE SCENARIOS (SBLOCA AND SORV)
- CONSERVATIVE GGNS RELEASE HISTORIES USED FOR INITIAL PNPP ANALYSIS

# PNPP H<sub>2</sub> CONTROL PROGRAM GGNS SER APPLICABILITY TO PNPP (CONT.)

### o CONTAINMENT ANALYSIS

- PNPP USED CLASIX-3 FOR BASE CASE ANALYSIS
- INPUT AND MODELING ASSUMPTIONS CONSISTENT WITH GGNS
- CLASIX-3 SENSITIVITY STUDIES SUBMITTED BY HCOG
- DYNAMIC POOL LOADS FOR BASE CASE HYDROGEN COMBUSTION BOUNDED BY DESIGN BASIS
- DISTRIBUTED IGNITION SYSTEM PRECLUDES LOCAL DETONATION

### · EQUIPMENT SURVIVABILITY

- HEAT TRANSFER CODES AND METHODOLOGY CONSISTENT WITH GGNS
- REQUIRED EQUIPMENT SIMILAR TO GGNS
- DEFINITION OF BASE CASE TEMPERATURES AND PRESSURES CONSISTENT WITH GGNS (CLASIX-3 AND 1/4 SCALE TEST RESULTS)

. .....

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that copies of the foregoing were served by deposit, in the U.S. Mail, first class, postage prepaid, this day of July , 1984 to those on the service list below.

Susan L. Hiatt

### SERVICE LIST

Peter B. Bloch, Chairman Atomic Safety & Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm. Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Jerry R. Kline
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Glenn O. Bright
Atomic Safety & Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Office of the Executive Legal Director U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Jay Silberg, Esq.
Shaw, Pittman, Potts, & Trowbridge
1800 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20036

Docketing & Service Branch Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety & Licensing Appeal Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Terry Lodge, Esq. 618 N. Michigan St. Suite 105 Toledo, OH 43624