

PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

10 CENTER ROAD PERRY, OHIO 44081 (216) 259-3737 Mail Address. PO. BOX 97 PERRY, OHIO 44081

Michael D. Lyster VICE PRESIDENT - NUCLEAR

January 13, 1992 PY-CEI/NRR-1436 L

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Pocument Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

> Perry Nuclear Power Plant Docket No. 50-440 LER 91-025

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report 91-025 for the Perry Nuclear Pover Plant.

Sincerely,

Michael D. Lyster

MDL:CRE:ss

Enclosure: LER 91-025

cc: NRC Project Manager NRC Sr. Resident Inspector NRC Region III

220064

DR ADUCK 05000440

Oberating Country and a subject (Several Factor & Country of A

0 3

1

JAN 14 70 7

HC FORM SHR	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)					EST MAATED INFORMATI COMMENTS AND REPOR REQULATO THE PAPER OF MANAG	APPROVED DWB NO 3150 0104 EXPIRES 4/30/97 ESTIMATED SURDEN REAR RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUET 50 THESE FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT INFAMOL P 5300, U.S. NUCLEAR REQULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON DC 20558 AND TO THE FAFENWORK REDUCTOR REQUECT 315001041 OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON DC 20563					
ACILITY NAME (1)	alahan mentanan di Kardinan		and and the first star for a part of the	ALT: CONTENTS		00	CKET NUMBER	(2)		PAGE	(3)	
Perry Nuclean	r Power Plant,	Unit i			-	0	151010	10141	4 10 1	OF	013	
Cracked Weld	on Valve Appen	dage Rest	alts in Hig	h Pre	essure	Core Sp	ray Syst	em In	operat	ailit	у	
EVENT DATE (B)	LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DATE (7) OTHER FACILITIES			ACILITIES INVO	NVOLVED (#)							
MONTH DAY YEAR	YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	NEVISION M NUMBER	ONTH DAY YE	A.R		FACILITY NAMES		DOCKET NUMBER(S)				
								0 5	0101	011	_	
1 2 1 2 9 1	9 1 0 2 5		1 1 3 9	2				0 151	0 10 1	011	1	
OPERATING	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTE	O FURSUANT TO	THE REQUIREMENT	8 OF 19 C	FR 5 CM	R & Drug Dr 1940/8 (1)	the lattening/ 13	\$3				
MODE (8)	20.402(b)	brannin	20.406iel		PROVIDENT	60.73te/(21te)		72	91(84)			
LEVEL 11010	20.4081a1(1)(i) 20.4081a1(1)(ii)		60.38(e)171 80.38(e)171		X	50.73(#1(2)(#) 50.73(#1(2)(#)		73 01	71161 HEA (Speci trie and in 7	ty in ABL	linci Farin	
	20.000(+)(1)(0)	anne at	60.73iei		-	50 73 all 21 contta		36	64)			
	20.406(a)(1)(iv)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)		heatering	40,73(#)(25(#)(8) 40,73(#)(25(#)						
an an and the production of the state of the tradement of the state of the	adha man dhalan inga siyaa aa aa ah a ta maraan m	LIC	CENSEE CONTACT FO	A THIS L	EM (12)	And the party of the second second second		Contraction of the				
No. A MIE	The property of the second s	subjective descriptions and		alline of a line of a	and an over the second		acceptation of the second	TELEPHO	NEE NUMBER	A summer of	an consistents	
Henry L. Heg	rat, Compliance	e Enginee	r, Extensi	on 31	85		21116	215	91+1	3 17	3 17	
an an ar ar an an tao an Arabara an Arabara an	OUNPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH COMPONENT P	AILURE	DESCRIBED	IN THIS REPOR	9 (13)	ad a contra part	der under einen de	and the second s		
CAUSE SYSTEM COM	PONENT MANUFAC	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC TURER	REPOR	AT ABLE			
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N						1.1.1						
					1		1					
possession as bearing beneralized as a souther	SUPPLEV	WATAL HEPORT	EXPECTED (14)	a name in the second		and and know on the same of the same	EXPER	TED	MONTH	DAY	YEAP	
VES /// vas contained	EXPECTED SUBMISSION DAT	rë)	X MO				SUBMIS DATE	1.81				
ABSTRACT (Line) to 1400	KOCCAL I & BARPORISMADELY FIFTHE	er angre apeca type	Nerschen Lines (16)	and the second	and the local distance of the local distance		adore are constanting	and defined to the local	andress other source	desexveb arms	alariana y silanti	

On December 12, 1991, at 1100, liquid penetrant testing revealed a crack in a weld upstream of the high point vent valve on the High Pressure Core Spray (HPCS) system test return line. The control room was contacted and HPCS, which had been in standby readiness, was declared inoperable on December 13, 1991, at 1542. The cracked weld was reworked to specifications and HPCS was declared operable on December 15, 1991, at 0510.

The weld failure is attributed to a combination of factors. The inspected welds were being checked under a plant initiative to inspect welds as a result of a previous LER. This weld was chosen due to being located in an area subject to relatively high vibration. The weld was at the branch connection between the vent piping and test return piping. The appendage had two 3/4 inch vent valves supported solely by this branch connection. Additionally, field inspection of the appendage by engineering personnel determined that the cracked weld did not fully meet the specifications required by the design dravings, having insufficient weld metal and contour.

The schedule to inspect other welds identified by the activities resulting from the previous LER has been accelerated. Engineering personnel are in the process of monitoring the reworked weld on the HPCS system for vibration to determine if additional corrective actions may be required. Additionally, engineering personnel will perform a design review to identify applications involving welds with similar profiles. Those identified in the design review will be inspected to ensure compliance with design requirements. As part of the established requalification training program, all plant licensed operators will be instructed on the lessons learned from this event.

1285 Form 388 (6-89)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT O	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION		APPROVED DAME NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/30-00 EXPIRES 5/30-00 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER REMOVE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST SED HRS FORM. RD COMMENTS REGARDING SURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MARAGERYNT BRANCH IFSGU U.S. NUCLEAR REDULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON DC 20565, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT ISTOCION OF FICE OF MARAGERENT AND BURDET, WASHINGTON DC 20563			
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 4 4	LEB NUMBER (8) YEAR SEQUENTIAL YUBON 91 1 0 1 5 0 0				

I. Introduction

On December 13, 1991, at 1542, the High Pressure Core Spray [BG] (HPCS) system was declared inoperable due to a crack in a weld on a high point vent valve [VTV] on the HPCS test return line. At the time of the event, the plant was in Operational Condition 1 (Power Operation) at 100 percent of rated thermal power, with the Reactor Pressure Vessel [RPV] at saturated conditions at approximately 1025 psig.

II. Dascription of Event

On December 12, 1991, at 1100, liquid penetrant testing revealed a crack in a weld upstream of the high point vent valve on the HPCS test return line. Control room personnel were contacted and they requested an engineering disposition to the nonconforming condition. The crack was external and did not propagate completely through the pipe. The crack was approximately 5/8 inches in length, but the depth could not be determined by non-destructive examination methods due to the weld's sloped/contoured shape. The veld was on an appendage on a section of the test return line that could not be isolated from the HPCS pump discharge flow path in the event that HPCS was required. In the event that the weld cracked completely through the break could have caused flooding of the HPCS pump room. The control room was contacted and HPCS, which had been in standby readiness, was declared inoperable on December 13, 1991, at 1542. The cracked weld was revorked to specifications and HPCS was declared operable on December 15, 1991, at 0510.

III. Cause of Event

The weld failure is attributed to a combination of factors. The inspected welds were being checked under a plant initiative to inspect welds as a result of LER 91-010. This weld was chosen due to being located in an area subject to relatively high vibration. The weld was at the branch connection between the vent piping and the test return piping. The 3/4 inch Nominal Pipe Size vent piping had two 3/4 inch vent valves supported solely by this branch connection. Additionally, field inspection of the appendage by engineering personnel determined that the cracked weld did not fully meet the specifications required by the design drawings, having insufficient weld metal and contour.

IV. Analysis of Event

The HPCS system pumps water through a peripheral spray ring sparger mounted above the reactor core. Coolant is supplied over the entire range of system operating pressures. The primary purpose of HPCS is to maintain reactor vessel inventory after small breaks which do not depressurize the reactor vessel. HPCS also provides spray cooling heat transfer during leaks in which core uncovery is calculated. During the period of time the HPCS system was declared inoperable, the Automatic Depressurization System and Low Pressure Core Spray, as well as the Low Pressure Coolant Injection System were operable ensuring adequate cooling as described in

NRC-200 ABRA * 16-091	ORM SEEA " U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMITMENT				
LICENSEE EVENT REP TEXT CONTINUAT	ORT (LER) ION	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER REPONSE YI INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST COMMENTS RECARDING BURDEN ET INA AND REPORTS MANAGEMENY ORA (CH. REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTO THE PARENWORK REDUCTION PRO'SCT OF WARAGEMENT AND SUDGET, WASHIN	D COMPLY WIT THIS SOO HRS FORWARD ATE TO THE RECORDS F6301 U.S. NUCLEAR DN DC 20566 AND TO T 13160-01081 OFFICE DTON DC 20503		
FAULLITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (8)	PAGE 131		
		TEAR BEQUENTIAL REVISION	And the second s		
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit	0 15 0 0 0 4 4 0	91 1 - 0 215 - 010	0 13 0= 0 3		
TEXT (If more space is required, use editional NRC Form 3664's) (17)	The subscription with the spin on the subscription of the subscrip				

Chapter 15 of the Updated Safety Analysis Report; therefore, this event is not considered to be safety significant.

A similar event of a cracked weld on a similar pipe appendage was documented in LER 91-010 when a weld on a Reactor Recirculation System line cracked resulting in increased drywell leakage. The failed weld had a unique profile, which was not believed to be used in applications other than the Recirculation System. Although the drywell leakage did not exceed the Technical Specification limit, the cracked weld was reported as pertinent information in LER 91-020. Follow-up activities for LER 91-010 included a review of Residual Heat Removal (RHR) system design and a review of safety system applications in high vibration areas. Seven appendages were identified as requiring inspection. The increased testing of welds as a plant initiative from LER 91-010 resulted in the discovery of the cracked weld in the December 13, 1991 event, as the cracked weld was on one of the seven appendages identified. Additionally, the affected weld was determined to have a profile similar to the Reactor Recirculation welds associated with LER 91-010. Two other appendages were penetrant inspected at that time and no problems vere found.

V. Corrective Actions

The schedule to pect four other welds identified by the activities resulting from LER 91-010 has been accelerated. Two of the welds were penetrant inspected with no problems found and the remaining two appendages are scheduled to be inspected when the plant maintenance schedule allows. Both of these welds are on piping in the RHR system. Engineering personnel are in the process of monitoring the reworked weld on the HPCS system for vibration to determine if additional corrective actions may be required. Additionally, engineering personnel will perform a design review to identify applications involving welds with similar profiles. Those identified in the design review will be inspected to ensure compliance with design requirements. As part of the established requalification training program, all plant licensed operators will be instructed on the lessons learned from this event.

Energy Industry Identification System Codes are identified in the text as [XX].