

PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

10 CENTER ROAD PERRY, OHIO 44081 (215) 259-3737 Mail Address: P.O. BOX 97 PERRY, OHIO 44081

Michael D. Lyster VICE PRESIDENT - NUCLEAR

January 13, 1992 PY-CEI/NRR-1436 L

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D. 20555

> Perry Nuclear Power Plant Docket No. 50-440 LER 91-025

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report 91-025 for the Perry Nuclear Power Plant.

Sincerely,

hiple

Michael D. Lyster

MDL:CRE:ss

Enclosure: LER 91-025

cc: NRC Project Manager NRC Sr. Resident Inspector NRC Region III

170045

0201170171 920113 PDR ADOCK 05000440 PDR Operating Components

1E22

NRC FORM SEE	nameningani intern di Branchine indi akani di sik internative endative inter		U.S. NUCLEAR REGU	LATORY	COMMISSIO	N	APPROVED	COMP. NO	a ansane	and the second second	
18-478 · · · ·					E xP3RE : 4/30/87						
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)					ESTIMAT INFORMA COMMEN AND REF REGULA THE PAP OF MANA	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 MHS. FORMARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN SSTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGENENT BRANCH PESSO. U.S. NUCLEAR RESULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20053 AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (31550104) OFFICE DF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20053.					
FACILITY NAME IT			and the state of the second second			and a second second	DOCKET NUMBER	121		FAG	3
Perry Nuclean	Power Plant, 1	lnit 1					0 15 10 10	01	414 10	1 OF	013
TITLE (4)	all and a support of the state					and the second second	an inadan mida an darami	Assessment and	ang kan pandara sa s	bernerskerer of	or other set
Cracked Weld	on Valve Append	dage Res	ults in Hij	gh Pr	essure	e Core S	pray Syst	em 1	Inopera	abiliq	y
EVENT DATE IS	LER NUMBER (6		REPORT DATE (11	de sont dési desi dasi	OTHER	FACILITIES INVO	LYED I	61		
MONTH DAY YEAR	TEAN SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVERON MONTH DAY YEAR FACILITY NAMES		41E5	DOCKET NUMBERIS						
And the second sec		CONTRACTOR OF STREET						0 11	5 0 0	101	1
1 2 1 2 9 1	9 1 - 0 2 5	- 010	0 1 1 3 9	12				0 11	51010	101	
CREBATING.	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED	PURSUANT TO	THE REQUIREMENT	18 04 10	CPR & ICH	eck are or more	of the followings is	1)	and an advertise of	deres deres d	erie alerania
MODE (0)	20.402(e)		20.405(c)		11	50.73(s)(2)(w)	en andre en de la rectana y super-	1	73.71(6)		aine na incensione
POWER	20.406(a1(1)(i)	bergen at	50.36(e)(1)		X	50 73(4)(2)(4)			73.71(c)		
1101 11010	20.406(a)(1)(u)	Bend dance	60.36(e)(2)		Print of	50.73(e)(2)(vii)			OTHER (3.0	ects in Abs	11421
accurate a second accordion and a second	20.408(e)(1)(iii) 50,73(e)(2)(i) 50,73(e)		\$6 75ia1(21(e)))	villia: Data and in T			Text NHC	* gan			
	20.406(a)(1)(ie)		60.75(a)(2)(c)			60.73(e)(2)(e(i))	(8)	12.5			
	20.406(a)(1)(u)		50.73ie1(2)(iii)			60.731#11211x		1			
		L	CENSEE CONTACT F	OR THIS	.ER (12)	Carrier and a second state of the					
NAME								76181	PRONE NUM	8E#	
Henry L. Heg	rat, Compliance	Engine	er, Extensi	on 5	185		AREA CODE				
	-						21116	21	5191-	1317	13 17
	COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH COMPONENT I	AILLINE	DESCRIBED	IN THIS REPO	(83) 734				
CAUSE SYSTEM COM	PONENT MANUFAC	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		CAUSE	SYS ^Y SM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC TURER	1	PORTABLE O NPROS		
						1.1.1	1.1.1				
						111					
and the second second second second	SUPPLEME	NTAL REPORT	EXPECTED 114			and the second second	27.00	TEN	NONT	H DAY	YEAR
YES IT yes complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE:				SURMIS DATE	51C/N 151			1			
ABSTRACT (Lonit to 1400	waces is approximately fifteen	single space typ	emiriten ingel 1981		and the state particular		and the state of the state of the		er en den sederer ber	and a second second	de se de

On December 12, 1991, at 1100, liquid penetrant testing revealed a crack in a weld upstream of the high point vent valve on the High Pressure Core Spray (HPCS) system test return line. The control room was contacted and HPCS, which had been in standby readiness, was declared inoperable on December 13, 1991, at 1542. The cracked weld was reworked to specifications and HPCS was declared operable on December 15, 1991, at 0510.

The weld failure is attributed to a combination of factors. The inspected welds were being checked under a plant initiative to inspect welds as a result of a previous LER. This weld was chosen due to being located in an area subject to relatively high vibration. The weld was at the branch connection between the vent piping and test return piping. The appendage had two 3/4 inch vent valves supported solely by this branch connection. Additionally, field inspection of the appendage by engineering personnel determined that the cracked weld did not fully reet the specifications required by the design drawings, having insufficient weld metal and contour.

The schedule to inspect other welds identified by the activities resulting from the previous LER has been accelerated. Engineering personnel are in the process of monitoring the reworked weld on the HPCS system for vibration to determine if additional corrective actions may be required. Additionally, engineering personnel will perform a design review to identify applications involving welds with similar profiles. Those identified in the design review vill be inspected to ensure compliance with design requirements. As part of the established requalification training program, all plant licensed operators will be instructed on the lessons learned from this event.

NRC Form 366 (5-89)

NRC FORM 366A (6-99)	LICENSES EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION	APPROVED ONE NO 3150-0164 EXFIRES 4/30/97 ESTIMATED SURDEN PER REPONSE TO COMPLY WTH 3MIS INFORMATION UC LECTION REQUEST NED ARE FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING EXTERED TO THE RECORDS AND REPURTS MURROEMENT BRANCH P 500, US NUCLEAR REQUESTORY COMMISSIONS WARFINGTON DC 20585, AND TO THE PAPENWORK REDUCT VI PROJECT 13160-0164, OFFICE				
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER DI	LER NUMBER (8)	PAGE (3)		
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	VEAN SED DIVISION NUMBER			
1.14.2.14		0 5 0 0 0 4 4 0	91 - 0 2 5 - 010	012 05 013		
TEXT IN more space a require. I. Introduc	и сан начаниоми NAC Roms BBCA's) (17) tíon					

On December 13, 1991, at 1542, the High Pressure Core Spray [3G] (HPCS) system was declared inoperable due to a crack in a weld on a high point vent valve [VTV] on the HPCS test return line. At the time of the event, the plant was in Operational Condition, 1 (Power Operation) at 100 percent of rated thormal power, with the Reactor Pressure Vessel [RPV] at saturated conditions at approximately 1025 psig.

II. Description of Event

On December 12, 1991, at 1100, liquid penetrant testing revealed a crack in a weld upstream of the high point vent valve on the HPCS test return line. Control room personnel were contacted and they requested an engineering disposition to the nonconforming condition. The crack was external and did not propagate completely through the pipe. The crack was approximately 5/8 inches in length, but the depth could not be determined by non-destructive examination methods due to the weld's sloped/contoured shape. The weld was on an appendage on a section of the test return line that could not be isolated from the HPCS pump discharge flow path in the event that HPCS was required. In the event that the weld cracked completely through and HPCS was in use, it was conservatively assured that the loss of fluid through the break could have caused flooding of the HPCS pump room. The control room was contacted and HPCS, which had been in standby readiness, was declared inoperable on December 13, 1991, at 1542. The cracked weld was reworked to specifications and HPCS was declared operable on December 15, 1991, at 0510.

III. Cause of Event

The weld failure is attributed to a combination of factors. The inspected welds were being checked under a p'ant initiative to inspect welds as a result of LER 91-010. This weld was chosen due to being located in an area subject to relatively high vibration. The weld was at the branch connection between the vent piping and the test return piping. The 3/4 inch Nominal Pipe Size vent piping had two 3/4 inch vent valves supported solely by this branch connection. Additionally, field inspection of the appendage by engineering personnel determined that the cracked weld did not fully meet the specifications required by the design drawings, having insufficient weld metal and contour.

IV. Analysis of Event

The HPCS system pumps water through a peripheral spray ring sparger mounted above the reactor core. Coolant is supplied over the entire range of system operating pressures. The primary purpose of HPCS is to maintain reactor vessel inventory after small breaks which do not depressurize the reactor vessel. HPCS also provides spray cooling heat transfer during leaks in which core uncovery is calculated. During the period of time the HPCS system was declared inoperable, the Automatic Depressurization System and Low Pressure Core Spray, as well as the Low Pressure Coolant Injection System were operable ensuring adequate cooling as described in

NRC FORM 366.6 INFRO	APPHOVED OME NO. 3150-01-04 EXPIRES 4/30/97			
LICENSEE EVENT REPO TEXT CONTINUATION	ORT (LER) ON	ESTIMATED BUIDDEN PER RESPONSE INFORMATION COLLECTION REDUES COMMENTE RECARCING BUIDDEN EST AUD REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRAND RECULATORY COMMISSION WASHING THE PARENCORK REDUCTION PROH CIF MANAGEMENT AND BULYGET, WASH	TO COMPLY WTN THIS 500 HRS FORWARD MATE TO THE RECORDS H (PSSO) US NUCLEAR TON DC PRESE NOT TO CT (2150-0104) CFF4CE UNOTON DC 20503.	
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LEP NUMBER (#)	PAQT (2)	
		VEAN DEDUENTIAL NEVERO		
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1	0 15 10 10 10 14 4 10	0 911-01215-010	013 00 013	

Chapter 15 of the Updated Safety Analysis Report; therefore, this event is not considered to be safety significant.

A similar event of a cracked weld on a similar pipe appendage was documented in LER 91-010 when a weld on a Reactor Recirculation System line cracked resulting in increased drywell leakage. The failed weld had a unique profile, which was not believed to be used in applications other than the Recirculation System. Although the drywell leakage did not exceed the Technical Specification limit, the cracked weld was reported as pertinent information in LER 91-010. Follow-up activities for LER 91-010 included a review of Residual Heat Removal (RHR) system design and a review of safety system applications in high vibration areus. Seven appendages were identified as requiring inspection. The increased testing of welds as a plant initiative from LER 91-010 resulted in the discovery of the cracked weld in the December 13, 1991 event, as the cracked weld was on one of the seven appendages identified. Additionally, the affected weld was determined to have a profile similar to the Reactor Recirculation welds associated with LER 91-010. Two other appendages were penetrant inspected at that time and no problems were found.

V. Corrective Actions

The schedule to inspect four other welds identified by the activities resulting from LER 91 010 has been accelerated. Two of the welds were penetrant inspected with no problems found and the remaining two appendages are scheduled to be inspected when the plant maintenance schedule allows. Both of these welds are on piping in the RHR system. Engineering personnel are in the process of monitoring the reworked weld on the NPCS system for vibration to determine if additional corrective actions may be required. Additionally, engineering personnel will perform a design review to identify applications involving welds with similar profiles. Those identified in the design review will be inspected to ensure compliance with design requirements. As part of the established requalification training program, all plant licensed operators will be instructed on the lessons learned from this event.

Energy Industry Identification System Codes are identified in the text as [XX].