



SBWR  
48

GE Nuclear Energy

James E. Quinn  
Projects Manager, LMR and SBWR Programs

Advanced Reactor Programs  
General Electric Company  
175 Curtner Ave., MIC 165  
San Jose, CA 95125-1088  
408 925-1005, Dial Comm: 8\*425-1005  
Fx: 408 925-3991, Email: QuinnJ@sjcpo2.ne.ge.com

October 24, 1995

MFN 243-95  
Docket No. 52-004

Document Control Desk  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington DC 20555

Attention: Theodore E. Quay, Director  
Standardization Project Directorate

Subject: SBWR - Reclassification of RAI Responses Submitted January 18, 1994

- Reference:
1. Letter from Dino C. Scaletti (NRC) to Mr. James E. Quinn (GE), Request for Withholding Information From Public Disclosure, General Electric (GE) Responses to Request for Additional Information (RAI) Dated January 18, 1995, dated August 17, 1995.
  2. Letter MFN 006-94 from P.W. Marriott (GE) to Richard W. Borchardt, NRC Requests for Additional Information (RAIs) on the Simplified Boiling Water Reactor (SBWR) Design, dated January 18, 1995.
  3. Letter from M. Malloy (NRC) to P.W. Marriott (GE), Transmittal of Requests for Additional Information (RAIs) for the SBWR Design, dated September 15, 1994.
  4. Summary of meeting on October 25 and 26, 1993, and Follow-up Telephone Conference Call and October 29, 1993 to Discuss Test Program Activities Conducted at the Gravity-Driven Integral Full-Height Test for Passive Heat Removal (GIRAFFE) Thermal-Hydraulic Test Facility in Kawasaki City, Japan.

In response to the NRC's Reference 1 request, GE has reviewed the classification of proprietary material in the Reference 2 transmittal. As a consequence, we are formally requesting withdrawal of the Reference 2 transmittal and that you return this documentation and any copies to GE or confirm that the Reference 2 information is disposed with in a manner appropriate for proprietary materials.

000004

DOTO

9511060086 951024  
PDR ADOCK 05200004  
A PDR


Change: NRC ADC

W. End  
1 INP

Document Control Desk  
Attention: Theodore E. Quay, Director  
October 24, 1995  
Page 2

We also are requesting that you replace Reference 2 with the enclosed reclassified responses. As in the case of Reference 2, the enclosed reclassified responses respond to References 3 and 4. These reclassified responses have been handled and classified as proprietary to GE as indicated in the attached affidavit. We hereby request that this information be withheld from public disclosure in accordance with the provisions of 10CFR2.790. The enclosure provides sidebars in the right-hand margins to distinguish those parts of the responses which are deemed Proprietary to the General Electric Company. The specific RAIs are listed in the attachment to the affidavit.

Sincerely,

  
James E. Quinn

113  
cc: P. A. Boehnert (NRC/ACRS) (2 paper copies plus E-Mail)  
I. Catton (ACRS) (1 paper copy plus E-Mail)  
A. Drozd (NRC) (1 paper copy plus E-Mail)  
S. Q. Ninh (NRC) (2 paper copies plus E-Mail)  
D. C. Scaletti (NRC) (1 paper copy plus E-Mail)  
J. H. Wilson (NRC) (1 paper copy plus E-Mail)

# General Electric Company

## AFFIDAVIT

I, **George B. Stramback**, being duly sworn, depose and state as follows:

- (1) I am Project Manager, Licensing Services, General Electric Company ("GE") and have been delegated the function of reviewing the information described in paragraph (2) which is sought to be withheld, and have been authorized to apply for its withholding.
- (2) The information sought to be withheld is contained in the enclosure to GE letter MFN 243-95, James E. Quinn to Theodore E. Quay, *SBWR - Reclassification of RAI Responses Submitted January 18, 1994*, dated October 24, 1995. The proprietary information is in the responses identified in the attachment and is delineated by bars marked in the margin adjacent to the specific material.
- (3) In making this application for withholding of proprietary information of which it is an owner, GE relies upon the exemption from disclosure set forth in the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 USC Sec. 552(b)(4), and the Trade Secrets Act, 18 USC Sec. 1905, and NRC regulations 10 CFR 9.17(a)(4), 2.790(a)(4), and 2.790(d)(1) for "trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential" (Exemption 4). The material for which exemption from disclosure is here sought is all "confidential commercial information", and some portions also qualify under the narrower definition of "trade secret", within the meanings assigned to those terms for purposes of FOIA Exemption 4 in, respectively, Critical Mass Energy Project v. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 975F2d871 (DC Cir. 1992), and Public Citizen Health Research Group v. FDA, 704F2d1280 (DC Cir. 1983).
- (4) Some examples of categories of information which fit into the definition of proprietary information are:
  - a. Information that discloses a process, method, or apparatus, including supporting data and analyses, where prevention of its use by General Electric's competitor without license from General Electric constitutes a competitive economic advantage over other companies;
  - b. Information which, if used by a competitor, would reduce his expenditure of resources or improve his competitive position in the design, manufacture, shipment, installation, assurance of quality, or licensing of a similar product;

- c. Information which reveals cost or price information, production capacities, budget levels, or commercial strategies of General Electric, its customers, or its suppliers;
- d. Information which reveals aspects of past, present, or future General Electric customer-funded development plans and programs, of potential commercial value to General Electric;
- e. Information which discloses patentable subject matter for which it may be desirable to obtain patent protection.

The information sought to be withheld is considered to be proprietary for the reasons set forth in both paragraphs (4)a. and (4)b., above.

- (5) The information sought to be withheld is being submitted to NRC in confidence. The information is of a sort customarily held in confidence by GE, and is in fact so held. The information sought to be withheld has, to the best of my knowledge and belief, consistently been held in confidence by GE, no public disclosure has been made, and it is not available in public sources. All disclosures to third parties including any required transmittals to NRC, have been made, or must be made, pursuant to regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements which provide for maintenance of the information in confidence. Its initial designation as proprietary information, and the subsequent steps taken to prevent its unauthorized disclosure, are as set forth in paragraphs (6) and (7) following.
- (6) Initial approval of proprietary treatment of a document is made by the manager of the component to whom the work was provided, the person most likely to be acquainted with the value and sensitivity of the information in relation to industry knowledge. Access to such documents within GE is limited on a "need to know" basis.
- (7) The procedure for approval of external release of such a document typically requires review by the staff manager, project manager, principal scientist or other equivalent authority, by the manager of the cognizant marketing function (or his delegate), and by the Legal Operation, for technical content, competitive effect, and determination of the accuracy of the proprietary designation. Disclosures outside GE and its associates are limited to regulatory bodies, customers, and potential customers, and their agents, suppliers, and licensees, and others with a legitimate need for the information, and then only in accordance with appropriate regulatory provisions or proprietary agreements.
- (8) The information identified in paragraph (2), above, is classified as proprietary because it contains details of the test programs which GE and its associates have conducted and applied to evaluate the loss-of coolant accident for the SBWR.

The development and performance of the test program was achieved at a significant cost, on the order of several million dollars, to GE and its associates.

This information contains GE and associate information which, by nature of the collaboration used to prepare the information, cannot be easily separated into its respective parts. In addition to its direct competitive value to GE, the treatment of the information is bound by contract provisions of an Agreement between GE and the associate which provides for proprietary handling of the information.

- (9) Public disclosure of the information sought to be withheld is likely to cause substantial harm to GE's competitive position and foreclose or reduce the availability of profit-making opportunities. The information is part of GE's comprehensive BWR technology base, and its commercial value extends beyond the original development cost. The value of the technology base goes beyond the extensive physical database and analytical methodology and includes development of the expertise to determine and apply the appropriate evaluation process.

The research, development, engineering, and analytical costs comprise a substantial investment of time and money by GE and its associates.

The precise value of the expertise to devise an evaluation process and apply the correct analytical methodology is difficult to quantify, but it clearly is substantial.

GE's competitive advantage will be lost if its competitors are able to use the results of the GE and its associates experience to normalize or verify their own process or if they are able to claim an equivalent understanding by demonstrating that they can arrive at the same or similar conclusions.


The value of this information to GE and its associates would be lost if the information were disclosed to the public. Making such information available to competitors without their having been required to undertake a similar expenditure of resources would unfairly provide competitors with a windfall, and deprive GE and its associates of the opportunity to exercise its competitive advantage to seek an adequate return on its large investment in developing these very valuable analytical tools.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA        )  
  )  
  )        SS:  
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA    )


George B. Stramback, being duly sworn, deposes and says:


That he has read the foregoing affidavit and the matters stated therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief.

Executed at San Jose, California, this 24<sup>th</sup> day of October 1995.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
George B. Stramback  
General Electric Company

Subscribed and sworn before me this 24<sup>th</sup> day of October 1995.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public, State of California



## ATTACHMENT

Responses to NRC Requests for Additional Information (RAI):

900.2	900.13	900.25
900.5	900.14	900.26
900.6	900.14-3	950.17-4
900.7	900.14-4	950.20-1
900.7-1	900.14-5	950.20-2
900.9	900.16	Purdue-1
900.9-1	900.18	Purdue-2
900.10	900.18-1	Purdue-3
900.10-1	900.18-2	Intro-2
900.10-2	900.18-7	Intro-4
900.10-3	900.18-9	
900.11	900.18-11	
900.11-1	900.19	
900.12	900.23-1	
900.12-5	900.24-1	