

.842

LILCO, August 6, 1984

RELATED DOCUMENTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKETED
USNRC

'84 AGO -8 A10:25

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

In the Matter of)	
)	
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY)	Docket No. 50-322-OL-3
)	(Emergency Planning
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station,)	Proceeding)
Unit 1))	

LILCO'S ANSWER
OPPOSING SUFFOLK COUNTY'S
"MOTION TO COMPEL LILCO TO PRODUCE
FRANK M. RASBURY, A LILCO WITNESS, FOR DEPOSITION"

By its "Motion to Compel LILCO to Produce Frank M. Rasbury, a LILCO Witness, for Deposition" of August 3, 1984, Suffolk County asks that Mr. Rasbury, the Executive Director of the Nassau County Chapter of the American Red Cross, be made available for deposition. LILCO opposes the motion, for the reasons recited below.

In response to the County's motion, LILCO has three observations to make, two of them specific to this situation involving relocation center testimony and the third a more general one. First, LILCO too will have to cross-examine on this issue without the benefit of depositions. On June 26, 1984, the County produced, not surprise witnesses, but surprise hearsay evidence consisting of letters from Messrs. Cipriani and Hines, New York State officials on whose words the County

B408080408 B40806
PDR ADOCK 05000322
PDR

add: J. Mann
DOCA

DS03

intends to rely. After attempting to reach agreement on discovery of these witnesses, and being faced with the County and State's flat refusal to produce them, LILCO asked the Board to order discovery and was turned down as untimely. LILCO believes that the solution to the County's problem is to afford it the same relief that LILCO has been given, which is the right to cross-examine the new witnesses at the hearing.

Second, the reason for designating a new witness at this late date is simply that both times LILCO has submitted testimony on relocation centers in the past, the State and County have met it with letters newly drafted for the occasion by employees of the State and County saying that they will not make their facilities available to help members of the public in an emergency. LILCO has then had to adjust its plan to solve the problems created by the State and County. Thus the present situation of a new witness being produced shortly before hearing is of the County's own making.

Third, the County does not, as it seems to believe, have an absolute right to depose every LILCO witness. The County obviously thinks it does have an absolute right, because it makes no attempt to justify its need for a deposition,^{1/} and

^{1/} Section § 2.740(b)(1) of 10 C.F.R. says that "in such a proceeding, no discovery shall be had after the beginning of the prehearing conference held pursuant to § 2.752 except upon leave of the presiding officer upon good cause shown." The County has not shown "good cause" unless the designation of a new witness, in response to another party's obstructionist tactics, is automatically good cause.

the legal authority it cites on pages 5 and 6 of its motion is unpersuasive, standing for the simple proposition that the purpose of discovery is to enable each party prior to hearing to become aware of the positions of each adversary party on the various issues in controversy. But that is the purpose of pre-filed written testimony as well, and Suffolk County has LILCO's prefiled written testimony. Likewise, Suffolk County's resort to "the practice in this proceeding" is misplaced, since witnesses on both sides have been produced at hearing without having been deposed first. Mr. Rasbury sponsors, by himself or in combination with other witnesses, about six and a half pages of testimony all told, and there appears to be no compelling reason why Suffolk County cannot develop the facts it needs at hearing.

For the above reasons, LILCO opposes Suffolk County's motion to compel the production of Mr. Rasbury. The County's alternate proposal, that Mr. Rasbury be stricken from the witness panel, is extreme, to say the least.

Respectfully submitted,
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

BY 
James N. Christman

Hunton & Williams
P.O. Box 1535
707 East Main Street
Richmond, VA 23219

DATED: Augst 6, 1984

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In the Matter of
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)
Docket No. 50-322-OL-3

I hereby certify that copies of LILCO'S ANSWER OPPOSING SUFFOLK COUNTY'S "MOTION TO COMPEL LILCO TO PRODUCE FRANK M. RASBURY, A LILCO WITNESS, FOR DEPOSITION" were served this date upon the following by first-class mail, postage prepaid or, as indicated by an asterisk, by Federal Express, or, as indicated by two asterisks, by telecopier:

James A. Laurenson,**
Chairman
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
East-West Tower, Rm. 402A
4350 East-West Hwy.
Bethesda, MD 20814

Secretary of the Commission
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Jerry R. Kline**
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
East-West Tower, Rm. 427
4350 East-West Hwy.
Bethesda, MD 20814

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Frederick J. Shon**
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
East-West Tower, Rm. 430
4350 East-West Hwy.
Bethesda, MD 20814

Bernard M. Bordenick, Esq.**
David A. Repka, Esq.
Edwin J. Reis, Esq.
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
7735 Old Georgetown Road
(to mailroom)
Bethesda, MD 20814

Eleanor L. Frucci, Esq.**
Attorney
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Board Panel
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
East-West Tower, North Tower
4350 East-West Highway
Bethesda, MD 20814

Fabian G. Palomino, Esq.*
Special Counsel to the
Governor
Executive Chamber
Room 229
State Capitol
Albany, New York 12224

Herbert H. Brown, Esq.**
Lawrence Coe Lanpher, Esq.
Christopher McMurray, Esq.
Kirkpatrick, Lockhart, Hill
Christopher & Phillips
8th Floor
1900 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

MHB Technical Associates
1723 Hamilton Avenue
Suite K
San Jose, California 95125

Mr. Jay Dunkleberger
New York State Energy Office
Agency Building 2
Empire State Plaza
Albany, New York 12223

Stewart M. Glass, Esq.*
Regional Counsel
Federal Emergency Management
Agency
26 Federal Plaza, Room 1349
New York, New York 10278

Stephen B. Latham, Esq.*
Twomey, Latham & Shea
33 West Second Street
P.O. Box 398
Riverhead, New York 11901

Ralph Shapiro, Esq.*
Cammer & Shapiro, P.C.
9 East 40th Street
New York, New York 10016

James Dougherty, Esq.
3045 Porter Street
Washington, D.C. 20008

Jonathan D. Feinberg, Esq.
New York State Department of
Public Service, Staff Counsel
Three Rockefeller Plaza
Albany, New York 12223

Spence W. Perry, Esq.
Associate General Counsel
Federal Emergency Management
Agency
500 C Street, S.W.
Room 340
Washington, D.C. 20472

Ms. Nora Bredes
Executive Coordinator
Shoreham Opponents' Coalition
195 East Main Street
Smithtown, New York 11787

Gerald C. Crotty, Esq.
Counsel to the Governor
Executive Chamber
State Capitol
Albany, New York 12224

Martin Bradley Ashare, Esq.
Suffolk County Attorney
H. Lee Dennison Building
Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, New York 11788


James N. Christman

Hunton & Williams
707 East Main Street
P.O. Box 1535
Richmond, Virginia 23212

DATED: August 6, 1984