

ENCLOSURE 1

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
OPERATOR LICENSING REQUALIFICATION EXAMINATION REPORT

REPORT NO.: 50-186/OL-91-02  
FACILITY DOCKET NO.: 50-186  
FACILITY LICENSE NO.: R-103  
FACILITY: University of Missouri - Columbia  
EXAMINATION DATES: November 11-12, 1991

EXAMINER: Warren Eresian, Chief Examiner

SUBMITTED BY:

*Warren Eresian*  
Warren Eresian, Chief Examiner

*12/13/91*  
Date

APPROVED BY:

*James L. Caldwell*  
James L. Caldwell, Chief  
Non-Power Reactor Section  
Operator Licensing Branch  
Division of Licensee Performance  
and Quality Evaluation, NRR

*12/13/91*  
Date

SUMMARY:

Requalification examinations were administered to four SROs. The exams were conducted by the facility and observed by the NRC. All candidates passed the written and operating sections.

REPORT DETAILS

1. Examiners:

Warren Eresian, Chief Examiner

2. Results:

	<u>RO</u> <u>(Pass/Fail)</u>	<u>SRO</u> <u>(Pass/Fail)</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>(Pass/Fail)</u>
NRC Grading:	0/0	4/0	4/0
Facility Grading:	0/0	4/0	4/0

3. Written Examination:

The written examination test items were developed by the facility and reviewed by the Chief Examiner prior to the examination. Some questions were changed. No questions were deleted from the examination during grading. No generic deficiencies were noted from the grading. All candidates passed the written examination.

4. Operating Examinations:

The operating test tasks and questions were developed by the facility and reviewed by the Chief Examiner. No changes were made, however the Chief Examiner added some task questions. Some generic deficiencies were noted during the operating examinations. All candidates passed the operating examination.

5. Exit Meeting:

The following personnel attended an exit meeting on November 12, 1991 to discuss the examination:

Warren J. Eresian, OLB, Chief Examiner  
James L. Caldwell, OLB, Section Chief, Non-Power Reactor Section  
Walt A. Meyer, University of Missouri, Reactor Manager

Mr. Eresian thanked Mr. Meyer for his support during the preparation and conduct of the examinations. Mr. Caldwell noted two deficiencies:

1. Good Health Physics practices are not rigorously followed.
2. Although the operators were told prior to their operating examination that it was an open-reference examination, only one operator consistently consulted procedures. Two operators failed tasks as a result of not referring to procedures.

Mr. Meyer acknowledged these deficiencies.