



Carolina Power & Light Company

SERIAL: NLS-84-342

JUL 31 1984

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
Attention: Mr. D. B. Vassallo, Chief  
Operating Reactors Branch No. 2  
Division of Licensing  
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2  
DOCKET NOS. 50-325 & 50-324/LICENSE NOS. DPR-71 & DPR-62  
RELOAD ANALYSIS  
RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Dear Mr. Vassallo:

In a telephone conversation with your staff on July 17, 1984, Carolina Power & Light Company was requested to provide additional information concerning our submittal of March 15, 1984. Specifically, additional FIBWR compressed model power distribution cases were run allowing the code to calculate the core pressure drop, hot channel flow rate, and minimum critical power ratio (MCPR). These additional cases provide supplemental information concerning our question 15 response in the March 15, 1984 submittal and may be found in Attachment 1.

Should you have questions concerning this submittal, please contact Mr. John S. Dietrich at (919) 836-6154.

Yours very truly,

S. K. Zimmerman  
Manager

Nuclear Licensing Section

MAT/ccc (405MAT)  
Attachments

cc: Mr. D. O. Myers (NRC-BSEP)  
Mr. J. P. O'Reilly (NRC-R11)  
Mr. M. Grotenhuis (NRC)

8408080276 840731  
PDR ADOCK 05000324  
P PDR

A001  
1/1

## ATTACHMENT 1

### QUESTION

The Topical Report presented results using one channel to represent one fuel bundle. If "collapsed" channels (one channel representing several fuel bundles) are intended for future analysis, discuss how it will be approached and the sensitivities on hot bundle parameters.

### RESPONSE

The eighth core, 75 channel model illustrated in Figures 5A through 5D of the Topical Report, can be used to obtain individual assembly thermal-hydraulic conditions as well as accurate core-wide pressure drops and void fractions from detailed relative power distributions. The hot channel is identified by the highest relative peaking factor, which in turn determines the hot channel flow and void characteristics. A preferred method for core wide and hot channel analysis is to group channels according to common geometry and orifice type and to assign a representative average central, peripheral, or hot channel relative power factor to each group. This "compressed channel representation" has significant economic advantages and is shown here to preserve the accuracy of the detailed eighth core model.

A comparison between the eighth core and compressed channel models was made for each of the four 75 channel cases described in the Topical Report. Figures 15A through 15D provide the core operating parameters, relative power distribution, and fuel geometry mix for each case. These figures also identify the location of the hot channel. Please note that the location of the hot channel in Figure 5B of the topical was inadvertently misrepresented; the correct hot channel location for this case is that given in Figure 15B.

Each of the above cases was run using a compressed channel representation with fuel regions and power factors given in Table 15-1. The power factors for the compressed model were determined by first assigning the hot relative power factor from the 75-channel case to the hot channel, then taking the average of the power factors from the 76 peripherally zoned fuel assemblies, and finally calculating a single power factor for the remaining 483 central assemblies which would normalize the entire power distribution to 560. Since the hot channel in each case is located in the central region, the power distribution should normalize according to the following equation:

$$(76) R_{\text{periph.}} + (483) R_{\text{cent.}} + R_{\text{hot}} = 560.$$

where:

$R_{\text{periph.}}$  = average peripheral region relative power factor  
 $R_{\text{cent.}}$  = average central region relative power factor  
 $R_{\text{hot}}$  = hot channel relative power factor

Each case was run with the total core flow specified, allowing the code to calculate the core pressure drop, hot channel flow rate, and minimum CPR. The test results are given in Table 15-2. In each case, the change in MCPR due to the compressed channel representation was less than the 0.005  $\Delta$ CPR uncertainty identified in Figure 7 of the Topical Report.

FIGURE 15A

75 CHANNEL RELATIVE POWER DISTRIBUTION

BRUNSWICK 2 CYCLE 4  
83.13% POWER  
98.99% FLOW

26	0.9311																					
	8x8																					
24	0.8870	1.1101																				
	7x7	8x8R																				
22	1.1148	0.9453	1.2548																			
	8x8R	7x7	8x8R																			
20	1.0376	1.2030	1.0314	1.3449																		
	8x8	8x8R	7x7	8x8R																		
18	1.1887	1.0968	1.3369	1.1488	1.3764																	
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	7x7	8x8R																	
16	0.6961	1.2089	1.0996	* 1.4169 *	1.1155	1.3783																
	7x7	8x8R	7x7	* 8x8R *	7x7	8x8R																
14	0.8199	1.0700	1.2158	1.1237	1.3245	1.1500	1.2371															
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R															
12	0.9601	1.2389	0.9975	1.2333	1.0484	1.1413	0.9283	1.0910														
	7x7	8x8R	7x7	8x8R	7x7	8x8R	8x8	8x8R														
10	1.3755	1.2197	1.3229	1.1913	1.2175	0.9367	0.9840	0.8806	0.7824													
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R													
08	1.2110	1.3836	1.1810	1.2723	1.0340	1.0703	0.9204	0.8522	0.6682	0.4135												
	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	7x7												
06	1.3081	1.1279	1.1803	1.0003	0.9045	0.6392	0.4646	0.4116	0.3028													
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	7x7	7x7	7x7	7x7													
04	0.9993	1.1224	0.9956	0.9399	0.7199	0.4629																
	8x8	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	7x7																
02	0.5738	0.6178	0.5042	0.4396	0.3410																	
	7x7	7x7	7x7	7x7	7x7																	
	27	29	31	33	35	37	39	41	43	45												

\* HOT CHANNEL

FIGURE 15B

75 CHANNEL RELATIVE POWER DISTRIBUTION

BRUNSWICK 2 CYCLE 4  
49.73% POWER  
38.83% FLOW

26	1.0049																			
	8x8																			
24	0.8841	1.1784																		
	7x7	8x8R																		
22	0.9785	1.0408	1.5445																	
	8x8R	7x7	8x8R																	
20	1.1557	1.2301	1.3006	1.4907																
	8x8	8x8R	7x7	8x8R																
18	1.3547	1.3252	1.4261	1.1846	1.4747															
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	7x7	8x8R															
16	0.8879	1.2675	1.1182	0.9519	0.8555	1.3472														
	7x7	8x8R	7x7	8x8R	7x7	8x8R														
14	0.9293	1.1969	1.2279	0.8314	0.9563	1.2030	1.4210													
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R													
12	1.0537	1.2359	0.9838	1.1243	1.0341	1.2235	1.2844	1.3117												
	7x7	8x8R	7x7	8x8R	7x7	8x8R	8x8	8x8R												
10	1.2884	1.2842	1.3455	1.2836	1.2321	1.1571	1.2782	1.0977	1.0266											
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R											
08	0.8281	1.1854	1.1780	1.1159	1.0603	1.1056	1.1181	0.8184	0.7138	0.5796										
	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	7x7										
06	0.7758	1.0108	1.1557	0.9639	0.8449	0.6682	0.4940	0.3730	0.2940											
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	7x7	7x7	7x7	7x7											
04	0.8698	0.9414	1.0111	0.9832	0.7217	0.4737														
	8x8	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	7x7														
02	0.4937	0.5690	0.4441	0.4029	0.3387															
	7x7	7x7	7x7	7x7	7x7															
	27	29	31	33	35	37	39	41	43	45										

\* HOT CHANNEL

FIGURE 15C  
75 CHANNEL RELATIVE POWER DISTRIBUTION

BRUNSWICK 1 CYCLE 3  
98.98% POWER  
96.82% FLOW

26	0.7865											
	8x8											
24	0.8294	1.025										
	8x8	8x8R										
22	1.1969	1.0219	1.3297									
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R									
20	1.0571	1.2356	1.1197	1.3197								
	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R								
18	1.2983	1.1059	1.3858	1.1769	1.1997							
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R							
16	1.1099	1.2657	1.1629	1.3851	0.9777	0.9845						
	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8						
14	1.2044	1.2060	1.1147	0.9438	1.2678	1.1778	* 1.3952 *					
	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8R	* 8x8R *					
12	1.0007	0.9238	0.6879	1.0566	1.0015	1.1469	1.0533	1.3271				
	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R				
10	1.0642	0.6846	0.9627	1.1431	1.2373	1.0978	1.2267	1.1609	1.0214			
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R			
08	0.8648	1.0221	0.9631	1.1918	0.9514	1.1313	1.1405	1.1099	0.8612	0.5604		
	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8		
06	1.1169	1.0708	1.1351	1.0068	1.0537	0.9749	0.5700	0.5073	0.3942			
	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8	8x8	8x8			
04	0.9645	0.9745	0.9505	0.9728	0.8451	0.6020						
	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8						
02	0.5028	0.5023	0.4770	0.4615	0.3533							
	8x8	8x8	8x8	8x8	8x8							
	27	29	31	33	35	37	39	41	43	45		

\* HOT CHANNEL

FIGURE 150  
75 CHANNEL RELATIVE POWER DISTRIBUTION

BRUNSWICK 1 CYCLE 3  
50.38% POWER  
44.68% FLOW

26	0.8402																														
	8x8																														
24	0.6382	1.0681																													
	8x8	8x8R																													
22	0.8859	0.9496	1.1846																												
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R																												
20	0.9798	1.2848	1.0707	1.2131																											
	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R																											
18	1.2377	1.0907	1.2822	1.0798	1.1497																										
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R																										
16	0.7836	1.2524	1.0853	0.9665	0.7338	0.9972																									
	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8																									
14	0.9317	1.2801	1.2228	0.7845	0.8983	1.1937	1.3649																								
	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R																								
12	1.1825	1.3487	1.0894	1.2431	1.0286	1.2324	1.1813	1.3482																							
	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R																							
10	1.2279	1.0895	1.4188	1.3317	1.3263	1.2993	1.3735	1.2843	1.0264																						
	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R																						
08	0.7810	1.2198	1.1297	1.1857	0.9866	1.1989	1.2883	1.1911	0.9019	0.5906																					
	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8																					
06	0.8324	1.0510	1.1448	0.9799	0.8783	0.9599	0.6117	0.5368	0.4375																						
	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8	8x8	8x8																						
04	0.9736	0.9724	0.9894	0.9386	0.8893	0.5837																									
	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8R	8x8																									
02	0.4743	0.4792	0.4474	0.4387	0.3439																										
	8x8	8x8	8x8	8x8	8x8																										
	27	29	31	33	35																										

\* HOT CHANNEL

TABLE 15-1  
COMPRESSED MODEL POWER DISTRIBUTIONS

A. B2C4 HIGH FLOW CASE

ORIFICE ZONE	FUEL GEOMETRY	QUANTITY	RADIAL PEAKING FACTOR
CENTRAL	7x7	89	1.0848
PERIPHERAL	7x7	68	0.4553
CENTRAL	8x8	132	1.6848
PERIPHERAL	8x8	8	0.4553
CENTRAL	8x8R	262	1.0848
CENTRAL (HOT)	8x8R	1	1.4169

B. B2C4 LOW FLOW CASE

ORIFICE ZONE	FUEL GEOMETRY	QUANTITY	RADIAL PEAKING FACTOR
CENTRAL	7x7	89	1.0871
PERIPHERAL	7x7	68	0.4393
CENTRAL	8x8	132	1.0871
PERIPHERAL	8x8	8	0.4393
CENTRAL	8x8R	262	1.0871
CENTRAL (HOT)	8x8R	1	1.5445

C. B1C3 HIGH FLOW CASE

ORIFICE ZONE	FUEL GEOMETRY	QUANTITY	RADIAL PEAKING FACTOR
CENTRAL	8x8	152	1.0795
PERIPHERAL	8x8	76	0.4895
CENTRAL	8x8R	331	1.0795
CENTRAL (HOT)	8x8R	1	1.3952

D. B1C3 LOW FLOW CASE

ORIFICE ZONE	FUEL GEOMETRY	QUANTITY	RADIAL PEAKING FACTOR
CENTRAL	8x8	152	1.0796
PERIPHERAL	8x8	76	0.4885
CENTRAL	8x8R	331	1.0796
CENTRAL (HOT)	8x8R	1	1.4188

TABLE 15-2

## COMPRESSED MODEL vs 1/8 CORE MODEL COMPARISON

## A. B2C4 HIGH FLOW CASE

NUMBER OF CHANNELS	CORE $\Delta P$ (psi)	HOT CHAN. FLOW (Klb/hr)	MCPR
-----	-----	-----	-----
75	26.9770	121.0832	1.6097
6	26.9732	121.0773	1.6097

## B. B2C4 LOW FLOW CASE

NUMBER OF CHANNELS	CORE $\Delta P$ (psi)	HOT CHAN. FLOW (Klb/hr)	MCPR
-----	-----	-----	-----
75	7.4664	47.3663	1.7734
6	7.4240	47.2210	1.7703

## C. B1C3 HIGH FLOW CASE

NUMBER OF CHANNELS	CORE $\Delta P$ (psi)	HOT CHAN. FLOW (Klb/hr)	MCPR
-----	-----	-----	-----
75	20.7577	116.5756	1.3502
4	20.8068	116.7691	1.3507

## D. B1C3 LOW FLOW CASE

NUMBER OF CHANNELS	CORE $\Delta P$ (psi)	HOT CHAN. FLOW (Klb/hr)	MCPR
-----	-----	-----	-----
75	7.4178	55.6338	2.0638
4	7.4305	55.7846	2.0667