Docket No .: 50-440

JUL 2 5 1984

Mr. Murray R. Edelman Vice President - Nuclear Group The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company P. O. Box 5000 Cleveland, Ohio 44101

Dear Mr. Edelman:

Subject: Equipment Qualification PVORT and SQRT Audits of the Perry Nuclear Power Plant (Unit 1)

As a part of its review of the Perry plant's conformance with equipment qualification requirements, the NRC Pump and Valve Operability Review Team (PVORT) and the Seismic Qualification Review Team (SQRT) have scheduled site audits at Perry for the week of August 13-17, 1984. Enclosures (1) and (2) are, respectively, the PVORT and SQRT forms to be used by your staff in preparation for the scheduled team audits. The forms should be completed by your staff prior to the audits.

This letter serves to notify the Public in the PDR of the NRC site visit. The Public is invited as observers to the opening and closeout meetings between the NRC staff and the applicant. The opening meeting will take place in the morning of August 13th and the closeout meeting in the morning of August 17th. Times and locations should be coordinated and arranged with the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, representatives.

Sinceral,

B. J. Youngblood, Chief Licensing Branch No. 1 Division of Licensing

Enclosures: As stated

CONCURRENCES: DL:LB#1 JStefano:es BUY

1. 30 4.5

BUYoungblood

DIST: Docket File NRC PDR Local PDR PRC System

7/2/84

NSIC LB#1 Rdg MRushbrook JStefano

OELD, Attorney ACRS 16 EJordan NGrace

VNoonan GBagchi ALee NRomney

8408070137 840725 PDR ADDCK 05000440 A PDR



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

JUL 2 5 1984

Docket No.: 50-440

Mr. Murray R. Edelman Vice President - Nuclear Group The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company P. O. Box 5000 Cleveland, Ohio 44101

Dear Mr. Edelman:

Subject: Equipment Qualification PVORT and SQRT Audits of the Perry Nuclear Power Plant (Unit 1)

As a part of its review of the Perry plant's conformance with equipment qualification requirements, the NRC Pump and Valve Operability Review Team (PVORT) and the Seismic Qualification Review Team (SQRT) have scheduled site audits at Perry for the week of August 13-17, 1984. Enclosures (1) and (2) are, respectively, the PVORT and SQRT forms to be used by your staff in preparation for the scheduled team audits. The forms should be completed by your staff prior to the audits.

This letter serves to notify the Public in the PDR of the NRC site visit. The Public is invited as observers to the opening and closeout meetings between the NRC staff and the applicant. The opening meeting will take place in the morning of August 13th and the closeout meeting in the morning of August 17th. Times and locations should be coordinated and arranged with the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, representatives.

Sincerely

8. J./Youngblood, Chief Licensing Branch Mo. 1 Division of Licensing

Enclosures: As stated

cc: See next page

PERRY

Mr. Murray R. Edelman Vice President, Nuclear Group The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company P. O. Box 5000 Cleveland, Ohio 44101

cc: Jay Silberg, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20006

> Donald H. Hauser, Esq. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company P. O. Box 5000 Cleveland, Ohio 44101

> > 2.1

Resident Inspector's Office U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Parmly at Center Road Perry, Ohio 44081

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mr. James G. Keppler, Regional Administrator, Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Gien Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Donald T. Ezzone, Esq. Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 105 Main Street Lake County Administration Center Painesville, Ohio 44077

Ms. Sue Hiatt OCRE Interim Representative 8275 Munson Mentor, Ohio 44060

Terry J. Lodge, Esq. 618 N. Michigan Street Suite 105 Toledo, Ohio 43624

John G. Cardinal, Esq. Prosecuting Attorney Ashtabula County Courthouse Jefferson, Ohio 44047 EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION BRANCH PUMP AND VALVE OPERABILITY REVIEW TEAM REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

In light of increased emphasis on mechanical equipment qualification, the Pump and Valve Operability Review Team (PVORT) has been formed to review the pump and valve operability assurance program for those utilities applying for their operating license. The PVORT will review these programs by selecting various pumps and valves that are important to safety and then verifying that these components are qualified to perform their necessary functions when subjected to those loads associated with normal, upset, emergency, and faulted plant conditions. The findings of the team's review will then be included in the staff's safety evaluation report (SER).

The basic criteria used by the PVORT to determine the acceptability of the applicant's pump and valve operability assurance program are stated in SRP 3.9.3.¹ Two other documents are also used for basic guidance: SRP 3.10,² and IEEE-627.³ Specific references are provided within the first two documents. All of these references, as well as good engineering judgement, will aid the PVORT in making recommendations concerning the adequacy of the applicant's pump and valve operability assurance program.

To aid the PVORT in this review, the staff requires that a "Pump and Valve Operability Assurance Review" form be prepared by the applicant for each selected component and submitted to the staff two weeks prior to the team's plant-site visit. The applicant should also make available for review all pertinent documents and reports concerning the qualification of the selected components. Specifically, the documentation package for each of the selected components should include documents that will provide the type of information listed in SRP 3.10, page 3.10-9, a-1, as well as purchase specifications and plant test procedures, (applicable sections). The PVORT is particularly interested in insuring that sequential testing and failure mode determination (aging) are addressed; and that analyses are supported by test documents, whenever possible. Another topic of discussion during the audit will be the applicant's maintenance/surveilance

-1-

program and how that program interfaces with the applicant's operability assurance program.

It should be noted that it is beyond the charter of the PVORT to make assessments involving the applicant's overall seismic and environmental qualification programs even though seismic and environmental qualification are addressed and included in the pump and valve operability assurance program.

REFERENCES

- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Standard Review Plan, Section 3.9.3, NUREG-75/087.
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Standard Review Plan, Section 3.10, NUREG-0800 (Formerly NUREG-75/087).
- IEEE Standard for Design Qualification of Safety Systems Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations, IEEE Std. 627-1980.

PUMP AND VALVE

OPERABILITY ASSURANCE REVIEW

Ι.	PLANT	INFORMATION						
	1.	Name:	Unit No.		2.	Docket No.:_		
	3.	Utility:		<u> (</u>				
	4.	NSSS:					[] PWR	[] BWR
	5.	A/E:						
	6.	C.P. Docket Date:			С.Р.	SER Date:		
II.	GENER	RAL COMPONENT* INFOR	MATION					
	1.	Supplier: [] NSSS	[] BOP					
	2.	Location: a.	Building/F	Room _				
		b.	Elevation					
		. c.	System					
	3.	Component I.D. No.	on P&ID dwg	g:		•		_
	4.	If component is a [] Pump comp	olete	11.5			5. S
		If component is a [] Valve con	mplete	II.	.6.		
	5.	General Pump Data						
		a. Pump		b.	Prin	ne-mover		
	Name		<u></u>	Name				
	Mfg.			Mfg.				
	Mode	1		Mode	·			
	S/N			S/N				
	Туре			Туре				

^{*} The component, whether pump or valve, is considered to be an assembly composed of the body, internals, prime-mover (or actuator) and functional accessories.

a. Pump (continued)	b. Prime-mover (continued)
Overall Dimensions	Overall Dimensions
Weight	Weight
Mounting Method	Mounting Method
Required B.H.P.	н.р
Component System S Parameters: Design Normal Ad	System (include normal, maximum and minimum).
Press	Motor (voltage)
Temp	
Flow	·····
Head	Turbine (pressure)
Media	
Required NPSH at maximum	
flow	If MOTOR list:
Available NPSH	Duty cycle
Operating Speed	Stall current
Critical Speed	Class of insulation
List functional accessories:*	

^{*} Functional accessories are those additional sub-components that are required to make the pump assembly operational, (e.g., coupling, lubricating oil system, speed control system, feedback, etc.) Include manufacturer and model number.

6.	General	Valve	Data
		Comments of the second second second	

 Actuator (if not an integral unit)
Name
Mfg
Model
S/N
Туре
Size
Weight
Mounting Method
Maximum Delivered Torque
Power requirements:System(include normal, maximum and minimum).
Electrical
Pneumatic/Hydraulic
· · ·

III. FUNCTION

1.	Describe components	normal	and safety	functions	(include	accident
	initiating signals,	if app	licable):			

Nori	mal:					
Saf	ety:					
2.	The	com	ponents normal state is:			Operating [] Standby
3.	Safe	ety	function:			
	a.	[]	Emergency reactor shutdown	<u>b</u> .	[]	Containment heat . removal
	с.	[]	Containment isolation	d.	Ē.	Reactor heat removal
	e.	[]	Reactor core cooling	f.	[]	Prevent significant release of radio- active material to environment
	g.	[]	Does the component funct of one or more of the fo If "Yes", identify.	ion to llowin	mit g eve	igate the consequences ents? [] Yes [] No
		[]	LOCA [] HELB		[]	MSLB
		[]	Other			

* Functional accessories are those additional sub-components that are required to make the valve assembly operational, (e.g., limit switches, solenoid valves, accumulators, etc.) Include manufacturer and model number.

4.	Safety	requirements:	
----	--------	---------------	--

	[] Intermittent Operation	[] During postulated event
	[] Continuous Operation	[] Following postulated event
	If component operation is r approximate length of time	equired following an event, give component must remain operational.
		(e.g., hours, days, etc.)
5.	For VALVES:	
	Does the component [] Fai	lopen [] Fail closed [] Fail as is
	Is this the fail safe posit	ion? [] Yes [] No
	Is the valve used for throt	tling purposes? [] Yes [] No
	What is the maximum accepta	ble internal and external leakrate?
1.	Reference by specific numbe as a guide to qualify the c	r the design codes and standards used
1.	Reference by specific number as a guide to qualify the c	r the design codes and standards used
1.	Reference by specific number as a guide to qualify the c	r the design codes and standards used
1.	Reference by specific number as a guide to qualify the c	r the design codes and standards used
1.	Reference by specific number as a guide to qualify the com- 	een established and documented in the ent? [] Yes [] No
1. 2. 3.	Reference by specific number as a guide to qualify the com- 	een established and documented in the ent? [] Yes [] No in the qualification documentation?

* Margin is the difference between design basis parameters and the test parameters used for equipment qualification.

List all component tests performed or to be performed that 5. demonstrate qualification:

84

1.0

List all component analyses performed that demonstrate 6. qualification: . As a result of any of the tests (or analysis), were any deviations from design requirements identified? [] Yes [] No 7. If "Yes", briefly describe any changes made in tests (or analysis) or to the component to correct the deviation.

- 8. Was the tested component precisely identical (as to model, size, etc.) to the in-plant component? [] Yes [] No If "No", is installed component [] oversized or [] undersized?
- 9. Is component orientation sensitive? [] Yes [] No [] Unknown If "Yes", does installed orientation coincide with test/analysis orientation? [] Yes [] No
- List all plant loading conditions considered during tests or analysis; (e.g., normal, upset, emergency, faulted).

11. What is the fundamental frequency of the component?

12. Does the component have a unique design or utilize unique material in its construction? (Examples are special gaskets or packing, one of a kind components, limitations on nonferrous materials, special coatings or surfaces, etc.)

[]Yes	[]No	If "Yes"	identify:	2°
---	------	-------	----------	-----------	----

- 13. What is the design (qualified) life of the component, exclusive of normal maintenance items such as packing, bearings, seals, diaphragm, gaskets, and other elastomers?
- 14. Which of the components normal maintenance items requires the most frequent replacement/repair? What is the normal time interval between replacements/repairs?
- 15. List the harshest environmental conditions that the component could be exposed to during or following an accident, [e.g., temp., pressure, humidity, submergence, radiation (type and dose), etc.]:

Company/Organization		
ICompany/Organization	Preparing Report	
	Date	
tion Concerning yus	bort Report Title	

QUALIFICATION SUMMARY OF EQUIPMENT

To be completed to stand on its own (do not refer to any document)
 All questions are to be answered (if not applicable; mark "N/A")

\$

Ι.	Plan	nt Name:
	1.	Collity:
	2.	Location:
	3.	Type: 4. Capacity (MWe Net):
	5.	Containment Type: 6. Cooling Source:
	7.	NRC Docket No.: 8. CP Docket Date:
	9.	NSSS Vendor: 10. A/E:
11.	Comp	ponent Name:
	1.	Scope: [] NSSS [] BOP
	2.	Vendor: 3. Vendor Model No.:
	4.	Manufacturer: 5. Manufacturer Model No.: .
	6.	Purchase Spec. No.: 7. Total No. in Safety Systems:
	8.	Location (Choose the worst one with respect to seismic)
		a. Building: b. Elevation and Area:
		c. Environment: [] Harsh [] Mild
	9.	Field Mounting:
		a. [] Floor [] Wall [] Pipe [] Panel
		[] Other (describe)
		<pre>b. [] Bolted; description:</pre>
		[] Welded; description:(no. size, grade, etc.)
		[] Other; description:(Size, length, electrode type, etc.
		c. Mounting restriction from the manufacturer, if any: (horizontal vertical, etc.)
	10.	Functional Description of the Equipment:
		a. System in which located:
		(for item 8 in II, above)
		D. Type: [] Active [] Passive
		c. Equipment required for: [] Hot standby [] Cold shutdown
		[] Both [] Neither
		d. Intended safety function:

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	f. Redundancies, if any:
Equ	ipment Qualification Method:
[·] Test [] Analysis
[] Combination of test & analysis [] Other (describe)
Loa	ds and Load Combinations:
1.	Loads:
	a. [] Seismic b. [] Hydrodynamic
	c. [] Flow induced vib. d. [] Normal operation vib.
	e. [] Other dynamic loads: (specify)
2.	Combination technique:
3.	Required acceleration in each direction:
	a. [] ZPA [] Other; specify:
	b. OBE: s/s; f/b:; v:
	SSE: s/s; f/b:; v:
Qua	lification by Tast (complete this section for each report including a
tes	t): Test report: (Company) a. Title:
tes	t): Test report: (Company) a. Title: no.:; revision:; date:
tes	t): Test report: (Company) a. Title: no.: ; revision: ; date:
<u>tes</u> 2.	t): Test report: (Company) a. Title: no.:; revision:; date: Qualification report: (Company)
<u>tes</u> 2.	<pre>this section for each report including p t): Test report: (Company) a. Title: no.:; revision:; date: b. Reviewed by: Qualification report: (Company) a. Title:</pre>
<u>tes</u> 2.	<pre>Initiation by fest (complete this section for each report including p t): Test report: (Company) a. Title:</pre>
<u>tes</u> 2.	Initiality fest (complete this section for each report including p t): Test report: (Company) a. Title: no.: ; revision: j; date: Qualification report: (Company) a. Title: no.: ; revision; j; date: j; revision; j; date: j; revision; j; date: j; revision; j; date: j; revision; j; date:
<u>tes</u> 2.	Test report: (Company); revision:; date: no.:; revision:; date: b. Reviewed by: Qualification report: (Company) a. Title:; revision;; date: b. Reviewed by:; date:; date:

b. If different.from field mounting include equivalency justification:

a.	Technique:
b.	Excitation magnitude & frequency interval (or sweep rate):
c.	Resonances found: (up to:)
	s/s:; f/b:; v:
Test	Description:
a.	Input:
	 (a) [] single axis; [] biaxial; [] pseudo biaxial; [] tri-axial [] random; [] sine beat; [] other: [] phase coherent; [] phase incoherent (b) Frequency range: (c) Input level (g-level & frequency) OBE: s/s:; f/b:; v: (d) Number of tests performed: OBE:; SSE:; other: (e) Sequential test, including fatigue & vibration aging conducted: [] yes [] no Justification, if not performed:
b .	Output: (a) TRS generated: [] yes [] no (b) Parcent damping in TRS generation: (c) Percent damping used in RRS: (d) Margin included in RRS: [] by test lab. [] by otners: (specify) (e) Attach sets of TRS and RRS comparison plots (if not provide)

-

c. Results:

(a) Basi	is of	quali	fica	tion:
----------	-------	-------	------	-------

L _ servesular incegnicy vernited joberability vern	inted, i operability verified	i integrity verified; [6
---	-------------------------------	-------------------------	---

- (b) Failures detected during qualification tests:
- (c) Anomalies (with disposition) if any: _____
- (d) Modifications made (in the equipment or mounting) during the qualification phase; describe, if any: _____
- (e) How (modifications) implemented in the field:
- d. Other tests performed (such as fragility test; include results)

VI. Qualification by Analysis (complete this section for each report)

		and the second second in the second second second second second is second in the second is second in the second seco
no.:	_; revision:	_; date:
b. Reviewed by: _		
Qualification Report	rt: (Company)	
a. Title:		
	: revision:	· data.
Failure modes:		, date
Method of Analysis		
] static	[] static coefficie	ent [] dynamic

and the second

261,5

	6.	Model type: [] 1D; [] 2D; [] 3D [] finite element: (kinds of elements used)
	7.	Support & Boundary conditions in the model:
	8.	Computer codes used:
	9.	Damping: OBE: ; SSE: ; Basis:
	10. 11.	Fatigue & aging consideration: [] yes [] no Responses:
		<pre>a. Method of combination: [] ABS; [] SRSS;</pre>
		[] algebraic, [] other, specify:
		b. For critical elements:
Ider	ntific	Total Source Calculated Allowable of ation Location Loads Stresses Stresses Allowables
Ident	ifica	Allow. Source of Allow. tion Location Loads Total Defl. Defl. Defl.
VII.	Surv 1. 2.	eillance and Maintenance Program: Qualified life: (based on weakest link or appendage in the equip.) Basis:
	3.	Procedure of assuring operability of the equipment under seismic and dynamic condition throughout the plant life:

٠.,