4RC 5 orm (5-83) 5	**						• •				LIC	ENSE	E EVI	ENT	REP	PORT (LER)				UE			-		NOCO	-	
FACILITY		1)																	DOC	KET N	UMB	ER (2).		F		1 13	
LaSa	lle	Cou	nt	y S	itat	tic	on I	Uni	t	1									0	151	01	01	0 13	1713	3 1	1 OF	012	
TITLE (4)						-					-		4															
Spur	ious	Re	ac	tor	- Wa	ate	er l	Cle	an	up [Dif	feren	tial	Flo	w I	solat	ion											
	NT DATE	-	T			-		MBER	-				PORT DA					OTHER	R FAC	LITIE	ES IN	_						
MONTH	MONTH DAY YEA		R	YE	AR	A SEQUENTIAL A				REY	MBER	MONTH	DAY	YEA	LE .	FACILITY NA			AMES	MES			DOCKET NUMBER(S)					
								T					1		NA			A	0			0 15 10 10			0	11		
													1.1	1.	Γ	-												
0 6	2 5	8	4	8	4	-	0	4	0	0	0	07	19	8	4							0	15	1010	1	01	11	
	- TING	-	-	THIS	HEPO	DRT		SMITT	TED	PURSU	ANT	TO THE R	EQUIRE	MENTS	OF 10	CFR & /C	neck one	or more	• o' #	tolic	owing	/ (11)						
MODE (9) 2			2		20.45	216	1	-			T	20.406	(c)			X 60.73(a)(2)(iv)						73.71(b)						
POWER			-		20.406(a)(1)(i)							50.36(c)(1)				60.73(a)(2)(v)						73,71(c)						
LEVEL (10)		101	3	-	20.403(a)(1)(H)					-	50.38(c)(2) 50.73(a)(2)(.)(2)(vii)					OTHER (Specify in Abitract below and in Text, NRC Form							
	Y	1.×	-1	-	20.4	06 (a		1			-	80.73()(2)(i)				60.734	.)(2)(viii	(A)					6Al	10 11	IXI, MAL	C Form	
					20.406 (a!(1)(iv)				50,73(a)(2)(H)					BC.73(a)(2)(vill)(B)														
			1	-	20.408(a)(1)(v)						-	50.73(a)(2)(iii)				\$0.73(e)(2)(x)												
												ICENSEE	CONTAC	CT FOR	THIS	LER (12)												
NAME																				1		Ţ	ELEPHO	DNE NUI	ABE	A		
																				AREA	A CO	DE						
JoAn	n M.	Sh	ie	lds	5, 6	ext	ten	sio	n	330										81	1 1	5	5 3 15 1 71 - 1 61 7 16 11					
							CON	APLET	EO	NE LIN	E FOR	EACH C	OMPONE	NT FAI	LURE	DESCRIBE	D IN TH		ORT						-			
CAUSE	CAUSE SYSTEM			DNEN	т	MANUFAC-				REPORTABLE TO NPRDS					CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT			MANUFAC			REPORTABLE TO NPRDS					
					-+				+										-				-		-			
Y	JIM	7	1 9	9	19	7	91	9 8	1	N		1.1				1	1	1.1		1	T	1						
^	5111	1t	-		2+	-	2	20	-			-						1 1	1		-		1			-		
								1									1	1.1		1	1	1					,	
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)												1-1	-	+				MONT	H	DAY	YEAR							
																EXPEC SUBMIS			15510	N		-						
TYE	5 111 yes.	compil	ere E.	XPEC	TED	SUBA	MISSIC	ON DA	TEI				V NO						1		DAT	E (15)		1.1		1	11	
ABSTRAC		_	_	-	-		_		-				AL												-			

On June 25, 1984, at 1030 hours with Unit 1 at 3% power, and in startup mode, Unit 1 Reactor Water Cleanup (CE) system isolated on high differential flow. There were no flowpath changes or equipment rotations in progress at the time of the isolation. The reactor startup accounted for the isolation due to the temperature and pressure differences between actual startup operation and instrument calibrations. Safe plant conditions were maintained at all times.

IE2

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

LEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

FAE RES 8/31/85

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)			PAGE (3)			
		YEAR	ISEQUENTIAL NUMBER	NUMBER		T	
Lasalle County Station Unit 1	£						
	0_15 0 0 0 3 73	8 4	- 040	-0b	02	OFO	11

I. EVENT DESCRIPTION

On 6/25/84 at 1030 hours with Unit 1 at approximately 3% power and in Startup Mode, the Unit 1 Reactor Water Cleanup system (CE) isolated on high differential flow. There were no flowpath changes or equipment rotations in progress at the time of the isolation. There are no surveillances in progress.

11. CAUSE

C Ferm 304.4

The Reactor Water Cleanup system normally operates with a differential flow of 40 gpm, with system isolation at 70 gpm. The Reactor Water Cleanup flow loops, which input to the Reactor Water Cleanup differential flow isolation logic, are calibrated for the reactor operating at rated conditions. Isolations on differential flow can therefore be expected during reactor startup or shutdown, as the reactor is at less than rated conditions. On June 25, 1984, during a reactor startup, the system isolated on differential flow. After the isolation, operating personnel investigated the Reactor Water Cleanup area for leakage and found none. Operating found no abnormal conditions. For these reasons, it is believed that the reactor startup accounted for the isolation due to the temperature and pressure differences between actual startup operation and instrument calibrations.

III. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES OF THE OCCURRENCE

The event was of minimal significance as the Reactor Water Cleanup system operated accc ding to design. Safe plant conditions were maintained at all times.

IV. CORRECTIVE ACTION

All Reactor Water Cleanup procedures are being revised to warn Operators of the effect of reactor fluctuations in the system differential flow isolation logic. This item will be tracked by AIR 01-84-131.

V. PREVIOUS EVENTS

Differential flow isolations of RWCU due to differences in water tem ature in various parts of the system are described in LER's 373/84-30-60 and 84-033-00, 374/84-023-00 and 84-029-00.

VI. NAME AND TELEPHONE NUMBER OF PREPARER

JoAnn Shields, 815/357-6761, extension 330.



Commonwealth Edison LaSalle County Nuclear Station Rural Route #1, Box 220 Marseilles, Illinois 61341 Telephone 815/357-6761

July 19, 1984

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Reportable Occurrence Report #84-040-00, Docket #050-373 is being submitted to your office in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73.

In Pudwish G.J. Diederich

G/J. Diederich Superintendent LaSalle County Station

GJD/MLD/kg

Enclosure

xc: NRC, Regional Director INPO-Records Center File/NRC

1822