

October 25, 1995

Mr. D. L. Farrar
Manager, Nuclear Regulatory Services
Commonwealth Edison Company
Executive Towers West III
1400 Opus Place, Suite 500
Downers Grove, IL 60515

SUBJECT: LASALLE COUNTY STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Dear Mr. Farrar:

By letter dated June 21, 1995, Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd) submitted to the NRC General Electric report: GE-NE-523-A166-1294, DRF 137-0010-7, Revision 1, LaSalle Unit 1 RPV Surveillance Material Testing and Analysis, June 1995. The report revised the surveillance specimen chemical analyses, which had been reported in Revision 0 of the report. The NRC staff has completed a preliminary review of your submittal and has identified additional information needed to continue its evaluation, as discussed in the enclosure.

We request your response be provided within 60 days of receipt of this letter to meet the staff's review schedule. In addition, to expedite the evaluation process, we request that you coordinate the delivery of the irradiated surveillance specimens in item 4 from your contractor, to the NRC's contractor, Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

This requirement affects one respondent and, therefore, is not subject to the Office of Management and Budget review under Public Law 96-511.

Sincerely,

Original signed by

Robert M. Latta, Project Manager
Project Directorate III-2
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-373, 50-374

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/enc! : See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket file	PUBLIC	PDIII-2 R/F	OGC 0-15 B18
J. Roe (JWR)	R. Capra	B. Clayton, RIII	ACRS T-2 E26
R. Latta	C. Moore	W. Reckley	0-10A19

DOCUMENT NAME: LASALLE\LA00000.RAI

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	LA:PDIII-2	C	PM:PDIII-2	E	D:PDIII-2	E			
NAME	CMoore	CMH ja	RLatta:jar	RCapra	roe				
DATE	10/25/95		10/18/95		10/25/95				

300017

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

9510310026 951025
PDR ADOCK 05000373
P PDR

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

DF01

D. L. Farrar
Commonwealth Edison Company

LaSalle County Station
Unit Nos. 1 and 2

cc:

Phillip P. Steptoe, Esquire
Sidley and Austin
One First National Plaza
Chicago, Illinois 60603

Robert Cushing
Chief, Public Utilities Division
Illinois Attorney General's Office
100 West Randolph Street
Chicago, Illinois 60601

Assistant Attorney General
100 West Randolph Street
Suite 12
Chicago, Illinois 60601

Michael I. Miller, Esquire
Sidley and Austin
One First National Plaza
Chicago, Illinois 60603

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspectors Office LaSalle Station
2605 N. 21st Road
Marseilles, Illinois 61341-9756

Chairman
LaSalle County Board of Supervisors
LaSalle County Courthouse
Ottawa, Illinois 61350

Attorney General
500 South Second Street
Springfield, Illinois 62701

Chairman
Illinois Commerce Commission
Leland Building
527 East Capitol Avenue
Springfield, Illinois 62706

Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety
Office of Nuclear Facility Safety
1035 Outer Park Drive
Springfield, Illinois 62704

Regional Administrator
U.S. NRC, Region III
801 Warrenville Road
Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351

LaSalle Station Manager
LaSalle County Station
Rural Route 1
P.O. Box 220
Marseilles, Illinois 61341

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

LASALLE COUNTY NUCLEAR POWER STATION UNIT 1

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY

The weld material in the first capsule withdrawn from LaSalle 1 was tested by General Electric and indicated that the weld had high copper (greater than 0.3%). A subsequent report issued in June 1995 indicates that the amount of copper in the surveillance material is substantially lower (0.21%) than previously reported.

1. Provide a chronology of events including a description of the following items: Why was the surveillance material retested? What was the root cause of the error in the previously reported data? What corrective action has been implemented to assure the error is not repeated?
2. Provide a list of surveillance capsules and materials previously tested by General Electric. Are the test results from these surveillance capsules correct? Explain the basis for this conclusion.
3. Describe the procedures and actions taken by the licensee and its vendor, General Electric, in meeting the requirement of 10 CFR Part 21, "Reporting of Defects and Noncompliance."
4. Provide the NRC with sample surveillance weld material to confirm the licensee's test results. Since this will include irradiated material, packaging and transportation of the material will probably require special handling. The licensee is requested to contact the NRC project manager to arrange for the time and place of delivery of the irradiated surveillance specimens to the NRC contractor.

Enclosure