SEP 1 7 1982

Docket Nos. 50-329/330

MEMORANDUM FOR: Elinor Adensam, Chief Licensing Branch #4, DL

FROM: George Lear, Chief Hydrologic & Geotechnical Engineering Branch, DE

SUBJECT: MEETING NOTES OF JULY 27 THROUGH 30, 1982 DESIGN AUDIT

Plant Name: Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2 Licensing Stage: OL Responsible Branch: LB #4; D. Hood, and R. Hernan, LPM

In response to D. Hood's request, we have marked our comments on the enclosed applicant's advance copy of the meeting notes, covering the July 27-30, 1982 design audit in Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Any questions that you may have on the review comments of the marked enclosure may be referred to J. Kane (28153) of my staff.

Original signed by George Lear George Lear, Chief Hydrologic and Geotechnical Engineering Branch Division of Engineering

cc w/o encl: J. Knight L. Heller

cc w/encl: D. Hood

H. Singh, COE S. Poulos, GEI

JUK 9/17/82 DE :HGEB OFF DE ;HGEB JKane:jn LHeller 8408020192 840718 PDR FDIA RICE84-96 PDF FDR

777 East Eisenhower Parkway Ann Arbor, Michigan







BLC-

Consumers Power Company 1945 West Parnall Road Jackson, Michigan 49201

Attention: Mr. R.C. Bauman Design Production Manager

> Subject: Midland Plant Units 1 and 2 Consumers Power Company Bechtel Job 7220 Meeting Notes No. 1655

Meeting Notes No. 1655 for the NRC audit of the soils remedial activities held July 27 through July 30, 1982, are attached for your information and use.

Very truly yours,

mar for EM Hushes

E.M. Hughes Ann Arbor Project Engineer

EMH/NS/cs 8/4/1

Attachment: Meeting Notes No. 1655

cc (all w/a):

D.B. Miller T.J. Sullivan R.A. Wells

Written Response Requested: No

Enclosure 1

777 East Eisenhower Parkway Ann Arbor, Michigan Meil Address: P.O. Box 1000, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106



MEETING NOTES NO. 1655

MIDLAND PLANT UNITS 1 AND 2

CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY

BECHTEL JOB 7220

DATE: July 27 through 30, 1982

PLACE: Bechtel Ann Arbor Office

SUBJECT: Nuclear Regulatory Commission Audit of Soils Remedial Activities

ATTENDEES:

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

- L. Heller* D. Hood
- J. Kane
- J. Knight*
- F. Rinaldi

NRC Consultants

- W. Chen
- G. Harstead
- P. Huang*
- J. Matra
- S. Poulos
- R. Samuels
- H. Singh*

Bechtel Consultants

E. Burke*

C. Gould*

D. Budzik*

Consumers Power Company

- W. Cloutier
- J. Cook*
- J. Meisenheimer
- J. Mooney
- J. mooney
- N. Ramanujam K. Razdan
- J. Schaub*
- J. Schaub-
- T. Thiruvengadam

Bechtel

s.	Affifi*	D.	Reeve*
J.1	E. Anderson	R.	Rixford*
т.	Dabrowiak*	с.	Russel1*
Μ.	DasGupta*	s.	Rys*
в.	Dhar	Ρ.	Straube*
D.	Griffith*	N.	Swanberg
м.	Henry*	R.	Tulloch*
Β.	Klein*	G.	Tuveson*
J.	LeGette*	٧.	Verma*
D.	Lewis*	L.	Young*
L.	McElwee*	D.	Zanese*
м.	Rawson*		

...

*Part-time

Meeting Notes No. 1655 Page 2

PURPOSES :

- To perform an NRC structural and geotechnical audit of the design and calculations for Midland soils remedial work
- To provide information necessary to the preparation of the Safety Evaluation Report (SER)
- io permit release of soils remedial work for construction

PRINCIPAL AGREEMENTS:

- Based on the audit, Z. Knight and D. Hood of the NRC concluded that ? Not so. all technical soils issues were closed by this audit. There are some confirmatory issues which are outstanding. The applicant will provide information on these confirmatory issues as it becomes available.
- 2) Agreements reached regarding specific items are listed below.

General Items

 NRC staff input for the final Supplemental Safety Evaluation Report (SSER) will include a summary of subsurface investigation

This item was previously resolved with the NRC staff. There was no technical discussion on this item during the audit.

 Staff input to the final SSER will describe laboratory and field testing

This item was previously resolved with the staff. There was no technical discussion on this item during the audit.

 Staff input into the final SSER will include staff evaluation of pertinent soil profiles sectional views

This item was previously resolved with the staff. There was no technical disc ssion on this item during the audit.

 Summarize the settlement history of Seismic Category I structures other than the auxiliary building (AB) and service water pump structure (SWPS)

Me ... ing Notes No. 1655 Page 3

This item was previously resolved with the staff. There was no technical discussion on this item during the audit.

Long-term settlement monitoring plans during plant operation for 5.

other structures This is a technical specifications item. With the provident is part to conclude all technical details of a specification are resolved before that specification has been developed and approved. recolved. The information will be provided to the NRC as part of the final safety analysis report (FSAR) technical specification submittal.

6. NRC input into the final SSER will cover range of applied bearing pressures' static and dynamic loading

A draft of FSAR Table 2.5-14, with bearing pressure data for the AB included, was provided for NRC use and is included as Attachment 1. The staff reviewed the table and was satisfied with the information presented. This item is technically closed.

7. The applicant was requested to determine that 1.5 x FSAR seismic response spectra analyses are conservative for the AB, SWPS, and borated water storage tank (BWST) in comparison to site-specific response spectra (SSRS).

The NRC staff reviewed calculations verifying that 1.5 x FSAR response spectra envelop the results obtained by using the SSRS and the FSAR response spectra for the AB, SWPS, and BWST. This item is technically closed.

8. The applicant has not provided comparative plots of floor response spectra that were requested by the staff for all buildings (seismic margin review).

Comparative plots of floor response spectra for selected floor elevations in the auxiliary building were shown to the staff for information purposes only. However, it should be noted that the use of the floor response spectra derived from the seismic margin earthquake would be according to the seismic margin review criteria submitted earlier to the staff. The results of the seismic margin review will be submitted to the staff at a later date for the purpose of operating license review.

enclosed is nich ŝ VOINES Nes 0

Meeting Notes No. 1655 Page 4

9. Test data on 9 and 10 Fox-Howlett rebar splices with up to 2% strain

Copies of test data up to 2% strain for 9 and 10 Fox-Howlett rebar splices were sent to the NRC consultant (Science Applications Institute) and were provided to the NRC during the audit.

The NRC found the information acceptable after preliminary review. This item is technically closed.

10. Identification, inspection, and repair procedures for concrete crack repair

Criteria for concrete cracks were agreed upon and are documented in Consumers Power Company letter Serial 18371, 8/2/82. This item is technically closed.

 Staff input into the final sSER will summarize geotechnical engineering review efforts and SHAKE computer code studies

This item was previously resolved with the staff. There was no technical discussion on this item during the audit.

Auxiliary Building

 Resolution of allowable vertical differential settlement and strain that will stop underpinning construction and require installation of temporary supports

The NRC staff reviewed the allowable settlement calculations supporting the response to NRC Review Concern 3. Items discussed included assumptions, methodology, and results.

See attachment 2 for review comments and changes (Attachment 2) provides definitions of alert and action levels which were agreed upon for underpinning activities. Attachment 3 provides numerical values which were agreed upon for use during Phases II, III, and IV.

Alert and action settlement levels for Phase III after installation of grillage beams at Piers E and W8 are based on strains recorded by extensometers as indicated in Attachment 3. No other acceptance criteria for strain will be used. This item is technically closed.

 Compaction control specification for granular fill beneath feedwater isolation valve pits (FIVPs)

Meeting Notes No. 1655 Page 5

(whichever testing standard results in the maximum div density)

It was agreed that the fill beneath the FIVP will be tested using the procedures outlined in the Seabrook FSAR. A copy of a similar FSAR section was provided by the NRC. The fines portion of the fill will be nonplastic. This will be verified by the resident geotechnical engineer by appropriate testing (hydrometer or Atterberg limits). The backfill will be properly moisture conditioned by soaking immediately prior to compaction. The soaking means will be approved by the resident geotechnical engineer. Compaction acceptance criteria will be 95% modified proctor or 85% relative density based on tests performed prior to placement.

(e.g. self provelled double drum compactor) to **AK**dditional compaction equipment be qualified by the test fill method.

Methodology for transferring final loads to permanent underpinning wall

Mergentime/Hanson Drawings S-74 and S-74a were reviewed and found acceptable. Analysis of the permanent wall and preliminary design details were reviewed. Items included methodology, rebar stresses in critical areas, and connection to existing structure. This item is technically resolved.

Updated scope of construction for Phases III and IV

3.

ensumers has committed to perform

3

3

bara

The construction plan which describes the construction scope (Drawing 7220-SK-C-0101) was reviewed. A discussion was also held regarding construction sequence. This item is technically closed.

Resolution of pier and plate load test details on maximum test load, locations, and time for performing test

The load test will be performed on Pier W-11. The load sequence is to jack the load from 0 to 50% of the bearing pressure allowed for the seismic loading combination, then decreasing the load to 25%, and then increasing the load to 130%. The staff agreed that no additional plate load test is required. This item is technically closed.

6. Long-term settlement monitoring plan during plant operation

This is a technical specifications item, with all securical issues resolved. The information will be provided to the NRC as part of the FSAR technical specification submittal.

7. FSAR documentation on as-built conditions

This is a confirmatory item with all technical issues resolved. The information will be provided to the NRC when it is availablex for NRC staff OL review.

Meeting Notes No. 1655 Page 6

Corace

wording in SSER pg. 2-48 which is more ca includes the requirement to grout open test s which was agreed upon at the design audit.

10

which is more complete

Design modification at freezewall crossing with duct banks the installed surcharge loading program, monitoring results and Power Company will provide a report addressing, backfill techniques. The proposed method for backfilling monitoring pits will be provided prior to accomplishing the work. This is a confirmatory issue. -all technical issues resulved.

9. Resolution of required depths of construction dewatering wells

The depths of construction dewatering w we acceptable. When excavating in cohesionless (natural or fill) soils, the groundwater will be maintained 2 feet below the advance of excavation.

In addition, a probing program will be used in selected piers. These piers are E12, W12, E10, W10, E7, W7, E4, W4, CT1, CT6, and CT12. -At robing will be done atte a first not to exceed 4 inches in 5 feet above to / 5 feet below the design bearing elevation. If water is encountered while drilling, the stratum will be sufficiently dewatered to provide a stable bearing condition. / Interpretation will be done by the resident geotechnical engineer. This item is technically closed.

10. Monitoring matrix showing allowable settlements and strains

An updated copy of the monitoring matrix was provided. Alert and action levels will be added as agreed upon (see AB, Item 1).

The staff agreed that no alert or action level needs to be established for monitoring strain. However, the strain data are considered supplementary to understand the behavior of the building / This item is technically closed.

11. Electrical penetration area (EPA) and cooling tower (CT) relative horizontal movement criteria

The NRC staff reviewed drawings showing the gap detail between the EPA/CT and the turbine building.

No acceptance criteria will be required for horizontal movement during underpinning. Data from the instrumentation measurements will be recorded for information. This item is technically closed.

and used as supplementary information to the differential settlement records in the overall evaluation of structure movement during underpinning work .

and strain have greater than a coloinfin are a fuctor to be considered in the raising of the alert and action settlement levels.

8.

Meeting Notes No. 1655 Page 7

the FIVP

Sid

an excavalio

12. Changes in pier configuration

Piers CT4X and CT9X located along Column line K at 5.9 and 7.2 will not be required. Piers will be required at H, and 5, and at H, and 8. The NRC staff reviewed Mergentime/Hanson drawings showing the details of these piers. This item is technically closed.

13. Details on stiffened bulkhead during drift excavation

The NRC staff agreed with the drift/stiffened bulkhead design. The staff further recommended that the drift portion of construction be eliminated and that the bulkhead be constructed in 2 foot increments with a benched elope on the FIVP side. The applicant agreed to incorporate these comments in the design. This item is technically closed.

14. As-built plan for deep-seated benchmarks

The NRC staff reviewed Drawings 7220-C-1490 and C-1491 showing asbuilt locations of the AB deep-seated benchmarks. This item is technically closed.

15. Review of Specification 7220-C-200, Emergency Actions

The flowcharts of Specification 7220-C-200 were reviewed in detail. This item is technically closed.

Service Water Pump Structure

1. Complete staff review of sliding and lateral soil pressure calculation under dynamic loading

The NRC staff completed review of the sliding and lateral soil pressure calculation. This item is technically closed.

 Resolution of pier and plate load test details on maximum test load, locations, and time for performing test

The load test will be performed on Pier 1 (east side). The load sequence is to jack the load from 0 to 50% of the bearing pressure allowed for the seismic loading combination, then decreasing the load to 25%, and then increasing the load to 130%. The staff agreed that no plate load test will be required. This item is technically closed.

Meeting Notes No. 1655 Page 8

in borings at the location of

and

quirement. The results of

5

0.0

3. Resolution of required depths of construction dewatering wells monitoring of

For construction dewatering at the SWPS, 12 piezometers will be provided. Six will be sealed in the zone from el 570' to el 590'. Soil sampling will be continuous from el 570' to el 585' The other six will be installed at the subcontractor's discretion.

The water surface will be maintained 2 feet below the excavations if sand is present of All wells will be lowered to el 570' or exploretory information from the six deep piezometers will be provided to the end of the end of the state of sand layers. If sand layers are identified, the piezometer installations, the wells will be lowered This item is technically closed.

 Methodology for transferring loads from jacks to permanent wall and locking off

Drawing 7220-C-2035, with the relevant parts of Specification 7220-C-194 showing final load transfer procedures, were reviewed by the NRC staff. This item is technically closed.

5. Long-term settlement monitoring plan during plant operation

This is a technical specification issue, with all technical issues resolved. The information will be provided to the NRC as part of the FSAR technical specification submittal.

6. FSAR documentation on as-built conditions

This is a confirmatory item with all technical issues resolved. The information will be provided to the NRC when it is available for NRC staff OL review.

6a. Strain monitori to measure acceptable allowable strain

The staff concurred with the acceptance criteria for 20-foot extensometers as given in the June 14, 1982, submittal and criteria for 10-foot extensometers as agreed in the meeting of June 25, 1982, (Item 220.10) and documented in the summary of the meeting by D. Hood dated July 19, 1982. This item is technically closed.

The NRC staff's evaluation of the applicant's Jure 14, 1982 submitted indicated the proposed 516 inch displacement lextension, criterian over a single 20-foot gage length was not acceptable and the staff recommended that several agges of shorter lengths be installed to permit identification of the more highly stressed sections. In the meeting of June 25, 1982 the applicant committed to using four 5-foot long gages in place of the single 20-foot gage. The acceptance criteria for the 5-foot long gages was not specifically identified at the design audit but it was indicated that the criteria would be based on the yield strain of the reinforcing street.

within Bft of the pier foundations of indicated by the continuous sampling in the six perimeter piezameter;

Meeting Notes No. 1655 Page 9

 Staff input into the final SSER will describe computed earth pressures under both static and dynamic loading and design methods

Review of computed earth pressures was completed. This item is technically closed.

 The NRC staff is to review and evaluate the applicant's analysis as identified in response to Request 2.8 of Enclosure 8, NRC letter dated 5/25/82 (interaction of circulating water and SWPS wall).

The NRC staff reviewed the drawing showing the gap between the circulating water pumphouse and the SWPS, and compared this gap with the predicted deflections for each structure. The gap is considered acceptable to accomodate the expected movements. This item is technically closed.

9. Check dowels for shear and tension capability

The staff reviewed the design calculations, discussed the design methodology, and determined the shear and tension capability of all connections to be acceptable. This item is technically closed.

Borated Water Storage Tank

1. Long-term settlement monitoring plan during plant operation

This is a technical specification issue.with all technical issues recolved. The information will be provided to the NRC as part of the FSAR technical specification submittal.

2. FSAR documentation on as-built conditions

This is a confirmatory item with all technical issues resolved. The information will be provided to the NRC when available, for staff OL review.

 Staff calculational review for governing loading combinations in structural design

The NRC staff reviewed the calculation for design of the new ring beam foundation for all applicable load combinations. The governing load combination is:

V = 1.4D + 1.4T + 1.4F + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.9E

The staff also reviewed the methodology used for design of a typical section considering all forces and moments. This item is technically closed.

Meeting Notes No. 1655 Page 10

Underground Piping

that is not clea.

a reduced drawing

15

whether agree

 Staff evaluation of previously submitted reports on underground piping not completed

The NRC staff reviewed the calculations for stresses due to seismic and settlement effects. The staff agreed with the assumptions, methodology, and results of the analysis.

The geotechnical branch completed its review of previously submitted reports. The applicant agreed to add additional monitoring stations as requested plus settlement markers at each end of transition zones of replaced/rebedded pipes as shown on Drawing 7220-SK-C-745. The applicant also agreed to change the monitoring frequency to once per month for the first 6 months of plant operation. This will be written into the technical specifications. This item is technically closed.

 The applicant's proposed reinstallation of 26-inch and 36-inch diameter pipes including review of analysis, properties of backfill, extent of excavation details of transition, and controls during construction

The staff visited the site to review the arrangement of the service water piping in the SWPS.

The design approach for reinstallation of the service water pipe was reviewed and approved. The applicant provided a preliminary stress summary table for the piping to be reinstalled. The final table will be provided by August 20, 1982. Drawing 7220-SK-C-745 was marked up to show the settlement and strain monitoring locations that were agreed upon. A copy of this marked-up drawing is included as Attachment 4.

Properties of the proposed backfill were provided for review. It is presently planned to use a mixture of sand, cement, and fly ash. A proprietary product of this type is "K-Krete".

The next FSAR revision will document the design for the reinstalled piping, properties of the backfill material, and the stress summary table. This item is technically closed. The frequency of readings will be lengthened to the 90 day interval following the initial Six month, per if the settlement readings have stabilized (not lang than 0.10 inch, change from the previous reading

-OHBC

550

Meeting Notes No. 1655 Page 11

 Plant control restricting placement of heavy loads over buried piping and conduits

This is a technical specification item.with all technical issues resolved. The information will be provided to the NRC as part of the FSAR technical specification submittal.

FSAR documentation on as-built conditions

This is a confirmatory item with all technical issues resolved. The information will be provided to the NRC when it is available.

5. Technical specification proposal by applicant for long-term settlement and strain monitoring plan during plant operation

This is a technical specification item, with all technical issues resolved. The information will be provided to the NRC as part of the FSAR technical specification submittal.

Diesel Generator Building Analysis

 Resolution of assumptions (structural rigidity) and completion of analysis that uses correct settlement values; documentation of these results with comparison to recorded and predicted settlements

The NRC staff reviewed calculations for the diesel generator building which included settlement effects prior to, during, and after surcharge, including predicted values for the life of the plant.

The maximum calculated stress for the period March 28, 1978, to August 18, 1978, is approximately 11 ksi.

Bearing pressures were reviewed and found to be acceptable. This item is technically closed.

2. Long-term settlement monitoring plan during plant operation

This is a technical specification item. with all technical issues received. The information will be provided to the NRC as part of the FSAR technical specification submittal.

- The NRC staff expressed the need to further review the results of calculations on the effects of settlement on the DGB including the method used by the applicant to characterize the shape of the structure resulting from actually recorded settlements and predicted settlement values.

Meeting Notes No. 1655 Page 12

Permanent Dewatering

 Resolve availability of 60-day period in view of recharge rate in wells in AB railroad bay area

The applicant reviewed with the NRC staff the events related to the rupture of a construction water pipe which affected the recharge response in the railroad bay area.

A detailed discussion was also provided regarding the 8-1/2-day period to initiate shutdown. This period will be documented in the technical specifications. A report will be submitted after system installation to document the water contours developed by the permanent dewatering system. This report will provide final verification of any water source in the railroad bay area. This item is technically closed.

2. Requirements of permanent dewatering system during plant operation

This is a technical specification item with all technical issues resolved. The information will be provided to the NRC as part of the FSAR technical specification submittal.

3. Results of typical well fines monitoring

The applicant provided typical results from the July fines monitoring of the AB construction dewatering wells.

Well	5 micron (ppm)	50 micron (ppm)
ME-7	0.5	0.2
ME-8	1.1	0.4
ME-9	0.5	0.3
ME-46	0.6	1.0

This item is technically closed.

N.W. Swanberg

Assistant Project Engineer

8/4/1

Attachments:

- 1. Draft FSAR Table 2.5-14
- Definitions of Alert and Action Levels for Underpinning Activities

Prepared by:

- Numerical Values for Phases II, III, and IV for Underpinning
- 4. Markup of Drawing 7220-SK-C-795

provided for future review in Betheata and included information on the

Attachment 1 Sheet 1

1 18

2.8.

MIDLAND 162-FSAR

TABLE 2.5-14

SUMMARY OF CONTACT STRESSES AND ULTIMATE BEARING CAPACITY FOR FOUNDATIONS SUPPORTING SEISMIC CATEGORY I AND OTHER SELECTED STRUCTURES

				Contact Stress Ben Footin (1b/ft	t eath 9,			Factor of S	afety	ENKT-	wer
Unit	Supporting Soils	Foundation Elevation	Gross Dead and Live Load	Net Dead and Live Load	Gross Dead, Live, and Seismic Load	Net Dead, Live, and Seismic Load	Net Ultimate Bearing Capacity (1b/ft [*])	Dead and Live Load	Dead, AR Live, and A Seissic A Load P	AT ACT ACTI F PAS	AE Stae
Category Structures										m	7
Reactor containment buildings	Very stiff to hard natural cohesive soils	582.5	10,000	3,300	19,500	12,800	45,000	13.6	3.5	P	6
Auxiliary building area'''A	Very stiff to hard natural cohesive	562	7000	-200	8200	1000	45,000	*J.A	ur45	AR	67
Auxiliary building areas B and C ¹¹¹	Very stiff to hard natural cohesive soils	579	6600	400	10200	4000	50,000	125	w1/2.5	AIL	63
Auxiliary building Area D''	Very stiff to hard natural cohesive soils	556	15000	8,400	20,600	13,000	45.000	6.1	3.5	AR	*
Areas E and F''	Very stiff to hard natural cohesive	571	7,000	700	16,200	9,500	45,000	64.3	4.7	Ar:	*
Ausiliary building	Zone 2 ¹³¹	630.5	4400	4000	2400	6000	15,000	3.7	12.5	li	62 5 •
Auxiliary building	Zone 2	610	5000	2100	8700	5800	30,000	14.3	5.2	1.	
Area H"" Auxiliary building Areas I and J""	Very stiff to hard natural cohesive	569	6800	UT O	ыт 9200	141 2400	50,000	N.A	20.8	11	'
+ WITC VON	ind : { 635.5 627 w 595 585	Low can	u wpenn se	n demote:	ů,	2	12	Ta Is Re 6/	ble 7.5-14 heet 1) vision 44 02		
							/				

Attachment 1 Sheet 2

1

1.1

MIDLAND 162-FSAR

TABLE 2.5-14 (continued)

			Contact Stress Beneath Footing (1b/ft ²)				Factor of Safety				
Unit	Supporting Soils	Foundation Elevation	Gross Dead and Live Load	Net Dead and Live Load	Gross Dead, Live, and Seismic Load	Net Dead, Live, and Seismic Load	Net Ultimate Bearing Capacity (lb/ft ²)	Dead and Live Load	Dead, Live, and Seismic Load		
Auxiliary building Areas K and U ¹¹	Very stiff to hard natural cohesive soils	579	(2)	(2)		(2)	50,000	(2)	(2)	Arc	627
Feedwater isolation Unalve pit	Structural sand backfill	601	4,200	(4)	10,100	5,800	25,000	(4)	4.3	AR	3
Diesel generator building	Zone 2 ⁽³⁾	628	4,400	3,600	5,700	4,900	14,000	3.9	2.9	2 44,	
Diesel generator pedestal founda- tion	Zone 2 ⁽³⁾	628	1,670	900	2,050	1,300	8,000	8.9	6.2) ///	520
Borated water storage tank	Zone 2 ⁽³⁾	629	2,000	1,400	4,600	4,000	12,000	8.6	3.0	-844	î
Structure									HLAVE.	- I I	
Underpinning Walls	Very stiff to hard natural cohesive soils	587	11,220	7,845	23,110	19,735	52,000	6.6	2.6	} hi	; •
Lower mat	Very stiff to hard natural cohesive soils	587	4,920	4,500	13,550	13,100	50,000 C comp C 4 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67 67	11.1 paristo at acci 7 Sies t on f	s.e rest for dent room mil event first po	s t coa	
								Tak	le 2.5-14		

1

-

(sheet 2) Revision 44 6/82

Attachment 1 Sheet 3

KIDLAND 162-FSAR

TABLE 2.5-14 (continued)

				Contact Stress Bene Footing (lb/ft ²	ath)			Factor of 5	afety
 Unit	_Supporting Soils	Foundation Elevation	Gross Dead and Live Load	Net Dead and Live Load	Gross Dead, Live, and Seismic Load	Net Dead, Live, and Seismic Load	Net Ultimate Bearing Capacity (lb/ft ²)	Dead and Live Load	Dead, Live, and Seismic Load
Circulating water isolation system	Very stiff to hard natural cchesive soils and dense natural sands	596.5	4,030	3,800	4,090	3,900	25,000	6.6	6.4

Note: Factor of safety is defined as the ratio of net ultimate bearing capacity to net contact stress beneath footing. ¹¹Refer to Figure 2.5-47 for auxiliary building areas. ¹²Revised values are to be provided by according following reanalysis. ¹³Refer to Table 2.5-10 for description of Zone 2 soil.

14 For these cases, the applied loads are less than or about equal to the depth of embedment times the unit weight of the soil. Therefore, net loads are negative or insignificant and the factor of safety equinat bearing capacity failure is not applicable.

2. LOAD IS TRANSFERRED TO AREAS D, EFF AS A RESULT OF

THE UNDERPINNING OPERATION, (FROM K&L)

5. GROSS SOIL PRESSURE UNDER THE AREAS A THRUL ASSUME

THE WATER TABLE 16 AT EL. 585-0.

Table 2.5-14 (sheet 4) **Revision 44** 6/82

44

18

44

Attachment 2 Sheet 1

Alert Level

All values up to the alert level are considered to be within normal working ranges.

Settlement readings should be reviewed by the resident structural engineer daily. In general, for readings below the alert level, attention should be focused on the value of the readings versus the construction progress and any indication of trends that would indicate the alert level will be exceeded.

Once the alert level is exceeded, the site resident engineer must inform engineering in Ann Arbor of the situation. The data including information from the other appropriate data mechanisms should be evaluated in total. Where trends exist that indicate the action level is and improvemented as recessary of provide the likely to be reached, plans should be evaluated to remedy the situation. Action for (Note: It is recognized that the evaluation may well conclude that no changes are warranted.)

Action Levels*

Differential settlement A Walues Athen settlement the action level must be reviewed by the resident structural engineer and as soon as possible by engineering in Ann Arbor.

Attachment 2 Sheet 2

and actions described in Specification C-200 Plans, should be initiated to modify the condition that caused the settlement reading to excert the action level. Consumers Power Company must be informed of the revised plan so that the NRC can be advised of the situation. The revised plan shall be initiated immediately upon verbal notification by the resident structural engineer. <u>(Note: It is</u> recognized that the evaluation may well conclude that up changes are warranted.) If continuous movement beyond action level occurs, immediate action shall be taken per Specification C-200.

(Reguality Level), I the differential settlements reach 0.50 inchy the applicant will start discussions with NRC for consideration of and concurrence with future actions before implementing those actions.

* - Cracking levels correspond to these definitions for Alert and Action.

/dj 072801

REMEDIAL SOILS

Attachment 3 Sheet 1

SETTLEMENT MONITORING MATRIX







Records in Joeder labeled

"Midlande - Science Margin

Review Studies " maintained

5.001

11/Box 7

by Jargh Kone.