

---

---

# Evaluation of Ecosystem Simulation Models as Tools for Assessment of Power Plant Impacts on Fish Populations

Final Report

---

---

Prepared by G. L. Swartzman

Center for Quantitative Science  
University of Washington

Prepared for  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission

## NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, or any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability of responsibility for any third party's use, or the results of such use, of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed in this report, or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.

## NOTICE

### Availability of Reference Materials Cited in NRC Publications

Most documents cited in NRC publications will be available from one of the following sources:

1. The NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20555
2. The NRC/GPO Sales Program, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
Washington, DC 20555
3. The National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161

Although the listing that follows represents the majority of documents cited in NRC publications, it is not intended to be exhaustive.

Referenced documents available for inspection and copying for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room include NRC correspondence and internal NRC memoranda; NRC Office of Inspection and Enforcement bulletins, circulars, information notices, inspection and investigation notices; Licensee Event Reports; vendor reports and correspondence; Commission papers; and applicant and licensee documents and correspondence.

The following documents in the NUREG series are available for purchase from the NRC/GPO Sales Program: formal NRC staff and contractor reports, NRC sponsored conference proceedings, and NRC booklets and brochures. Also available are Regulatory Guides, NRC regulations in the *Code of Federal Regulations*, and *Nuclear Regulatory Commission Issuances*.

Documents available from the National Technical Information Service include NUREG series reports and technical reports prepared by other federal agencies and reports prepared by the Atomic Energy Commission, forerunner agency to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Documents available from public and special technical libraries include all open literature items, such as books, journal and periodical articles, and transactions. *Federal Register* notices, federal and state legislation, and congressional reports can usually be obtained from these libraries.

Documents such as theses, dissertations, foreign reports and translations, and non-NRC conference proceedings are available for purchase from the organization sponsoring the publication cited.

Single copies of NRC draft reports are available free, to the extent of supply, upon written request to the Division of Technical Information and Document Control, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Copies of industry codes and standards used in a substantive manner in the NRC regulatory process are maintained at the NRC Library, 7920 Norfolk Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, and are available there for reference use by the public. Codes and standards are usually copyrighted and may be purchased from the originating organization or, if they are American National Standards, from the American National Standards Institute, 1430 Broadway, New York, NY 10018.

---

---

# Evaluation of Ecosystem Simulation Models as Tools for Assessment of Power Plant Impacts on Fish Populations

Final Report

---

---

Manuscript Completed: June 1984  
Date Published: July 1984

Prepared by  
G. L. Swartzman

Center for Quantitative Science  
University of Washington  
Seattle, WA 98195

Prepared for  
Division of Health, Siting and Waste Management  
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555  
NRC FIN B7018

## ABSTRACT

This report summarizes work on evaluating the usefulness of models in assessing power plant impacts. Models were found to be most useful in suggesting frequency and replication for monitoring programs. Models also were useful in indicating where further data are needed. The modeling work on Lake Ontario and Lake Keowee indicated difficulties in using monitoring data for model construction and validation.

This final report gives progress and summarizes results on contract NRC-04-80-215 on assessing the usefulness of models for power plant impact assessment. This contract was conducted over a three and one half year period from October 1980 through June 1984. At this time we have substantively completed all research on this project except for some publications which are presently being submitted for publication.

The original objectives of this project were:

1. To intercompare and test a number of alternative whole ecosystem models using monitoring data from Lake Keowee and Lake Ontario and to evaluate model predictions of power plant effects on these sites.
2. To review a number of newer simulation models and to intercompare these with existing models already reviewed on a previous contract.
3. To revise the Process Notebook for Aquatic Ecosystem Simulation including new models reviewed, and new data, making it more usable and correcting mistakes in the original document.
4. To investigate stochastic models as possibly useful for impact assessment.
5. To consider, for the third year of the project, the possibility of testing the regional generality of simulation models. Proceeding in this direction was to result from agreement both by ourselves as the contractor and NRC as the contracting agency.
6. To produce occasional reports on modeling related topics judged of interest

As we will show in this final report project objectives have been met. Over the life of the project we have produced 6 technical reports ( 2 more are in preparation ). One article has appeared in the open literature and two more have been submitted to journals. There have in addition been five articles published in proceedings of meetings and two articles published in books concerning research conducted under this contract. Copies of these papers are included as supporting material for the contract officer. A list of technical reports and publications is given in Table 1.

As much of the material produced by this project are included in technical reports and open literature this report will serve primarily as a summary of findings and will not attempt to reproduce details available elsewhere.

## Process Notebook

---

Preparation of the process notebook required considerable revision of the original process notebook. While that document was not out of date we discovered a large number of typographical errors and some discrepancies in model equations and notation. There were also some units inconsistencies. New models reviewed as part of this contract as well as parameter estimates uncovered in our Lake Ontario and Lake Keowee work and in review of the additional models were included. We revamped the organization of the notebook to make it more coherent and gave examples of how to use it. The new Process Notebook reflects our intention of what we would have liked the original to be if we'd had more time to work on it.

## Lake Model Evaluations

---

Much of the work in this contract went towards evaluation and intercomparison of existing models using data from Lake Keowee and Lake Ontario. For Lake Keowee a single composite model comprised of models reviewed was compared with data.

We found difficulties in some of the models spatial assumptions, and in our exclusion of benthos or important forage fish species. We also found discrepancies and inadequacies in the data especially in the phosphorous data which was not accurate enough to reveal whether phosphate was a controlling nutrient or not, and the lack of replicates at a site which gave us no direct variance estimates. We also found some inconsistencies between phytoplankton densities on Lake Keowee and those reported for neighboring reservoirs. Data and models comparison and model predictions of impact and general conclusions are given in technical reports and published papers ( see Table 1. ).

Our experience on Lake Keowee led us to some important modifications for Lake Ontario. First we replaced the assumption of spatial homogeneity by 4 regions in the neighborhood of the power plants ( Nine Mile Point and Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Stations ). We included a broad range of fish groups and benthos as well. We had a longer time series of data to compare with. We replaced the cohort approach for the fish and zooplankton, which was wasteful of computer run time with a size class-dynamic pool where fish were divided into age class by species or functional groups.

We also developed a full set of alternative process equations to intercompare in various combinations. We corrected many of the difficulties with the Lake Keowee modeling comparison and also discovered new difficulties. The new model was extremely complex and very sensitive to some model parameters. Some of the data ( e.g. phytoplankton and zooplankton ) showed wide variability from one year to the next. Since there was a change in groups collecting the monitoring data some of these differences would have been due to methods. Absolute abundances of fish were not available. Given these

difficulties model output agreed within reason with the data, though there were some glaring discrepancies especially with the predatory cyclopoid copepods. To conduct the model intercomparison we utilized a number of exploratory data analysis techniques. In doing this we learned a great deal about how to standardize different equations to each other so that differences between process constructs would be due to different equation forms and biological rationale rather than parameter values.

These results are given in a paper submitted for publication in Ecological Modeling. Given the great variability in the monitoring data we decided not to attempt testing of models on a regional basis.

Another aspect of this project was to work with Dan McKenzie (Battelle PNL) on utilizing models to evaluate and direct monitoring programs. This innovative project involved using model output to indicate how often areas near the power plant are likely to be different by a chosen tolerance range from a control location. These windows of potential difference would then be used to assess how frequently and with how many replicates we must sample to maximize the power - the probability of detecting a significant input given that one occurs. This study ( Swartzman, McKenzie and Harty 1983 ) indicated that the best sampling program depends on the biota of interest and considers the trade off between sampling frequency and sampling intensity. Of course, this approach assumes the model to be "true" and errors in the model can lead to erroneous monitoring recommendations. Actually we found the model results to be realistic and the method to be applicable nonetheless.

### Special Investigations

---

In addition to the special investigation into models as tools for monitoring program evaluation we conducted a couple of short term studies on problems as they arose. The first was an investigation into methods for sensitivity analysis ( TR # NUREG/CR-3392) and the second was an investigation of the implications of spatial heterogeneity in model predictions of consumption and predation (TR # NUREG/CR-2624). The first was needed to clarify, for purposes of model evaluation, how sensitivity analysis has been conducted and how we might improve the technique. The second resulted from our observation of patchy distributions for biota in monitoring data and our desire to investigate how this patchiness might be affecting model predictions.

### Stochastic Models

---

As most simulation models are deterministic the outputs are interpreted as an average. We had some concern that results ought more realistically to be presented in probability terms, such as the chance that a population would drop below a certain size. We investigated such a stochastic model of Getz and Swartzman (1980) and applied it to the Hudson River striped bass problem. Results are given in a

technical report ( see Table 1 ). While the technique appears promising, we found striped bass long term catch data to be so sketchy as to be considered unreliable. Since recruitment in bass appears to be affected by river flow conditions at the time of spawning we see the striped bass as a primary candidate for a stochastic model provided a longer term catch record becomes available.

The important thing about using these kinds of models is that they deal directly with the question of risk. By describing populations in terms of their probability of being at a given level we are able to predict the probability or risk of the population falling below some predetermined minimum acceptable level.



## Acknowledgements

-----

As principal investigator on this project I want to acknowledge all the people who have contributed in some way to this research. Robert Haar (CGS-UW), Tom Zeret (IES/Zoology-UW), Daniel McKenzie (Battelle PNL), Jeanie Simpson (Battelle PNL), Edward Small (CGS-UW), Stephen Kaluzny (CGS-UW), Kenneth Rose (CGS-UW, now at Martin Marietta), Andy Bindman (CGS-UW, now at National Marine Fisheries Service SW Center), Patrick Sullivan (CGS-UW), Jack Turnock (CGS-UW), John Hollowed (CGS-UW, now with the Yakima Indian Research Division), Carol Noyes (CGS-UW, now of Friday Harbor), Tri Nguyen (UW, now with UW Computer Center), Thanh Li (UW), Jeff Meyer (UW Computer Science Dept.), Douglas Chapman (CGS-UW), Wayne Getz (U. of Calif. Berkeley), Rick Deriso (U. N. Carolina, now with Pacific Halibut Commission), Stan Clark (CGS-UW, now with North Star Computer Co.), Rebecca Harty (Battelle PNL). I also want to thank R.V. O'Neill (Oak Ridge National Laboratories) and Jim Kitchell (U. Wisconsin) for their fine reviews and constructive suggestions for this project. Finally I have appreciated the help, cooperation and interest of NRC personnel including, Mike Masnik, Charlie Billups, Clarence Hickey and Bob Samworth. Finally, and most importantly, I am indebted to the support, prodding and interaction of our contract officer, Frank Swanberg.

Table 1

## REPORTS AND PUBLICATIONS PRODUCED UNDER CONTRACT NRC-04-80-215

## Publications

Evaluation of ecological simulation modeling in power plant impact assessment by Gordon Swartzman, Robert Haar, Daniel McKenzie and Thomas Zaret in W. Mitsch, R. Bosserman and J. Klopatek eds. Energy and Ecological Modeling. Elsevier. pp 173-184. 1981.

A review and comparison of parameter sensitivity methods applicable to large simulation models. Masters thesis by Kenneth Rose. University of Washington. 1981.

A probability transition matrix model for yield estimation in fisheries with highly variable recruitment. by Wayne Getz and Gordon Swartzman. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 38, pp 847-855. 1981.

Simulating the effects of increased temperature in a plankton ecosystem: a case study by Virginia Dale and Gordon Swartzman. in L.E. Shubert, ed. Algae as Ecological Indicators, Academic Press, 1983.

Aquatic predator feeding in patchy environments: error introduced into models by assuming prey spatial homogeneity, by Robert Haar and Gordon Swartzman. in Analysis of Ecological Systems: State-of-the-Art in Ecological Modelling. W.K. Lauenroth et al. (eds.) Elsevier. pp 157-162. 1983

Using aquatic simulation models for impact assessment: Evaluation of monitoring programs. by Gordon Swartzman, Dan McKenzie and Rebecca Harty. in Proceedings of a workshop on Risk Assessment in Aquatic Ecology. Albuquerque N.M. April 13-15 1983 (in press).

Book review of "Environmental Biomonitoring, Assessment, Prediction and Management." by J. Cairns, G.P. Patil and W.E. Waters. for Jour. Amer. Stat. Soc. 1984. Review by Gordon Swartzman.

Sensitivity analysis methods applicable to large computer simulation models. by Kenneth Rose. to appear in Encyclopedia of systems and control. E. Hahn (ed) Pergamon Press. 1984

Long term research on simulation models applied to environmental management. by Gordon Swartzman. Invited paper to CEG session of long term research recommendations in environmental management. in press. 1984.

Simulation experiments comparing alternative process formulations using a factorial design. by Stephen Kaluzny and Gordon Swartzman. Submitted to Ecological Modelling.

### Technical reports

Evaluation of Simulation models in power plant impact assessment: a case study using lake Keowee. by Robert Haar, Gordon Swartzman and Thomas Zaret. USNRC tech. rep. NUREG/CR-2436. 1981.

Aquatic Predator feeding in Patchy Environments. by Robert Haar and Gordon Swartzman USNRC tech. rep. NUREG/CR-2624. 1982.

Evaluation of ecosystem models in power plant impact assessment: A case study using lake Ontario. by Stephen Kaluzny, Gordon Swartzman, Kenneth Rose and Patrick Sullivan. Nuclear Regulatory Commission technical report NUREG/CR-3308 Vol. 1. 1983

Process notebook for aquatic ecosystem simulations. Second edition. by Patrick Sullivan, Gordon Swartzman and Andrew Bindman. USNRC tech. rep. NUREG/CR-3392. 182 pp. 1983

An age structured stochastic recruitment model for assesment of power plant impact. by Patrick Sullivan and Gordon Swartzman. USNRC tech. Rep. NUREG/CR-3698. 1984.

<b>NRC FORM 335</b> (7-77)		<b>U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION</b> <b>BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET</b>		<b>1. REPORT NUMBER (Assigned by DDC)</b> NUREG/CR-3897	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE (Add Volume No., if appropriate)</b> Evaluation of Ecosystem Simulation Models as Tools for Assessment of Power Plant Impacts on Fish Populations: Final Report				<b>2. (Leave blank)</b>	
<b>7. AUTHOR(S)</b> G.L. Swartzman				<b>3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.</b>	
<b>9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip Code)</b> Center for Quantitative Science, HR-20 University of Washington 3737 15th Ave. N.E. Seattle, WA 98195				<b>5. DATE REPORT COMPLETED</b> MONTH   YEAR June   1984	
				<b>DATE REPORT ISSUED</b> MONTH   YEAR July   1984	
<b>12. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip Code)</b> Division of Health, Siting and Waste Management Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555				<b>6. (Leave blank)</b>	
				<b>8. (Leave blank)</b>	
<b>13. TYPE OF REPORT</b> Technical				<b>10. PROJECT/TASK/WORK UNIT NO.</b>	
				<b>11. CONTRACT NO.</b> FIN B7018	
<b>15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b>				<b>14. (Leave blank)</b>	
<b>16. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)</b> <p>This report summarizes work on evaluating the usefulness of models in assessing power plant impacts. Models were found to be most useful in suggesting frequency and replication for monitoring programs. Models also were useful in indicating where further data are needed. The modeling work on Lake Ontario and Lake Keowee indicated difficulties in using monitoring data for model construction and validation.</p>					
<b>17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS</b> aquatic ecological simulation models power plant impacts			<b>17a. DESCRIPTORS</b>		
<b>17b. IDENTIFIERS: OPEN-ENDED TERMS</b>					
<b>18. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> Unlimited			<b>19. SECURITY CLASS (This report)</b> Unclassified		<b>21. NO. OF PAGES</b>
			<b>20. SECURITY CLASS (This page)</b> Unclassified		<b>22. PRICE</b> \$

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

OFFICIAL BUSINESS  
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

FOURTH-CLASS MAIL  
POSTAGE & FEES PAID  
USNRC  
WASH D C  
PERMIT No. G 57

NUREG/CR-3897

EVALUATION OF ECOSYSTEM SIMULATION MODELS AS TOOLS FOR ASSESSMENT  
OF POWER PLANT IMPACTS ON FISH POPULATIONS

120555078877 1 1ANIRE  
US NRC  
ADM-DIV OF TIDC  
POLICY & PUB MGT BR-PDR NUREG  
W-501  
WASHINGTON DC 20555

JULY 1984