

October 13, 1995

Mr. Donald C. Shelton  
Senior Vice President  
Centerior Service Company  
P. O. Box 97, A200  
Perry, OH 44081

Dear Mr. Shelton:

This refers to the inspections conducted by D. Kosloff and others of this office on July 15 through September 1, 1995, of activities authorized for your Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, facility. After the conclusion of the inspection, the findings were discussed with Mr. R. Brandt and other members of your staff.

Areas examined during the inspections are identified in the report. Within these areas, the inspections consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observation of activities in progress. The purpose of the inspections was to determine whether activities authorized by your license were conducted safely and in accordance with NRC requirements.

You should note that this inspection report represents an integrated product of a number of diverse inspections that were conducted during the inspection period. The summary contained in the report provides an overview of our assessment of your performance in the four functional areas (Operations, Maintenance, Engineering, and Plant Support) in which inspections were performed.

Overall, we found that the conduct of activities observed during this period was good. However, we identified some conditions in the plant, as did your staff, that indicated that continuing improvement is needed in communications between organizations and in identification of conditions that may not be the specific responsibility of the individual who has the first opportunity to identify the condition. Much of your staff accepts the need for aggressive problem identification, which results in generally good performance. However, in some cases corrective actions have not been fully effective. This was again evident in the areas of foreign material exclusion and material accountability. Although some success was again evident in your efforts to reduce personnel errors, continued attention in this area continues to be warranted.

200007

9510270120 951013  
PDR ADOCK 05000440  
Q PDR

LEO  
1/1

October 13, 1995

Mr. Donald C. Shelton  
Senior Vice President  
Centerior Service Company  
P. O. Box 97, A200  
Perry, OH 44081

Dear Mr. Shelton:

This refers to the inspections conducted by D. Kosloff and others of this office on July 15 through September 1, 1995, of activities authorized for your Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, facility. After the conclusion of the inspection, the findings were discussed with Mr. R. Brandt and other members of your staff.

Areas examined during the inspections are identified in the report. Within these areas, the inspections consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observation of activities in progress. The purpose of the inspections was to determine whether activities authorized by your license were conducted safely and in accordance with NRC requirements.

You should note that this inspection report represents an integrated product of a number of diverse inspections that were conducted during the inspection period. The summary contained in the report provides an overview of our assessment of your performance in the four functional areas (Operations, Maintenance, Engineering, and Plant Support) in which inspections were performed.

Overall, we found that the conduct of activities observed during this period was good. However, we identified some conditions in the plant, as did your staff, that indicated that continuing improvement is needed in communications between organizations and in identification of conditions that may not be the specific responsibility of the individual who has the first opportunity to identify the condition. Much of your staff accepts the need for aggressive problem identification, which results in generally good performance. However, in some cases corrective actions have not been fully effective. This was again evident in the areas of foreign material exclusion and material accountability. Although some success was again evident in your efforts to reduce personnel errors, continued attention in this area continues to be warranted.

260007

9510270120 951013  
PDR ADOCK 05000440  
Q PDR

LEO  
1/1

D. C. Shelton

-2-

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosure will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

*Original Signed by R.D. Lanksbury*

R. D. Lanksbury, Chief  
Reactor Projects Branch 2

Docket No. 50-440

Enclosure: Inspection Report  
No. 50-440/95007(DRP)

cc w/encl: R. W. Schrauder, Director, Nuclear  
Services Department  
J. D. Kloosterman, Manager,  
Regulatory Affairs  
K. R. Pech, Director, Perry Nuclear  
Assurance Department  
N. L. Bonner, Director, Perry  
Nuclear Engineering Dept.  
H. Ray Caldwell, General  
Superintendent Nuclear Operations  
R. D. Brandt, General Manager Operations  
Terry J. Lodge, Esq.  
State Liaison Officer, State of Ohio  
Robert E. Owen, Ohio  
Department of Health  
C. A. Glazer, State of Ohio,  
Public Utilities Commission

Distribution:

Docket File w/encl  
PUBLIC IE-01 w/encl  
OC/LFDCB w/encl  
Project Manager, NRR w/encl  
IPAS (E-Mail) w/encl

SRI Perry w/encl  
DRP w/encl  
RIII PRR w/encl

SEE ATTACHED CONCURRENCES

DOCUMENT NAME: R:\INSPRPTS\POWERS\PERR\PER95007.DRP

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box "C" = Copy without attach/encl "E" = Copy with attach/encl "N" = No copy

OFFICE	RIII	E	RIII	E	RIII	E	RIII	N
NAME	Tongue/co <i>Am</i>		Louden Sect. 4.0 <i>[Signature]</i>		Gavula Sect. 3.0 <i>[Signature]</i>		Lanksbury <i>[Signature]</i>	
DATE	10/12/95		10/12/95		10/12/95		10/12/95	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY