वन्त्र.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before Administrative Judges:

DOCKETED

Peter B. Bloch, Chairman Dr. Kenneth A. McCollom Dr. Walter H. Jordan

*84 OCT 18 A11:43

In the Matter of

TEXAS UTILITIES ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.

(Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Units 1 and 2) Docket Nos. 50-445 0 6 50-446 0 6

(Application for Operating License)

October 18, 1984

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (More Detail on Individual Pipe Supports)

SERVED OCT 1 8 1984

MEMORANDUM

The Atomic Safety and Licensing Board finds that it is unable to reach a reasoned decision on Applicants' Motion for Summary Disposition Regarding Stability of Pipe Supports, June 17, 1984, without further information with which to evaluate the concerns of the Board, as expressed in our previous orders.

For the purpose of this request, we define an unstable support as:

(1) any support that at any time fell within any of the categories, I to

IV, in Mr. John C. Finneran, Jr.'s affidavit of June 17, 1984, (2) any
support identified by CYGNA as unstable or that at any time belonged to
a class of supports called into question by CYGNA, and (3) any support
or class of supports with respect to whose stability the Staff has
requested information.

For each unstable support, we require the following information:

• the identification number of the support,

D502

- the date the possible instability problem for that support was first detected, including all relevant memoranda and deficiency paper that indicate directly or indirectly the awareness and resolution of the problem for that support,
- the nature of the alleged instability and its possible significance,
- if applicable, the date and CMC number pursuant to which the design was changed and the supporting analyses that demonstrate that the new design is adequate, including stability, local pipe stress, adequacy of the new design feature(s) and possible effects on the pipe run analysis,
- if construction problems are known to affect the installation of U-bolts or clearances within box frames, those problems and their resolution should be documented,
- if applicable, if there were stability problems found in a modified design, provide all the information above again, with respect to the modified design.

Applicants may group supports with identical problems and indicate clear references to documents related to large numbers of supports, providing a complete reference is made to documentation for each support.

Applicants shall file this information by November 18, 1984. Intervenors shall respond by December 18, 1984. Intervenors shall

respond not only to the statement of material facts but to all relevant information in Applicants' filing. However, all analyses, documents and deficiency paper on which Applicants rely shall be provided to CASE and the Staff, and CASE will have an automatic extension of time so that it will have a full month after receiving these documents to respond.

Applicants should inform CYGNA of any reengineering of supports checked by CYGNA, and CYGNA should be asked to check the adequacy of the analyses supporting the new designs.

Although we are aware that certain Staff actions on these pipe supports could make our request for information moot, the Board believes that it has an obligation to issue this request at an early time in the interest of expedient resolution of the case.

ORDER

For all the foregoing reasons and based on consideration of the entire record in this matter, it is this 18th day of October 1984

ORDERED:

That Texas Utilities Electric Company, <u>et al.</u> shall file their response to the accompanying Memorandum by November 18, 1984 and Citizens Association for Sound Energy shall respond by the time provided for

in the accompanying Memorandum.

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Peter B. Bloch, Chairman ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Bethesda, Maryland