NRC Ferm (9-83)	. 384				LIC	ENSEE	EVEN	IT RE	PORT	LER)	U.A. NU A	CLEAR REQULAT	0RY COMMISSION 0. 3150-0104
FACILITY	NAME (DOCKET NUMBER	(2)	PAGE (S)
	For	t St.	Vrai	n, Unit No	o. 1					1.1.1	0 5 0 0	10121617	1 OF 1 1
TITLE (4)	High	Rea	ctor	Prossure	Scram &	Ensui	ne Co	ntrol	Rod	utomatio	Insertio	n Failure	s
	INT DATE	i ned	T	LES NUMBER		ensur Ree	ORT DATE	(7)	100 7	OTHER	FACILITIES INVO	LVED (8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	NEVERON	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		FACILITY NA		DOCKET NUMBE	A(S)
				NUMBER						N/A		0 15 0 10	010111
					_	. 1 .	-1-1					0.5.0.0	
06	23	8 4	8 4	008	00	0 7	2 3 8	3 4	C## 8: /C	hact one or more	of the following) (1	1)	
OPE	RATING DE (9)	N	20	402(b)	O PURSUANT T	20.408/4	J		IXI	80.73%)(2)(iv)		73.71(6)	
POWER			20.	406(a)(1)(i)		80.36(a)	(1)		X	90.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(a)	
(10)	0	1213	20	406(a)(1)(N)		50.36(c)	(2)			80.73(a)(2)(vii)		OTHER /S	in Text, NRC Form
			20.	406(a)(1)(Hi)	-	60.73(e)	(2)(1)		H	90.73(a)(2)(vill) 90.73(a)(2)(vill)	(0)		
			20	405(a)(1)(lv)		80.73(a)	(2)(0)		H	80.73(a)(2)(a)			
			1 20.			ICENSEE	CONTACT	OR THIS	LER (12)				
NAME	Fran	IK NO	vache	k, lechnic	cal Serv	ices	Engin	eerin	g Supe	ervisor	AREA CODE	TELSPHONE NUM	MBEN
											3,0,3	7, 8,5,-	12,2,2,4
				COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH CO	MPONENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBE		RT (12)		
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	ONENT	MANUFAC	REPORTABLE			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC-	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	
				TUHER	TO APROS								
Х	ALA	1	JJC	G 0 6 3	Y			1	1	111	111		
										1.1.1	1		
				SUPPLEME	ENTAL REPORT	EXPECTS	D (14)	1				MONT	H DAY YEAR
				and the second		T					SUBMISS	10N	
XYES	s (If yes, c	compiete é	EXPECTED	SUBMISSION DATE	r)		NO					111	0 2 3 8
	At (PPS Press orde moiss As prog high repo The it w inse foll the The impa Ther pote	the stress the press reac vas n ind cond ict reac cond ict	on itiat sed shutd leve orde , the essur- unde tor wo oted The g the epend ition on t l imp	June 23, 1 ed an auto Concrete own from 4 ls following rly shuto normal de e trip. r Section ent subcrit that 6 of six root event. The ently rec s of this he automatic is event in press	1984, wi omatic s Reactor 10% powe ing an a down an epressur The aut 50.73(a itical i the 37 d pairs fhe reac dundant event a atic sa is also eventing	th re cram Vess r was utoma d co izati omati)(2)(mmedi cont were tor r rese re un fe s being an a	actor upon el (P(in tic tr rrespond on flo c actor iv). ately rol manua emain rve der in hutdow repond utoma	powe sensi CRV). prog rip a ondin owpat uatio foll rod lly d shutd nvest wn f rted tic s	r at 2 ng hig Pric ress ction g ves h beca n of t owing pairs riven bcriti own sy igatic unctic under afe sh	the auto section not down.	Plant Pro normal p high pre high pr nelium cir oressuriza (ed causin scram circ matic scr ailed to core wit oughout t s available termine the contr 50.73(a)(tective S pressure i issure tri imary co- culator. Ation wer g the eve uitry is am action automatichin 20 mi the event e as desi the pote ol rod sy 2)(v) for	ystem n the p, an colant re in entual being n, but cally nutes and gned. ential ystem. its
		8407 PDR S	3100 ADOC	64 84072 K 050002 P	23 67 DR								40051

NAC Form 386A (9-83)	LICENSEE EVENT REP	ORT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	CONTINUATION					*	
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	1	LEP		PAGE (3)			
			YEAR		NUMBER	NUMBER		Τ	
Fort	St. Vrain, Unit No. 1	0 15 0 0 0 0 2 6 7	814	_	0 10 18	- 010	0120	F	1 1

BACKGROUND: (Fort St. Vrain FSAR Section 3.8)

AC FORM 3684

The control rod drives are essentially electrically powered winches, which raise and lower the control rods by means of flexible steel cables. Gravitational force acts to propel the control rods into the core during a scram, the free-fall speed being controlled by a velocity limiting system (Figure 1). All control rod drives are of identical design and have the same operating characteristics.

The control rod drive mechanism operates in a helium environment within the PCRV at reactor pressure (Figure 2). Ambient temperature is nominally maintained at approximately 150 degrees Fahrenheit by the refueling penetration cooling system and a thermal barrier between the drive and the reactor core. Shielding, interposed between the drive and the reactor core, limits the radiation level at the control rod drive to approximately 1 rad per hour. A helium purge flow of about 5.5 pounds per hour floods the drive assembly and prevents the ingress of contaminated reactor helium coolant (Figure 3).

The principal components of the control rod mechanism are the drive motor, motor brake, reduction gearing, rod position potentiometer, limit switches, limit switch cams, slack cable indication device, duplex cable drum, control rod guide tubes, and 1/4 inch diameter suspension cables.

The control rod drive raises and lowers the two control rods simultaneously by winding and unwinding the control rod suspension cables from a common drum having two winding grooves. This drum is made up of three plates which are bolted together. The two joints formed by the three plates are machined to form two deep spiral grooves. Each of the two cables is attached to its respective drum groove by an anchor swaged to the cable. The anchor rides in bushings pressed into the drum plates and is therefore able to rotate as required. The spiral grooves are machined such that each successive wrap of cable lies properly on the previous wrap. From their anchor point on the cable drum, the cables pass through a guide pulley assembly consisting of three pulleys mounted approximately 18 inches below the centerline of the drum. The guide pulleys maintain the cables at the current center distance, where they enter the radiation shield below the drive mechanism.

The control rods are electrically controlled by the shim motor and brake assembly. The shim motor and brake assembly is assembled as a unit having a single shaft and can be easily disconnected from the gear train by removing two screws. The shim motor is a 3-phase, 4-pole induction motor operating at 60 cycles, 105 volts, and approximately 1750 rpm. Attached to the motor shaft is an electromagnetic friction disc brake. The brake is spring-released when de-energized. Thus, the brake, when energized and applied, retains the control rods in a withdrawn position. When a scram is initiated, the brake is de-energized and released, allowing the rods to fall into the core under gravitational force.

LICENSEE EVENT REPO	U.S. N	UCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/85				
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)			PAGE (3)		
		VEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER		
Fort St. Vrain, Unit No. 1	0 15 0 0 0 0 2 6 7	84 -	- q 0 8 .	- 010	0 3 35 3	1 1

A 3-phase capacitor array is permanently connected to the motor circuit; thus, when the motor is rotated under the influence of the falling rods, it functions as an induction generator supplying a capacitive-resistive load, the characteristic frequency of which determines the limiting speed of the motor and, consequently, the control rod insertion velocity. The rod velocity during a scram, in this case, is controlled at a value approximately 15% in excess of the shim speed (i.e., scram speed is about 1.25 inches per second). The initial excitation of the motor for operation as an induction generator is provided by residual magnetism built into the motor.

Rod-out and rod-in position indication is provided by cam-actuated switches. The cams are mounted on a drum which is gear-driven by the rod position potentiometer drive slift. This shaft is directly coupled to the cable drum through a gear train and rotates as required for the full rod travel.

All bearings and gears in the drive assembly are treated with a thoroughly tested dry film lubrication technique which is essentially unaffected by the existing radiation and temperature levels. Bearings and gears are fabricated of materials proved by extensive testing to be suitable for dry film lubrication in a purified helium environment. The bearings are of a special construction compatible with such duty. Motor winding insulation is a high grade material, found by test to be necessary in a low-dielectric-strength gaseous environment; the stator windings are entirely encapsulated as an additional precaution against shorting to the frame and laminations.

With the rods in the inserted position, rod movement is initiated by applying electric power to the drive motor and simultaneously de-energizing the motor brake. The cables then begin to wind onto the drums and withdraw the rods. The limit switch cams release the rod-in switch, causing the "rod in" light on the console to be extinguished. Rod position is transmitted to the console by a potentiometer coupled directly to the drum gearing. As a precaution against loss of rod position indication, both the rod-in and rod-out limit switches and the rod position potentiometer transmitters are duplicated. Also, there are two slack cable sensing switches.

Failure or removal of both AC and DC power from any one drive will allow the rods controlled by that drive to drop into the core under the dynamic braking control of the motor and capacitor system. If the AC motor power fails and DC brake power is maintained (no scram signal), the control rods will retain their position. If DC brake power fails (no scram signal), the rods will drop into the core under dynamic braking control. The DC brake power must be maintained if the rods are to be held steady at any position other than fully inserted.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

PAGE (3

014 OF 111

APPROVED OME NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/85

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)			
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL .	NUMBE
Fort St. Vrain, Unit No. 1	0 15 10 10 10 1 216	7 814	-01018 -	010

EVENT DESCRIPTION:

C Form 3064 'a/ (17)

TEXT IN mere spece a re-

The sequence of events leading up to the high pressure scram began at 1404 hours on June 22, 1984, with the reactor at about 50% power. A rapid rise pressure relay on auxiliary transformer 1 tripped, resulting in a temporary loss of 480V Essential Bus 1 (until the Bus-tie breaker closed). The temporary loss of Bus 1 power resulted in a loss of normal bearing water supply to both helium circulators (A and B) in Loop 1, initiating backup bearing water (BUBW) to be supplied. The surge of BUBW caused an upset in the buffer helium system, which functions as the interface between the high pressure bearing water being supplied to the circulator shaft and the primary coolant helium. The buffer helium upset automatically tripped "A" circulator, but difficulty in setting the shutdown seal was experienced. Reactor power was manually run back to 30%, the Interlock Sequence Switch (ISS) was placed in the "low power" position, and the regulating control rod was returned to automatic control.

Several minutes later, evidence of rising primary coolant moisture levels were seen on both the Plant Protective System (PPS) Moisture Monitors and the analytical system moisture monitor in service. The analytical moisture monitor stabilized in the range of $40 \rightarrow 70$ ppm, and was subsequently monitored to establish primary coolant moisture levels.

"A" circulator was available for restart about 60 minutes after the accident started and was returned to service around 1620. Reactor power had been returned to about 40%, and full circulator operation at this level continued until about 2000 at which time the decision was made to begin an orderly shutdown on account of moisture. At this time, it became evident that the helium purification train, which is used in decreasing vessel pressure in accordance with power level, was ic...g up due to the high moisture levels. The turbine was tripped at 2144 with power at about 30%.

At 0029, with reactor power at 23% and being decreased, the reactor automatically scrammed on programmed vessel pressure high. Although the reactor was verified subcritical following the automatic scram, it was noted that 6 of the 37 control rods (in Regions 6, 7, 10, 14, 25, and 28) had failed to automatically insert and these were manually driven in over the following 20 minute interval (see Figure 4). A subsequent calculation using a computer code analysis (Gauge) verified that a shutdown margin of 0.0225 delta p (Keff = 0.978) existed even with the above rods not scrammed. The Fort St. Vrain Technical Specifications consider 0.01 delta p to be an acceptable shutdown margin.

/NALYSIS OF EVENT:

The PPS scram circuitry correctly sensed above normal pressure in the PCRV and initiated protective action as designed. However, protective action was not necessary during this condition since the high pressure was not the result of a steam generator tube or subheader rupture which would have the potential for increasing reactor pressure beyond the setting of the PCRV safety valve resulting in an unplanned radiological release. The temporary introduction of moisture through a malfunction of the backup bearing water system and the circulator shutdown seal is considered an operational concern and is under investigation.

LICENSEE EVENT REP	ORT (LER) TEXT CONTINU	ATIO	N	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMIN APPROVED DWE NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 6/31/85					104
PACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER ISI PAGE							
		VEAR		NUMBER	NUMBE	NUMBER			
Fort St. Vrain, Unit No. 1	0 5 0 0 0 2 6 7	814	-	0 0 8	_	010	015	OF	1 1

The icing up of the helium purification system is not considered an abnormal occurrence under the observed high moisture conditions and would likely have resulted in the observed mismatch between programmed PCRV pressure and core temperature (decreasing with power level) initiating a programmed high reactor pressure scram via the plant protective system.

The blockage of the on-line helium purification train caused a loss of the helium purge flow which normally provides the control rod drive assembly with a supply of clean helium and limits the upward flow rate of contaminated or moisture laden primary coolant helium. The significance of a loss of purge flow coincident with high primary coolant moisture levels is under investigation with respect to control rod operability.

The failure of the six control rod pairs to automatically insert is considered to have possible significant safety implications and an extensive investigation is in progress to ascertain possible causes and identify any necessary corrective actions to prevent reoccurrence. Although the reserve shutdown system was available and was designed to provide an independent, alternate means of achieving shutdown conditions from any operating condition without any movement of the control rods, the ability of the control rod system to safely shut down the reactor under postulated abnormal conditions should be maintained.

CAUSE DESCRIPTION:

The automatic scram was initiated by the PPS upon sensing higher than normal pressure in the PCRV caused by ice blockage in the on line helium purification train coincident with a reduction in power which correspondingly reduced the programmed high primary coolant pressure setpoint.

At the present time, it has not been definitely determined what the actual cause of the observed control rod system failures was. The visual examinations thus far have revealed evidence of minor oxidation of the carbon steel components, previous moisture condensation, and small quantities of unidentified particualte matter. But there has been no evidence of excessive wear, pitting, improper lubrications, gear misalignment, or other conditions which could have resulted in CRDOA inoperability. No evidence of brake seizure or locking has been found either.

The event appears to be due primarily to the specific plant conditions that existed immediately prior to the observed failures: high primary coolant moisture levels and loss of CRDOA purge flow and subsequent effects of these environmental conditions on the CRDOA shim motor rotor bearings. However, the investigation will continue as outlined and these observations and ideas thould be considered preliminary and not conclusive.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

The actions to date, along with those that have been planned to date include the following:

 Motor power consumption profiles have been obtained and scram verifications have been made for all 37 control rod drive and orifice assemblies (CRDOAs) resident in the core on June 23, 1984.

5 Form 3864	LICENSEE EVENT REPO	ATION APPR	AMROVED ONE NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES. 8/31/85					
		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (8)	PAGE 13				
Fo	ort St. Vrain, Unit No. 1	o s o o 0 2 6 7	VEAR SEQUENTIAL A 8 4 0 0 8 -	0 0 0 6 0F 1 1				
T /# mare apoes a	required, une additional NAC Form 306A's/ (17)							
2	2) Examination of the control	rod drives from Region	is 7 and 14 is comp	lete.				
	3) Examination of the control	I rod drive from Region	10 is currently i	n progress.				
	Investigations into the primary coolant moisture le	effects of purge fl evels are continuing.	ow loss coincider	t with high				
• •	b) Collection of particulate progress, and analysis for Successful analysis is con for collection).	e matter found in t r constituent parts ntingent upon sufficien	he CRDOA subassem will be performe t particulate bein	blies is in d. (NOTE: g available				
(Disassembly and inspection failed to scram on June 23,	on of the motor-brake a , 1984 is continuing.	ssemblies of the C	RDOA's that				
1	7) A functional test of two examination of the material	vo reserve shutdown h l is planned.	oppers, along with	a physical				
٤	 The disassembled component appropriate, using wet or compethods. 	nts of the CRDOAs i dry wiping, nitrogen bl	nspected will be owdown, or alcoho	cleaned as 1 solution				
5	A test to determine the se relative to free rotational	ensitivity of the motor I torque will be perfor	bearing assembly med.	to moisture				
10)) A test to determine the cleaning characteristics of	effects of exercising f the assembly will be	a CRDOA to ascerta performed.	in the self				
11	Disassembly and inspection two additional CRDOAs which one of the selected CRDOA's Assembly gear train.	n will be performed on h properly scrammed on s will undergo disassem	the motor-brake as June 23, 1984. In bly and inspection	semblies of addition, of its 200				
Th ir su	ne specific cause of the ovestigated. The results o upplemental report.	observed control rod s of such investigation	cran failures cont is will be provi	inues to be ded in a				
Re	lated Reports: RO 82-007							





La constantina da la





NAC FORM JASA

REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION APPROVED ONE NO. 3150- EXPIRES. 8/31/85					
DOCKET NUMBER (2)	T	ER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)		
	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL AEVISION			
0 15 10 10 10 12 16 17	814 -	- 01018 010	111 0F 1 11		
	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	EPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION	EPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION		

Mark A. Joseph Mark A. Joseph

Techical Services Engineer

rank J. Novachek Technical Services Engineering Supervisor

L. Milton McBride L. M. McBride

Station Manager

Don Waremburg Don Warembourg Manager, Nuclear Production



A 12

Public Service Company of Colorado 50-267

16805 WCR 19 1/2, Platteville, Colorado 80651



July 23, 1984 Fort St. Vrain Unit #1 P-84228

Mr. E. H. Johnson, Chief Reactor Project Branch 1 Region IV Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, TX 76011

REFERENCE: Facility Operating License No. DPR-34

Docket No. 50-267

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Enclosed please find a copy of Licensee Event Report No. 50-267/84-008, Preliminary, submitted per the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv) and (a)(2)(v).

Very truly yours,

Warenburg

Don Warembourg Manager, Nuclear Production

DWW/djm

Enclosure

cc: Director, MIPC

400,5