

# Bechtel Power Corporation

Engineers—Constructors

Fifty Beale Street

San Francisco, California

Mail Address: P.O. Box 3965, San Francisco, CA 94119



50-461

October 9, 1984

BLI-22

Mr. J. D. Geier  
Illinois Power Company  
500 South 27th Street  
Decatur, Illinois 62525

Subject: Clinton Independent Design Review  
Illinois Power Company  
Job No. 15478-003  
Progress Meeting, October 16, 1984

Dear Mr. Geier:

As agreed, we have prepared a proposed agenda for our first Progress Meeting on the Clinton IDR, and are enclosing it.

In this, we have attempted to reflect items relevant to work covered by our recent Progress Report (June-September 1984) as well as other subjects of interest.

Please advise if you, or others, have any questions or comments.

Very truly yours,

  
G. L. Parkinson  
Project Manager

GLP:scr

Enclosure

cc: Service List

8410170088 841009  
PDR ADDCK 05000461  
A PDR

CLINTON POWER STATION  
Independent Design Review

Proposed Agenda  
IDR Progress Meeting  
October 16, 1984

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Start</u>	<u>Time Allowed</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Sponsor</u>
1.	11:00 a.m.	10 m	Introduction	IP
2.	11:10	20	Status and progress summary	BPC
3.	11:30	20	Sargent & Lundy participation	S&L
4.	11:50	10	IDR criteria and approaches	BPC
5.	12:00 p.m.	40	Vertical reviews	BPC
6.	12:40	20	Break	---
7.	1:00	20	Horizontal reviews	BPC
8.	1:20	20	Procedures	BPC
9.	1:40	20	Walkdowns	BPC
10.	2:00	20	Final report	NRC/BPC
11.	2:20	30	Comments and questions	(A11)
12.	2:50	10	Closing remarks	NRC
	3:00	--	Adjourn	

NOTES

- (a) Agenda contemplates no break for lunch.
- (b) Specific Subjects will be incorporated in Topics by sponsors, where most fitting.
- (c) Abbreviations:

IP - Illinois Power Company  
S&L - Sargent & Lundy Engineers  
NRC - Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
BPC - Bechtel Power Corporation

CLINTON POWER STATION  
Independent Design Review

Specific Subjects  
IDR Progress Meeting  
10/16/84

1. Describe status of Vertical Reviews for SSW and Class 1E ac electrical systems, and for limited review of HPCS system. Cover review plans and depth of review. Reference Item #18 in NRC comments on Program Plan.
2. Discuss criteria being used to classify deficiencies as to:
  - (a) Significant enough to require further reviews.
  - (b) Insignificant so as not to require further reviews, but documented and not trivial.
3. Give overview of Procedures IDR #1, 2, 3, and #4.
4. Discuss basis for how generic issues are being pursued.
5. Describe status of field walkdown performed under Procedure IDR #4.
6. Discuss work planned for Horizontal Review. Also, describe the data base, listing specific items. Prepare to discuss other inputs.
7. Describe preliminary Regulatory Commitment List.
8. Discuss definition of term "safety significant" in terms of how it is used in the IDR.
9. Discuss preliminary plans for IDR Final Report as to outline and content. Reference Item #15 in NRC comments on Program Plan.
10. Discuss plans for reviews of calculations.
11. Discuss reviews of equipment qualification test reports, as to scope.