

Public Service Electric and Gas Company P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge, New Jersey 08038

Hope Creek Operations

OCT 11 1995

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sir:

HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION DOCKET NO. 50-354 UNIT NO. 1 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 95-009-01

This Supplemental Licensee Event Report is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50(a)(2)(i)(B). This supplement corrects and updates information concerning Corrective Actions and Previous Occurrences.

Sincerely,

Mark S/111

M/ E. Reddemann General Manager -Hope Creek Operations

RAR/tcp

Attachment SORC Meeting 95-097 c Distribution

190090

The Energy People

9510200259 951011 PDR ADDCK 05000354 PDR

NRC FORM 366 (4.95)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 **EXPIRES 04/30/98**

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)

FACILITY NAME (1)

HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION

EATIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (T-8 F33), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503. PAGE (3) DOCKET NUMBER (2) 05000354

(609) 339-3115

1 OF 6

TITLE (4)

Condition Prohibited by the Plant Technical Specifications: Noncompliance with the Surveillance Requirements of Technical Specification 4.6.3.5.b, Explosive Squib Valve Testing and Replacement

EVEN	T DAT	E (5)	I	LER NUI	ABER	(6)	REPO	RTDAT	E (7)	T	OTHER FACILITI	ES INVO	LVED (8)
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUE		REVISION	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY	NAME	DO	05000
06	13	95	95	00	9 -	- 01	10	11	95	FACILITY	NAME	DO	OSOOO
OPERA		1		2201(b)	IS SU	BMITTED	PURSU/ 20.2203	succession of the succession of	second states and	de la contra de la c	EMENTS OF 10 CFR § 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)	: (Check	one or more) (11) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)
POW	IER	100	20.	2203(a)(1)		20.2203	(a)(3)(i)		60 71(a)(2)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(x)
LEVEL		100	I commenter and commenter a	2203(a)(20.2203		the second second second second second		50.1. (2)(iii)		73.71
	Internetic and	harman	20.	2203(a)(2)(ii)		20.2203	(a)(4)			50.73(P 2 (iv)		OTHER
			Real and the second	2203(a)(50.36(c))(1)			50.73(1) (v)	Sp	ecify in Abstract below in NRC Form 366A
			20.	2203(a)(2)(iv)		50.36(c)(2)			50.73(, Jii)		
	shinin contactoria	New Constants	Henresselenness			LICENSE	E CONT	ACTE	OR TH	IS LER	(12)	and the second secon	
NAME										TE	EPHONE BER (Include Ar	ea Code)	

NAME

Tom Kirwin, Outage Manager

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13) REPORTABLE TO NPRDS MANUFACTURER REPORTABLE TO NPRDS CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFACTURER MONTH DAY YFAR SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) EXPECTED SUBMISSION YES NO (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE). X DATE (15)

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On July 13, 1995, LER 95-009-00 was submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73 to document an event regarding a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications. This supplement to that LER is being submitted to correct the Previous Occurrences section and to correct and update Corrective Actions. Technical Specification 4.6.3.5.b requires the firing of one Traversing In-core Probe (TIP) system explosive squib valve every 18 months. The records indicated that the last squib surveillance was performed during refuel outage 3 in 1991. In addition, all five squib valve explosive charges were found to be past their manufacturer's The Senior Nuclear Shift Supervisor was notified that the expiration date. TIP system shear valves were inoperable. All TIP containment penetrations were immediately isolated in accordance with the action requirements of Technical Specification 3.6.3. The root cause of this event is personnel error in that the proper information was not entered into the workorder system. Corrective actions include completing the 18 month surveillance, replacing the explosive charges in all five shear valves, correcting the recurring task database, and conducting a review of other Technical Specification surveillance test procedures and the work order database.

NRC FORM 366 (4-95)

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	PAGE (3)					
HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION	05000354	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISIO	2	OF	6
		95	009	01			

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION

General Electric - Boiling Water Reactor (BWR/4) Traversing In-core Probe System Explosive Squib Valve, EIIS Identifier: IG

IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE

TITLE: Condition Prohibited by the Plant Technical Specifications: Non-compliance with the Surveillance Requirements of Technical Specification 4.6.3.5.b, Explosive Squib Valve Replacement

Discov	ery Date:	June	13, 1995
Event	Occurrence:	June	1994
Event	Time:	N/A	

CONDITIONS PRIOR TO OCCURRENCE

Plant in OPERATIONAL CONDITION 1 (Power Operations) Reactor Power 100% of Rated, 1080 Mwe

DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE

In preparation for the upcoming refuel outage 6, Outage Planning was performing a review of work orders to determine which activities required pre-staged tagouts for maintenance. Technical Specification (TS) 4.6.3.5.b requires the firing of one squib every 18 months. This type of activity would normally have a tagout pre-staged for the replacement of the fired squib. The planner who was conducting the review could not find the work order for the planned tagout of the Traversing In-core Probe (TIP) system explosive squib valve replacement. This prompted the planner to conduct a review of the previous work order history for the squib firing and replacements. The records indicated that the last squib surveillance was performed during refuel outage 3 in 1991. In addition, all five squib valve explosive charges were found to be past their manufacturers expiration date. The Senior Nuclear Shift Supervisor (SNSS - SRO licensed) was notified that all the TIP system shear valves were inoperable. All TIP containment penetrations were immediately isolated in accordance with the action requirements of TS 3.6.3.

NRC FORM 366A	U.S.	NUCLEAR REGULATORY	COMMISSION
(4.95)			
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)		
	TEXT CONTINUATION		

AGE (3	P	(6)	ER NUMBER (DOCKET NUMBER (2)	FACILITY NAME (1)		
OF	3	REVISIO	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	YEAR	05000354	HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION		
		01	009	95				
		01	009	95				

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE

The traversing in-core probe system utilizes a double valve arrangement outside containment to provide for containment isolation in the event of an accident. The inboard ball valve receives an auto signal to close in the event of an accident. The auto isolation signal also provides a retract signal to the TIP probe if it is in use at the time of the isolation signal. In the event the tip probe does not retract, preventing the inboard ball valve from closing, the outboard TIP shear valve is manually actuated to isolate the penetration. One shear valve is required to be tested every 18 months with all five valves tested in 90 months. Additionally, technical specification 4.6.3.5.b states that no explosive charge will remain in service beyond its shelf or operating life.

An investigation into this event determined that the wrong test frequency had been entered into the work order computer for this surveillance test (ST) requirement. The person who initially entered the data specified 90 months as the overdue date for the ST, and included a statement in the second page of the work order description that one valve was to be tested every 18 months. When this individual resigned in 1991, the responsibility for scheduling the testing shifted to another individual in the outage scheduling group. The new person scheduled the work orders on the indicated overdue date of 90 months on the work order. He did not review the entire work order description nor was he aware of the 18 month frequency to test one of the five valves.

The shelf life and operating life limitation of the surveillance was also not properly coded into the computer. The procedure for testing the individual valves did have a check of the explosive charge expiration dates and required replacement of the charge if the shelf life would expire during the next 18 month cycle. As the work orders were not issued for the previous two refuel outages, no other means existed to identify the charges as being past their expiration date.

A review of previous events revealed two previous occurrences of missed TS surveillances due to errors in the work order data base. The corrective actions for those events did not effectively prevent a recurrence of this type of event. The previous corrective actions involved reviews that were narrowly focused on the identified deficiency in the previous events. An additional missed surveillance event has been identified and was reported in LER 354/95-013-00. Based on this occurrence, and the additional event that has been identified, a more comprehensive review plan has been developed.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER					PAGE (3)			
HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION	05000354	YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISIO	4	OF	6			
		95	009	01						

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE

During the preparation of the initial submittal of this LER, there was a miscommunication between the LER Coordinator and a planner/scheduler. As a result of this miscommunication, a corrective action (correction of work order database) was erroneously reported as being completed. Additionally, at the time that this LER was originally submitted, it was expected that the remaining three explosive charges would be test-fired by 7/22/95. Since that time it has been determined that this action is not necessary based on two successful firings of squib valves and the fact that all five of the squib valves are from the same lot. This provides reasonable assurance that the intended safety function provided by the remaining squibs would have occurred if demanded.

APPARENT CAUSE OF OCCURRENCE

The root cause of this event is personnel error. The initial entry of the surveillance frequency requirements was incorrect. Additionally, no task was entered to ensure explosive charges would be replaced prior to exceeding their shelf or operating life.

The root cause of reporting the incorrect status of a corrective action in the original submittal of this LER is a miscommunication between the LER Coordinator and a planner/scheduler and the lack of validation of commitment status.

PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES

There have been 24 previous events reported due to missed technical specification surveillances (see LERs 86-008, 86-036, 86-049, 87-038, 87-041, 87-050, 88-002, 88-004, 88-009, 88-026, 88-032, 89-006, 89-013, 89-015, 89-018, 89-024, 90-011, 90-019, 90-027, 90-030, 93-001, 93-002, 94-010, and 95-003). LER 95-003 addressed a similar circumstance in that the applicable operational condition was incorrectly coded into the computer. LER 89-006 also addressed a similar circumstance where 26 separate surveillances frequencies were coded incorrectly in the work order computer. One of the corrective actions, to verify all computer surveillance test frequencies were in compliance with the technical specification specified frequencies, was not effective in preventing this event. Therefore, a comprehensive effort to assure the integrity of surveillance test control will be conducted and is described in the Corrective Action section.

Subsequent to the issuance of the original LER, LERs 95-013, 95-017, and 95-018 were issued to report missed Technical Specification surveillances.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

<th column colum

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

An engineering evaluation of this event has concluded that this event posed minimal safety significance. The explosive valves are only needed in the event a traversing in-core probe fails to retract upon receipt of a containment isolation signal. The TIP system was operated for approximately 140 hours during the 13 month period that the stated explosive charge operating life was exceeded. During this period no TIP system withdrawal failures were identified. To date, two of the five expired explosive charges have been successfully fired indicating that they would have functioned if required. These two are representative of the group of five since all are from the same manufacturer's lot.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The TIP system 18 month surveillance was satisfactorily completed.

The explosive charges in all five TIP shear valves have been replaced.

A new recurring workorder has been created to ensure that all explosive cartridges are replaced prior to expiration of their operating life and that the proper 18 month surveillance for firing the explosive cartridges is completed.

Two representative squib valves' explosive charges have been test fired satisfactorily.

An audit of the computer data base has been conducted to ensure no similar errors exist. This audit verified that the work order summary description and frequency requirements matched.

Expectations for validation of stated completed actions have been emphasized to personnel that generate LER's.

A review of Licensee Event Reports was conducted to determine if similar events of missed surveillance test requirements have occurred. This review identified a total of 24 previous occurrences of missed surveillance tests. Two of these occurrences were caused by improper coding of recurring tasks.

	E EVENT REPORT (LI		NUC	LEAR	REG	GULATOR	Y CO	MMISS	101
1 FÁCILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	1	LER	NUME	BER	(6)	P	AGE (3)
HOPE CREEK GENERATING STATION	05000354	YEAR	8	NUMBER	R	REVISIO	6	OF	6
		95 009 01							
A comprehensive review (Technic Program) will be performed and Technical Specification su to ensure that the tests a condition, The workorder database wil	will include the f rveillance test pr re performed in th	tollo noceo ne co	dur dur	ng: es ect	wil op	ll be perati	rev ng	iewe	
of test frequency and proc		.115 U.	. e	CHE		DITCUL	0	urny	
The Technical Specification completeness and accuracy.	n matrix will be r	revie	ewe	d to	o v	verify	it	S	
This review will be completed by	y December 31, 199	96.							