

James W Cook Vice President - Projects, Engineering and Construction

General Offices: 1945 West Parnall Road, Jackson, MI 49201 • (517) 788-0453

June 30, 1984

83-15 #3

Mr J G Keppler, Regional Administrator US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, II 60137

MIDLAND ENERGY CENTER PROJECT
DOCKET NOS 50-329 AND 50-330
NON-SEISMICALLY SUPPORTED COMPONENT COOLING WATER PIPING SYSTEM
FILE: 0.4.9.87 SERIAL: 30338

References: J W Cook letters to J G Keppler, same subject:

Serial 26665, dated January 16, 1984 Serial 30019, dated April 20, 1984

This letter is the final report of a 10CFR50.55(e) condition involving the attachment of non-Q/non-seismic piping to the component cooling water system.

The attachment to this letter describes the concern and summarizes the investigation and corrective action taking place.

James W. Cosh

JWC/AHB/cd

Attachment: 1) MCAR-79, Final Report, dated June 20, 1984.

CC: Document Control Desk, NRC Washington, DC

> Midland NRC Resident Office Midland Nuclear Plant

> DSHood, USNRC Office of NRR

INPO Records Center

8407230194 840630 PDR ADOCK 05000329 S PDR

OC0684-0037A-MP01

JUL 9 1984

JE27

OM/OL SERVICE LIST

Mr Frank J Kelley
Attorney General of the
State of Michigan
Ms Carole Steinberg
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
720 Law Building
Lansing, MI 48913

Mr Myron M Cherry, Esq Suite 3700 Three First National Plaza Chicago, IL 60602

Mr Wendell H Marshall RFD 10 Midland, MI 48640

Mr Charles Bechhoefer, Esq Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission East-West Towers, Room E-413 Bethesda, MD 20014

Dr Frederick P Cowan 6152 N Verde Trail Apt B-125 Boca Raton, FL 33433

Mr Fred C Williams Isham, Lincoln & Beale 1120 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 840 Washington, DC 20036

Mr James E Brunner, Esq Consumers Power Company 212 West Michigan Avenue Jackson, MI 49201 Atomic Safety & Licensing Appeal Board U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Mr C R Stephens (3) Chief, Docketing & Services U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of the Secretary Washington, DC 20555

Ms Mary Sinclair 5711 Summerset Street Midland, MI 48640

Mr William D Paton, Esq Counsel for the NRC Staff U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Atomic Safety & Licensing Board Panel U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Ms Barbara Stamaris 5795 North River Road Rt 3 Freeland, MI 48623

Dr Jerry Harbour
Atomic Safety & Licensing
Board Panel
U S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
East-West Towers, Room E-454
Bethesda, MD 20014

Mr M I Miller, Esq Isham, Lincoln & Beale Three First National Plaza 52nd Floor Chicago, IL 60602 Mr D F Judd Babcock & Wilcox PO Box 1260 Lynchburg, VA 24505

Mr Steve Gadler, Esq 2120 Carter Avenue St Paul, MN 55108

Mr P Robert Brown Clark, Klein & Beaumont 1600 First Federal Bldg Woodward Ave Detroit, MI 48226 Mr John DeMeester, Esq Dow Chemical Building Michigan Division Midland MI 48640

Ms Lynne Bernabei Government Accountability Project 1901 Q Street, NW Washington, DC 20009

3/14/84

Bechtel Associates Professional Corporation

153997

154085

SUBJECT:

MCAR 79

FINAL REPORT

DATE:

June 20, 1984

PROJECT:

Consumers Power Company Midland Plant Units 1 and 2

Bechtel Job 7220

Introduction

This report provides the status of the course of corrective action required by Management Corrective Action Report (MCAR) 79.

Description of Concerns

A condition exists where non-Q-listed/nonseismically supported component cooling water (CCW) piping is connected to Q-listed letdown coolers in the reactor building and Q-listed seal return coolers in the nuxiliary building.

The following lines and associated equipment are involved in this concern:

Unit	Line	Line Class	Equipment	Equipment Class	Reference Document (7220-)
1	6"-1HBD-448 through 451	Non-Q	1E-57A and B (letdown coolers)	Q	H-616, Sh 5
2	6"-2HBD-448 through 451	Non-Q	2E-57A and B (letdown coolers)	Q	H-617, Sh 1
1	4"-1JBD-56 through 57	Non-Q	1E-59A and B (seal return coolers)	Q	H-616, Sh 13
2	4"-2JBD-161 through 162	Non-Q	2E-59A and B (seal return coolers)	Q	H-617, Sh 13

Bechtel Associates Professional Corporation

MCAR 79 | 3

154085 153997

Page 2

Historical Background

During a design review and site walkdown, it was discovered that the non-Q CCW piping connected to the reactor building letdown coolers was not seismically supported and could impose unacceptable loading on the coolers. Subsequent investigation resulted in the identification of a similar condition associated with the return coolers. Affected hardware is listed in Description of Concerns in this report.

Analysis of Safety Implications

- Using worst-case loading conditions, the associated cooler supports could fail causing subsequent failure of the letdown line, a component of the reactor coolant boundary.
- Vendor reviews concluded that the affected hardware could fail under this worst-case loading.
- Based on the assumption in Item 2 above, this condition is considered reportable under the Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR 50.55(e).

Root Cause

The root cause was failure to verify compliance with equipment vendor nozzle load limitations at the interface between the non-Q-listed, noncritical systems during the coordinations process by the plant design stress group.

Corrective Action

- Nonconformance Report C-02164 was issued March 29, 1984, to document the nonconforming hardware and track corrective action.
- 2. An investigation has been completed to determine whether a similar condition exists where non-Q-listed systems have not been analyzed for the effects on the safety-related equipment. The review found that one additional item was unacceptable: damper (OMO-6549) non-Q-ductwork connected to a Q-listed damper. All other commodities were found to be acceptable. Nonconformance Report H-00497-ZZ was issued June 15, 1984, to document the nonconforming hardware and to track corrective action.

Bechtel Associates Professional Corporation

MCAR 79 Final Report 15408553997

Page 3

3. A training session was held to reinstruct plant design stress group personnel on the proper method of reviewing the <u>total</u> loads acting on vendor equipment when sent to project plant design for review. No other training would be necessary because of the results of reviews of similar concerns.

Reportability

This concern was reported to the NRC by Consumers Power Company as a potentially reportable condition under the rules of 10 CFR 50.55(e) on December 16, 1983.

Submitted by:

E.B. Poser

Project Engineering

Manager

Approved by:

ved by:

(for) B.R. Klein

Plant Design Chief

Engineer

Approved by:

E.H. Smith

Engineering Manager

Concurrence by:

M.A. Dietrich Project Quality

Assurance Engineer

BS/MLH/bjm*(PD)