July 17, 1984

DOCKETED USHRC

*84 JUL 20 P2:15

Alan S. Rosenthal, Esq., Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Gary J. Edles, Esq.
Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal
Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Jerry R. Kline
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Lawrence Brenner, Esq.
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. George A. Ferguson Administrative Judge School of Engineering Howard University 2300 - 6th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20059

Elizabeth B. Johnson Administrative Judge Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box X, Building 3500 Oak Ridge, TN 37830 Howard A. Wilber
Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal
Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

James A. Laurenson, Chairman Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washignton, D.C. 20555

Mr. Frederick J. Shon Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Peter A. Morris Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Marshall E. Miller, Chairman Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Glenn O. Bright Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

In the Matter of
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1)
Docket Nos. 50-322-1 (OL),
50-322-OL-3 (Emergency Planning) and
50-322-OL-4 (Low Power License Motion)

Gentlemen:

2501

Enclosed is a series of FEMA memoranda dealing with the review of Revision IV to the LILCO Emergency Response Plan for Shoreham. FEMA indicates it will transmit the results of this review to the NRC no earlier than November 15, 1984.

Sincerely,

Edwin J. Reis Assistant Chief Hearing Counsel

Edward M. Barrett, Esq.

Lawrence Coe Lanpher, Esq.

W. Taylor Reveley III, Esq. Herbert H. Brown, Esq.

Docketing and Service Section

Atomic Safety and Licensing

Appeal Board Panel

Jay Dunkelberger, Esq.

Howard L. Blau, Esq.

Mr. Brian McCaffrey

Ken Robinson, Esq. Gerald C. Crotty, Esq.

Ms. Nora Bredes

Bruce L. Harshe

Jonathan D. Feinberg, Esq.

MHB Technical Associates

Peter S. Everett, Esq.

Stewart M. Glass, Esq.

Mr. Martin Suubert Mr. Brian McCaffrey

Enclosure: As Stated

cc: (w/enclosure)

Eleanor L. Frucci, Esq. Honorable Peter Cohalan Anthony F. Earley, Esq. Fabian G. Palomino, Esq. Alan R. Dynner, Esq.

Atomic Safety and Licensing

Board Panel

Robert M. Rolfe, Esq. Martin Bradley Ashare, Esq.

James Dougherty, Esq. Stephen B. Latham, Esq.

Chris Nolin

Cherif Sedkey, Esq. Karla Letsche, Esq. Marc W. Goldsmith

John F. Shea, III, Esq.

Leon Friedman, Esq. Ben Wiles, Esq.

Dr. Peter F. Riehm Norman L. Greene, Esq.

Spence Perry, Esq.

DISTRIBUTION

Reis/Goddard/Perlis/Pirfo/McDonald/Bordenick

Christenbury/Murray

OELD FF (2)

J.Gutierrez, Reg. I

Chron (2)

R.Caruso/MJCampagnone, 144

rdenick Olmstead/Lieberman NRC Docket File: PDR/LPDR(3) C.Petrone, Res. Inspector A.Schwencer, 144

DS07



Federal Emergency Management Agency

Washington, D.C. 20472

1 2 JUL 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: Frank Petrone

Regional Director FEMA Region (New York)

FROM:

1 Programs

SUBJECT:

Review of Revision IV of the Long Island Lighting Company (LILCO) Transition Plan (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station)

The purpose of this memorandum is to request that your office perform a full RAC review of Revision IV of the LILCO Transition Plan utilizing the Regional Assistance Committee (RAC).

As you are aware, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) invoked Section 11,4 of the November 1, 1980, NRC/Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on June 1, 1983, by requesting FEMA to provide findings and determinations by June 17, 1983, for five different plans prepared by LILCO. This request was later modified to pertain to the LILCO Transition Plan and its three revisions. On June 10, 1984, FEMA received a request from NRC, copy attached, to conduct a full RAC review of Revision IV of the LILCO Transition Plan. Revision IV reponds to the FEMA finding transmitted to NRC on March 15, 1984. It is our understanding, based on information from your staff, that copies of Revision IV have already been delivered to the FEMA Region II Office and RAC members.

Based on recent conversations between Mr. Robert S. Wilkerson of my staff and Mr. Philip McIntire of your staff, we will inform NRC that FEMA can provide a finding by November 15, 1984. In order that we may comply with this delivery date. It is also our understanding that FEMA Region II will furnish Headquarters with the results of the RAC review by October 16, 1984.

.. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Attachment As Stated



Federal Emergency Management Agency

Washington, D.C. 20472

JUL 1 1 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Edward L. Jordan

Director, Division of Emergency

Preparedness and Engineering Response
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

FROM:

Richard W. Krimm

Assistant Associate Director

Office of Natural and Technological

Hazards Programs

SUBJECT:

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Review of the Long Island Lighting Company (LILCO) Transition Plan for Shoreham In Support of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

(NRC) Licensing

This is in response to your memorandum of July 9, 1984, invoking the terms of the November 1980 NRC/FEMA Memorandum of Understanding and requesting that FEMA conduct a full Regional Assistance Committee (RAC) review of Revision IV of the LILCO Transition Plan. Revision IV responds to the FEMA finding transmitted to NRC on March 15, 1984.

FEMA intends to give the review of Revision IV of the Transition Plan the same priority treatment that has been accorded to previous reviews of the plan. However, we do not believe we can furnish the finding before November 15, 1984. Among the reasons for the required review time are other Shoreham-related obligations, set by NRC's Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (ASLB), which will place demands on the time of FEMA Region II staff (including the RAC Chairman) who would be involved in the review of Revision IV. FEMA witnesses are scheduled to testify on August 6, 1984 on contentions relating to public education and training. Before that date, extensive preparation must be done. Depositions may also occur on this material.

In addition to demands connected with Shoreham testimony, FEMA Region II has a substantial workload associated with operating nuclear reactors, notably Indian Point. Before the Fall 1984 exercise, the Rockland County Plan must be reviewed by the full RAC for the first time. Plan revisions for the other 3 counties must also be reviewed. Also, careful attention must be given to the review of the exercise scenario and objectives. This Indian Point exercise is especially important because (1) it is the first full-scale exercise since the ASLB issued its report in October 1983; (2) it is the first full-scale exercise since the June 1983 Commission ruling not to shut down the plant; and (3) it is the first full-scale exercise. in which Rockland County will fully participate. It has already been delayed a few months to allow Rockland County to fully integrate itself into the planning and preparedness process. It cannot be delayed further. The same RAC members involved in this important effort are those who would have to work on the review of Revision IV of the LILCO Transition Plan.

In October 1984, a full-scale exercise will take place at the Salem site. The RAC work for this exercise has just begun. In addition, RAC plan reviews are in progress for the Oyster Creek, Salem, and Ginna sites. These are critical to Region II submittals relating to plan approvals under 44 CFR 350.

Finally, the RAC members have other commitments within their own agencies and could not devote 100% of their time to Shoreham, even if they did not have these important duties associated with the operating nuclear reactors.

As you know, work on Region II sites has already been delayed in the past, due to the time-intensive demands stemming from both the Indian Point and Shoreham cases. Any further compression of the review timetable for the LILCO Plan, Revision IV, would cause additional delays which would seriously impact the public health and safety in the vicinity of the Region II operating nuclear reactors. We do not feel that a transfer of FEMA regional staff is a viable solution to this problem. Temporarily transferring staff from other FEMA Regions would only transfer the impact to other operating reactors. It would also be of little benefit unless the other RAC members could receive similar relief from their agencies. For these reasons, we believe that we cannot furnish a finding on Revision IV of the LILCO Transition Plan earlier than November 15, 1984.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.



NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

JUL 9 1984

MENORANDUM FOR:

Richard W. Krimm, Assistant Associate Director Office of Natural and Technological Hazards Federal Emergency Management Agency

FROM:

Edward L. Jordan, Director
Division of Emergency Preparedness
and Engineering Response
Office of Inspection and Enforcement

SUBJECT:

FEMA REVIEW OF THE LILCO TRANSITION PLAN FOR SHOREHAM IN SUPPORT OF NRC LICENSING

In accordance with the NRC/FEMA Memorandum of Understanding dated November 1980, we request that FEMA review Revision 4 to the Shoreham Nuclear Power Station Local Offsite Radiological Emergency Response Plan (i.e., the Transition Plan) which was submitted to the NRC by LILCO on June 29, 1984. As noted in the submittal cover letter, Revision 4 responds to comments in the FEMA report dated March 15, 1984, which were developed by the Regional Assistance Committee (RAC) as a result of a review of Revision 3 of the LILCO Transition Plan. In the June 29, 1984 letter, LILCO states that copies of Revision 4 have been forwarded under separate cover directly to members of the RAC. Additional clarifying information regarding Revision 4 is contained in a letter to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board from the LILCO counsel dated July 2, 1984, copies of which were also sent to the RAC. For your information, copies of the above-referenced letters are enclosed.

We request that Revision 4 of the LILCO Transition Plan be given the same priority attention by FEMA and the RAC as in previous reviews of the plan. We have expressed our sense of urgency in discussions with members of your staff on July 3 and 5, 1984, regarding the need for the plan review to be completed prior to the conclusion of the current hearing on emergency preparedness. Accordingly, we request that FEMA inform us by July 15, 1984, of the date by which FEMA findings on Revision 4 of the LILCO plan can be provided.

Edward 1.9 Jordan, Director
Division of Emergency Preparedness
and Engineering Response
Office of Inspection and Enforcement

Inclosures:
1. Ltr. fm. LILCO to Denton Inot enclosed with fax
dtd. 6/29/84

2. Ltr. fm. LILCO counsel to ASLB dtd. 7/2/84

cc: See Attached

CONTACT: F. Kantor, IE

cc: S. A. Schwartz, IE
C. R. Van Niel, IE
J. N. Grace, IE
F. Kantor, IE
D. B. Matthews, IE
J. R. Sears, IE
E. S. Christenbury, ELD
E. Reis, ELD
R. R. Bellamy, RI
J. A. Van Vliet, NRR